

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/3547/P	Barry Lanesman	30/10/2023 13:26:54	APP	<p>Dear Sir</p> <p>I am the owner and occupier of the adjoining flat, Upper Flat, 26 Well Walk, NW3 1LD.</p> <p>Firstly I am surprised and disappointed how little this application was publicised. I only found out by accident from a neighbour, in the past applications have been displayed around the neighbourhood.</p> <p>I object to the application on the following grounds:</p> <p>This is a conservation area and that should mean the rooftops and skyline should not be altered substantially. It is clear this development will, especially from across the road and diagonal corner.</p> <p>Taking a building closer to the boundary of our flat is likely to increase noise pollution and will cause some disruption of light coming into my flat, which is already limited. Our terrace is below this flat, our window between, and expect that noise will be an issue between the two occupancies.</p> <p>Light pollution - council has the record of the objection by the owner of the proposed development to the light pollution caused by a Automatically Opening vent (AOV), which was required by building control, causing much difficulties for me in sporting this. They strongly objected to the increased light pollution caused by the AOV which was not in reality as it was blocked out. This building works adds additional windows and brings the edge closer to edge of building leading leading to much more light pollution than my small AOV. This issue needs be addressed (in the event this application is approved), in the same way as the AOV, to ensure any additional windows are light sealed.</p>
2023/3547/P	Hampstead CAAC	01/11/2023 21:47:08	OBJ	<p>Hampstead CAAC Objects to this proposal. Raised roof line unacceptable relative to neighbours. Glass balustrading is out of character with this kind of extension and also relative to the general roofscape. Chimney stacks should be better distinguished, not risking butial in the new masard profile. Applicant states "Although planning policies for the area have been updated since the 2004 approval, they have not altered in such a way that would render the current proposal unacceptable." What has changed at least, since the original applications is the concept of harm to the CA, which this kind of change introduces, as being careless of neighbours' roof forms and relation to the terrace whole.</p>