

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/3547/P	peter hazel	06/10/2023 15:33:15	OBJ	<p>The Planning Support Statement makes no reference to 2022/3884/P which was granted on 24th January 2023 though there were a number of objections.</p> <p>This new Application plays around with the line of our building (plus versus others in Well Walk) to have a higher roofline for the extension; it is an ugly and totally out of keeping proposal which is especially disappointing when we have been trying to make our building more appropriate to its era - see 2021/3648/P granted last year.</p> <p>Increasing the height of the rear parapet and the corner chimney is an unnecessary and unattractive alteration to this facade of our 19th century building. There will also be some loss of light for the flat underneath's skylights and noise for neighbours with the kitchen being sited in the extension. This further heightened extension will affect the privacy of Lower Christchurch Hill gardens.</p> <p>45 Christchurch Hill/28 Well Walk is a highly visible and well known building on a prominent site and this further development on the roof cannot be seen as a positive in this Conservation Area where we are also in view of listed properties. We have again had a number of drainage problems over the years with the flat roof now with roof terrace above and we are concerned about the structural and drainage implications of this proposal on such an old building.</p>
