Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 23/10/2023 (Response:	09:10:48
2023/4151/T	Jean Peccei	21/10/2023 13:52:08	AMEND	Please amend the height of the trees given in my previous comment. They are 12 metres tall not 25 as stated in my previous comment. I will also email photos of the trees showing them in the treescape of which they form a central part.	
2023/4151/T	Lisa Bolt	21/10/2023 13:29:57	INT	As the owner of number 7 Ormonde Court NW34UP, I object to these trees being destroyed. The destruction of these trees will ruin the natural habitat of many birds and animals in the vicinity and will spoil the beauty of the gardens at the back of Ormonde Court and other residential buildings. The trees also provide natural screen for the block which acts as a form of security and privacy.	
2023/4151/T	George Vergoulas	22/10/2023 15:27:57	OBJNOT	I object to the felling of these two trees. This was not in the original planning application (2022/3470/P) which I had accepted on the premise that the other trees that were earmarked for cutting would be replaced. However I never heard anything back from the Council or the developer.	
				Now I come across this poorly placed noticed on the street. This will change the character of the neighbourhood which is a conservation area, yet in this instance no explanation is given as to this decision. These trees are not close to the proposed building, and provide nature and character to many flats facing over this plot of land. This is predominantly a visual issue. These trees provide natural beauty and visual cover from all the flat across but also light pollution from the proposed home.	
				I'll also add that in the main planning application (2022/3470/P), the supporting Arboricultural Survey & Impact Assessment report (https://camdocs.camden.gov.uk/HPRMWebDrawer/Record/9726520/file/document?inline) these tow trees (referred to as T7 and T8) are highlighted to protection, not felling. If its a question of safety, then these trees can be safely managed and their life extended.	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:	09.10.40
2023/4151/T	Jean Peccei	20/10/2023 13:29:21	OBJ	I strongly object to the proposed felling of these two mature 25 metre tall cypress trees. The land formed by the rear gardens of the buildings on Primrose Hill Gardens, Belsize Park Gardens, and Belsize Grove forms a green corridor of visually beautiful treescape. Felling these trees will leave a large ugly gap in the centre of the treescape and eliminate the year-round privacy and screening which they afford to the numerous surrounding flats.	
				The trees are also home to numerous birds, and, as evergreens, provide valuable shelter for them in the winter months. The photo included in the tree-felling request is very misleading. It makes the trees look much smaller (they are actually 25 metres high) and does not show them as an integral part of a much larger treescape.	
				When planning permission was requested to construct a 3 bedroom house on this land last year, the accompanying arboricultural survey specifically stated that these trees would be kept, pointed out that they do not interfere or encroach on the planned construction area, and provided shelter to the adjacent Cotton Willow tree. See planning request 2022/3470/P.	
				Now that planning permission has been granted for the house, the owner is requesting to fell these two trees anyway with no justification provided whatsoever.	
				Finally, please note that the "Neighbour Details" provided is grossly inaccurate. It completely omits Ormonde Court (10-14 Belsize Grove). The building's communal gardens directly border the land in question and share a party wall with it. Fourteen of Ormonde Court's flats directly face the treescape in question (Flats 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23). It also omits the block of flats at 16 Belsize Grove which also overlooks the treescape.	
2023/4151/T	Jean Peccei	21/10/2023 13:52:04	AMEND	Please amend the height of the trees given in my previous comment. They are 12 metres tall not 25 as stated in my previous comment. I will also email photos of the trees showing them in the treescape of which they form a central part.	
2023/4151/T	Jean Peccei	21/10/2023 13:52:06	AMEND	Please amend the height of the trees given in my previous comment. They are 12 metres tall not 25 as stated in my previous comment. I will also email photos of the trees showing them in the treescape of which they form a central part.	
2023/4151/T	susie oppenheim	21/10/2023 14:01:36	OBJ	We object to the felling of these large mature trees due to the impact on the environment. They are home to large number of birds and wildlife and are large trees over 25 m high. In addition, when initial permission was granted to build a house here it was expressly stated that the trees should not be cut down. These trees form part of a large treescape, which would be significantly damaged by their felling. It will severely affect the view of numerous properties in the surrounding area: Fourteen of Ormonde Court's flats directly face the treescape in question (Flats 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 14, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23). In an age of global warming, cutting down trees unnecessarily should be avoided at all costs	

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/4151/T	Sophie Hamilton	22/10/2023 19:24:34	SUPPRT	We write in support of the planning application to fell the Leyland trees at 50 Belsize Park Gardens (BPG). We recently moved into a garden flat on Primrose Gardens which backs onto 50 BPG. The Leyland are damaged and unsafe as large branches have fallen down towards our garden and have also fallen into our neighbouring property, flattening the fence. We hired a tree surgeon to asses these trees and they concluded that they are indeed dangerous and advised us not to stand in the back of our garden until they have been removed due to safety concerns for our family. The photographs of the Leyland trees which we see in the supporting document for this application show trees which are substantially smaller than they are today. This shows that these trees are very fast growing and will need regular crowning going forward which will be expensive and disruptive to those who neighbour this plot. We proudly live in a conservation area and strongly support that our greenspaces and wildlife should be protected and that residential privacy is maintained. We therefore would encourage and support the replacement of different trees in place of the Leyland, supporting the suggested action to fell the trees for safety purposes.