Basement Impact Assessment AUDIT: Instruction

Section A (Site Summary) - to be completed by Case Officer

Camden Case Reference:	2023/2138/P	Site Address:	69 Charlotte Street	
Case officer contact details:	Alex Kresovic Alex.Kresovic@camden.gov.uk +442079743134	Date of audit request:		
Statutory consulta	ation end date: 17/07/2023			
Reason for Audit:	Basement extension	<u> </u>		
Proposal description: Erection of basement extension and alterations to shopfronts on Charlotte Street and Tottenham Street elevations.				
Relevant planning background Ref. 8401046 was granted on 19 March 1985 for the "Erection of glazed entrance enclosure to the basement restaurant.				
Ref. 8601104 was approved on 11 September 1986 for "Outline permission for change of use of the first-floor club to office use".				
restaurant use toge the basements of N	refused on 12 March 1991 for the " ether with internal alterations to con los. 67 and 69 as shown on drawin I cite the loss of the retail unit and in community.	nbine it with the tw g numbers 90/CS	o existing restaurants in /01 and 02."	
Ref. PS9704532 for the "Change of use of ground floor from retail use (Class A1) to use within Class A3 forming an extension to the existing restaurant at basement level" was refused on 17 July 1997 to ensure the mix of uses within the area remained.				
On 10 December 2012 an application for planning permission (ref. 2012/4646/P) was granted for the "Change of use of the first and second floors from office (Class B1) to 2 x 2 bedroom flats (Class C3), erection of a mansard roof extension to enlarge the existing 1 bed flat at third floor level to create a 3 bedrooms maisonette, erection of a single storey glazed extension at ground floor level to replace the existing structure on Tottenham Street, replacement of the existing shopfront and refurbishment of the existing façade"				

On 22 March 2013 a certificate of lawfulness (ref. 2013/0487/P) was refused for the use of the ground floor as a restaurant (Class A3). The Council considered insufficient evidence was provided which demonstrates the unit had been in restaurant use (Class A3) for 10 years or longer.

On 24 June 2014, an application for planning permission (ref. 2014/2732/P) for the "change of use of the basement and ground floor shop (Class A1) to financial and professional services (Class A2)" was approved.

Do the basement proposals involve a listed building or does the site neighbour any listed buildings?	No.	

1v9 17/08/2021

	Slope stability	Yes
Is the site in an area of relevant constraints? (check site constraints in M3/Magic GIS)	Surface Water flow and flooding	No
	Subterranean (groundwater) flow	Yes
Does the application require determination by Development Control Committee in accordance fall the Terms of Reference ¹	No.	
Does the scope of the submitted BIA extend beyond the screening stage?	Yes.	
Which ward is the application situated?	Bloomsbury.	
Is there an adopted neighbourhood plan? If so, which is it?	No.	

17/08/2021

¹ Recommendations for approval of certain types of application require determination by Planning Committee (PC). From time to time applications which would normally be determined by officers under delegated authority are referred by the Director of Regeneration and PC for decision. Where the Auditor makes representations at PC on behalf of an application the fees for attendance will be passed to the applicant.

Section B: BIA components for Audit (to be completed by Applicant)

Items provided for Basement Impact Assessment (BIA)¹			
Item	provided	Yes/ No/N A ²	Name of BIA document/appendix in which information is contained.
1	Description of proposed development.	YES	Alterations to the ground floor shopfront elevation and basement extension
2	Plan showing boundary of development including any land required temporarily during construction.	YES	CST69-1000-Site Plan.PDF
3	Plans, maps and or photographs to show location of basement relative to surrounding structures.	YES	 69 Charlotte Street 11.05.23.PDF (DAS) 230504_Existing & Proposed_69 Charlotte Street without labels-CST69-2000-Existing Basement Floor.PDF CST69-1000-Site Plan.PDF
4	Plans, maps and or photographs to show topography of surrounding area with any nearby watercourses/waterbodies including consideration of the relevant maps in the Strategic FRA by URS (2014)	YES	Site investigation and Basement Impact Statement by GEA dated April 2023 Rev 0
5	Plans and sections to show foundation details of adjacent structures.	Yes	Site investigation and Basement Impact Statement by GEA dated April 2023 Rev 0 Aspire Consulting Engineers Structural Drawings
6	Plans and sections to show layout and dimensions of proposed basement.	YES	 230504_Existing & Proposed_69 Charlotte Street without labels- CST69-2000-Existing Basement Floor.PDF 230504_Existing & Proposed_69 Charlotte Street without labels- CST69-2007-Existing A-A Section.PDF 230504_Existing & Proposed_69 Charlotte Street without labels- CST69-2008-Existing B-B Section.PDF
7	Programme for enabling works, construction and restoration.	YES	69 Charlotte Street – Programme.PDF, This is the Initial client programme. Construction programme to be developed following approval.
8	Identification of potential risks to land stability (including surrounding structures and infrastructure), and surface and groundwater flooding.	YES	Site investigation and Basement Impact Statement by GEA dated April 2023 Rev 0
9	Assessment of impact of potential risks on neighbouring properties and surface and groundwater.	YES	Site investigation and Basement Impact Statement by GEA dated April 2023 Rev 0

