2023/4072/L	Application No:	
Covent Garden	Consultees Name:	
05/11/2023 19:57:22 OBJNOT	Received:	
OBJNOT	Comment:	
As the amenity society for the area, Covent Garden Community Association objects strongly to this	Response:	Printed on: 06/11/2023 09:10:07

application.

Community

Association (form

completed by Amanda Rigby,

Co-Chair)

We hope not private company then would Camden, as the LPA, think it remotely appropriate to strike out this obligation? project budget has run out of money! In this case the applicant is Camden council. If the applicant were a An applicant cannot justify being released from a condition of listed building consent simply because their

applicant must carry out the works required to discharge the condition in good faith, using another budget to The condition was given for good reasons of public and heritage benefit and should not be removed. The

appropriate team to bring forward a project to reinstate the water supply with attendant modifications to the structure and a fundraising plan. As requested in our comments to Camden dated 13/12/22, please refuse this application and ask the

the wider West End project, which are not relevant to this application. commissioned by Camden in July 2023 and written by The Heritage Practice describes completed features of The applicant has, in this case, gone to some trouble to attempt to justify their position. The Report

Although much of the discussion centred on the impact on traffic, it was also clearly understood that the to the fountain." On the contrary. The local amenity societies were closely involved in the consultation. the reason that its move to a less prominent position was agreed, as a quid pro quo. fountain was to be brought back to its former glory as a provider of free drinking water to the public. This is This included the relocation of the fountain but had never included the possibility of reinstating a water supply Report states, at 1.7, that "The WEP had consulted widely on the public realm proposals in summer 2014. The Report is also not correct about what happened in 2014 when relocation of the fountain was mooted. The

granted in February 2021. During the course of the application, it was requested that the fountain be new idea in 2021, but it was formalising the deal that had been done in 2014. connected to a water supply so that its former use as a drinking fountain could be reinstated". It was not some The Report states, at 1.6, "Listed building consent for the relocation and refurbishment of the fountain was

We now read of a ridiculous situation, described in the Report at 1.9 as "in January 2023, it was agreed that the future. It did not require the fountain to provide drinking water once reinstated." the condition necessitated the provision of a water supply so that the fountain could be connected at a point in

drinking water. And, legally, it is the intention of the wording that prevails not actually turned on? You know as well as we do that the intention of the condition was indeed to supply Perhaps we are missing something here, but what is the point of a water supply to a drinking fountain if it is

Comment: Response:

Application No:

Consultees Name:

Received:

on the part of the council, and it cannot be accepted at this late stage when interventions at an earlier stage fact only emerged after the project was in its final stages a year ago. This has not been an action in good faith Project works, a water connection was not being made available to the fountain's new location, and that this realm scheme outside the Shaftesbury Theatre. It is very disappointing to learn that, all through the West End could have made it work The return of the fountain to its fully functioning state was an important part of local support for the public

Furthermore, the reasons given in Appendix B to the Report are not justified. We deal with them in turn:

unacceptable level of risk" becoming unsafe with wet narrow steps which could also become icy therefore the water would introduce an The document states that "Reinstating the fountain with water would result in access to the fountain

Unless this is a reason to refuse consent for all steps in a rainy city like London, where temperatures in any case rarely drop below freezing, then this cannot be a reason to prevent wet steps here!

for people with disabilities The document states that "Reinstating the fountain with water would result in an inaccessible water supply

because some people with disabilities cannot enjoy it. You would have to refuse a lot of sports facilities if this Further, disability legislation does not seek to prevent the general population from enjoying a benefit just access, then this cannot be a reason for preventing the re-opening of an inaccessible listed structure here. Unless this is a reason for refusing consent for all refurbishment of listed buildings that do not have disablec were the case!

The document states that "The fountain has not provided water for a substantial amount of time".

businesses wanted to see it returned to its former glory Exactly! That was what was so sad about the fountain's previous state. And that's why local people and

The document states that "There is no water supply available to the fountain".

would you accept this excuse from a commercial developer? Well, there should have been. Failure to meet this obligation is no reason the withdraw the condition. Again,

third of the lower part of the structure" fountain and the area as a whole. This would affect the special interest of the fountain by covering about a The document states that "Providing a water supply would require a fundamental redesign of both the

commercial developer? The design will just have to be done as well as it can be Our response is "well, you should have thought of this before". Again, would you accept this excuse from a

Application No:	
Consultees Name:	
Received:	
Comment:	
Response:	
	Printed on:
	06/11/2023
	09:10:07

6. The document states that "There is no budget for the reinstatement of a water supply, ongoing maintenance or monitoring."

approached us; sadly, this implies that there is not the level of commitment that there should be within Fields and are happy to share our knowledge. We offered this a year ago, but nobody at the council has fountains' ongoing maintenance as long as they receive credit. We have experience of this at Lincoln's Inn funds available for these projects' capital costs. And fortunately too, local businesses like to sponsor Again, our response is "you should have thought of this before". Fortunately, Heritage of London Trust has Camden.

the fountain were not redesigned for safe operation." The document states that "Proving a connection alone to a water main could be negligent if the area and

for the return of water fountains to our streets, and supplies can easily be provided safely. Obviously no-one is suggesting that it should not be made safe. But the Mayor of London has run a campaign

Application No: Consultees Name: Received: Comment: Response: Printed on: 06/11/2023

09:10:07

PRINCES CIRCUS FOUNTAIN

2023/4072/L

DAVID BIEDA

04/11/2023 15:13:11 OBJ

Application for the removal of condition 5 of application reference 2020/1446/L in relation to the Drinking Fountain, formerly located at Princes Circus

carried out otherwise than in accordance with the details thus approved. writing by the local planning authority prior to its re-installation. The relevant part of the works shall not be with a connection to the water mains in accordance with a method statement to be submitted and approved in Condition 5 of the Listed Building Consent decision notice requested: The water fountain shall be provided

LONDON PLAN:

of facilities should be secured and agreed at the planning stage to ensure long-term provision is achievable. pedestrian activity, such as in town centres and inside shopping malls, as well as areas of the public realm should be identified by boroughs during the planning process. These locations include areas with high levels of appropriate locations in new or redeveloped public realm. Appropriate locations for these water fountains Free drinking water fountains that can both refill water bottles directly and be drunk from should be provided in from single-use plastic bottles and supports the circular economy through the use of reusable water bottles. 3.8.11 The provision of accessible free drinking water fountains helps improve public health, reduces waste used for play, exercise and relaxing, such as parks and squares. The ongoing management and maintenance

occurred since the location of the nearest water supply might have been ascertained before street works were The Seven Dials Trust objects to the removal of this Condition. It does seem that a lack of proper planning has

In our view it would preferable to leave this condition in case circumstances arise which enable the water connection to be made. In the meantime the fountain should be restored in a manner enabling it to work providing water.

Seven Dials Trust info@sevendials.com