

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/3039/P	Shalaka Karandikar	06/10/2023 09:52:56	OBJ	<p>I am very concerned to hear about the proposed extension due to the considerable weight the extension will put on the existing floor beams. The roof rafters reach from the top of the eaves to the top of wall of the first floor of building (this has been incorrectly and rather sloppily been marked as ground floor on the plans). Without additional steel beam support, all the substantial weight of an extension will be borne by the rafters. These rafters of an Edwardian building have not been designed to hold such weight. This includes the vertical side wall on the side of the terrace as well as the vertical wall opposite. It appears on the plans that it is proposed to build on top of the entire width of the party wall, which will have a detrimental affect on the structural integrity of the building and those next to it (as it is semi detached). A structural engineer must be consulted and appropriate strengthening features (like steel beams) from the party wall to the wall facing 19 Fawley Road must be incorporated. Otherwise there is substantial danger of roof floor damage and collapse. This would be also adversely affect 15 Fawley Road (as it is semi detached building). Any related damage or issues must be rectified at the expense of the proposer of this application. Before continuing we insist a professional structural survey must be conducted by both sides. The surveyor can be chosen by each side but should be paid for by the proposer. Furthermore I note reference to 'rear shared eaves' and 'freehold area', yet I see no mention that permission has been asked or given by the freeholder. It is my understanding the freeholder has not been consulted. Thank you for considering our concerns in relation to this worrying extension proposal.</p>
2023/3039/P	Prof. Michael Yianneskis	04/10/2023 07:04:45	OBJ	<p>I object to the planning application for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Errors in notification. The drawing information is misleading and inaccurate. Clearly the plans (drawings 101 and 201) refer to a roof plan and a second floor plan; they are not ground floor plans and first floor plans. The alterations proposed are not minor as stated in "Development Types": an increase of floor area of the flat by over 25% is proposed in the roof space that should require structural modifications to withstand the substantially increased weight on the rafters. The North point is not indicated on the plans. 2. The enclosure of the two spaces as shown in the existing plan, drawing 101 is misleading. These spaces exist as roof incline enclosures with diminishing ceiling height. 3. The proposed plan is unacceptable due to the bulky appearance of the extension. 4. The proposed flat roof is an alien feature for this building and the neighbouring buildings. 5. There is inadequate information as regards to the materials proposed and construction detailing. 6. The building is in a conservation area therefore, in my opinion, indications of type of materials, as well as some indication of construction is essential together with the planning application. 7. The proposed development will result in loss of view from my apartment.