1v9 17/08/2021

Gr Sit	vidence of consultation with neighbours.	N/A	Statement by GEA dated April 2023 Rev 0 There are no 'owners' in the building with an interest of 7 years or more, from a planning perspective notice has not been served on neighbours.
Sit			Served on neighbours.
12	 ite Model including Desktop study exploratory hole records results from monitoring the local groundwater regime confirmation of baseline conditions factual site investigation report 	YES	Site investigation and Basement Impact Statement by GEA dated April 2023 Rev 0
13 Gr	Fround Movement Assessment (GMA).	YES	Site investigation and Basement Impact Statement by GEA dated April 2023 Rev 0
	lans, drawings, reports to show extent of ffected area.	YES	Site investigation and Basement Impact Statement by GEA dated April 2023 Rev 0
	pecific mitigation measures to reduce, avoid roffset significant adverse impacts.	YES	Site investigation and Basement Impact Statement by GEA dated April 2023 Rev 0
16 ba	construction Sequence Methodology (CSM) eferring to site investigation and containing asement, floor and roof plans, sections (all iews), sequence of construction and emporary works.	YES	Aspire Engineers CMS report Rev 01 Aspire Consulting Engineers CMS Report with appendices Rev 01
17 Pr	roposals for monitoring during construction.	YES	Aspire Consulting Engineers CMS Report with appendices Rev 01
18 ide	confirmatory and reasoned statement lentifying likely damage to nearby properties ccording to Burland Scale	YES	Site investigation and Basement Impact Statement by GEA dated April 2023 Rev 0
su sta 19 pro BI Co	confirmatory and reasoned statement with upporting evidence that the structural tability of the building and neighbouring roperties will be maintained (by reference to IA, Ground Movement Assessment and construction Sequence Methodology), accluding consideration of cumulative effects.	YES	Aspire Consulting Engineers CMS Report with appendices Rev 01
su ad 20 da rei CS	confirmatory and reasoned statement with upporting evidence that there will be no dverse effects on drainage or run-off and no amage to the water environment (by eference to ground investigation, BIA and ESM), including consideration of cumulative ffects.	YES	Site investigation and Basement Impact Statement by GEA dated April 2023 Rev 0
	dentification of areas that require further execution.	N/A	
	lon-technical summary for each stage of IA.	YES	Site investigation and Basement Impact Statement by GEA dated April 2023 Rev 0

1v9 17/08/2021

Additional BIA components (added during Audit)		
Item provide d	Yes/No/NA ²	Comment

Notes:

1v9 17/08/2021

¹ NB policy A5 also requires consideration of architectural character, impacts on archaeology, amenity and other matters which are not covered by this checklist.

² Where response is 'no' or 'NA', an explanation is required in the Comment section.

Section C: Audit proposal (to be completed by the Auditor)

Date	Fee Categorisation (A/B/C) and costs (£ ex VAT)	Date estimate for initial report	Commentary (including timescales for completion of Initial Report)
11/10/2023	Category B - £3,045	Approximately 4 weeks from instruction	Additional fees may be required for site attendance reviewing revised/resubmitted documentation reviewing third party consultation comments attending planning committee

Note: Where changes to the fee categorisation are required during the audit process, this will require details to be updated in section E, with justification provided by the auditor. These changes shall be agreed with the planning officer and the applicant, in writing before the work is undertaken.

17/08/2021