

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/4202/P	Francis Shaw	07/11/2023 10:28:25	OBJ	I object to the dormer, as it is too large and not in keeping with the Mall Studios, which are of historic and special interest in a protected conservation area. The window will overlook the houses and gardens of number 64 and 66 Parkhill Road.
2023/4202/P	Celia Scott	07/11/2023 10:20:53	OBJ	<p>The proposed dormer is too large and prominent, will be clearly visible from the pathway and disrupt the character of the Mall Studios, which, according to Camden's Parkhill and Upper Park Road Conservation Area and Management Strategy, are of historic interest, are highlighted as a satellite character zone and make a positive contribution to the conservation area.</p> <p>Currently there are only two small and discrete dormers on the front side of the Mall Studios, which are scarcely visible from the pathway and make little or no impact on it.</p> <p>No dimensions of the proposed dormer are shown on the submitted drawings, but they should be. Measurements taken from the drawings show that the window height within the proposed dormer is 50% or approximately 400 mm higher than that of the larger of the existing dormers fronting onto the Mall.</p> <p>Should this dormer, as shown, be approved, it would set a bad precedent for the Mall. The proposed dormer should at the very least be kept within the existing dormer dimensions.</p> <p>I have sent a drawing showing the relative heights of the propose dead existing dormers to planning@camden.gov.uk</p>
2023/4202/P	Jan Toporowski	06/11/2023 16:14:15	OBJ	<p>This application concerns alterations to one of a row of artists' studios that is of great historic significance. The row is notable for the broad sloping roofs along the terrace of studios with daylight in the studios coming from sky-lights rather than windows on the main approach (the Mall) to the studios. The proposal in the application is for a dormer window that is 50% larger than modestly-sized, discreet dormer windows that may be found at present in the row. The proposal would therefore destroy the historic character of the studios and the view of the studios that is presented to visitors to the studios.</p> <p>I note that the plan attached to this proposal does not include a large dwelling that has been constructed in the garden of the property. The dimensions of this dwelling are already in excess of the earlier proposal for which planning had been granted. This suggests that the developers will not be constrained by the current proposal for which permission is sought.</p>