

---

**From:** Daren Zuk  
**Sent:** 08 November 2023 11:46  
**To:** Planning Planning  
**Subject:** FW: Planning Application 2023/3861/P Primrose Hill Open Space Primrose Hill Road London NW8

Hello,

Please redact and add this support letter into M3 and trim.

Thank you,  
Daren

Daren Zuk  
Senior Planner  
Regeneration and Planning  
London Borough of Camden

---

**From:** Kaz Stepien <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 08 November 2023 09:32  
**To:** Daren Zuk <[Daren.Zuk@camden.gov.uk](mailto:Daren.Zuk@camden.gov.uk)>  
**Subject:** Planning Application 2023/3861/P Primrose Hill Open Space Primrose Hill Road London NW8

Dear Mr Zuk

I am writing to support the above referenced planning application and would make the following comments.

1. I agree with the point made by Mr Martin Sheppard in his representations in support of the application when he states " while it is regrettable that there is a need for the gates, it is much worse for the Hill to be used for criminal and highly antisocial activities at night."
2. Gates are important and necessary to allow The Royal Parks to manage the Hill and control access.
3. The existing (temporary / makeshift) gates are unsightly and often vandalised.
4. The proposed new gates should be of an appropriate design which is in keeping with the existing railings, made of durable materials / components and unobtrusive. From the documents submitted with the application, this appears to be the case.
5. Objections submitted to Camden on grounds that the Hill should remain accessible to the public day and night are not objections that relate to planning matters arising out of the application. They are objections to The Royal Park's **policy** ( of closing the Hill at certain times) rather than objections on planning grounds to the proposed installation of gates. The gates can be left open 24 hours per day if the Royal Park's decide to change their policy and allow unrestricted access to the Hill.

Yours sincerely,

Kaz Stepien

11 Rothwell Street  
London NW1 8YH