## Report VA4905.230926.NIA

# 44 Chalk Farm Road, London

Noise Impact Assessment

26 September 2023

Fan Rescue Ltd Unit 129 Ability House 121 Brooker Road Waltham Abbey EN9 1JH

01962 461016 0203 8650332 mail@ventaacoustics.com

registered company no. 10139494

#### Contents

1. In	troduction1
2. D	esign Criterion and Assessment Methodology1
2.1	Camden Council Requirements1
2.2	BS8233:20142
3. Si	te Description2
4. Er	nvironmental Noise Survey
4.1	Survey Procedure & Equipment3
4.2	Results3
4.3	Plant Noise Emission Limits4
5. Pi	redicted Noise Impact
5.1	Proposed plant4
5.2	Recommended Mitigation Measures4
5.3	Predicted noise levels5
5.4	Comparison to NR35 Curve5
5.5	Comparison to BS8233:2014 Criteria6
6. St	ructureborne Noise
7. Co	onclusion

#### Attachments

VA4905/SP1	Indicative Site Plan
VA4905/TH1-TH2	<b>Environmental Noise Time Histories</b>
Appendix A	Acoustic Terminology
Appendix B	Acoustic Calculations

Report Version Number	Author	Approved	Changes	Date
NIA	Jamie Duncan	Ben Alexander	-	26/9/23

The interpretations and conclusions summarised in this report represent Venta Acoustics' best technical interpretation of the data available to us at the time of assessment. Any information provided by third parties and referred to in this report has not been checked or verified by Venta Acoustics, unless otherwise expressly stated in the document. Venta Acoustics cannot accept any liability for the correctness or validity of the information provided. Due to a degree of uncertainty inherent in the prediction of all parameters, we cannot, and do not guarantee the accuracy or correctness of any interpretation and we shall not, except in the case of gross or wilful negligence on our part, be liable for any loss, cost, damages or expenses incurred or sustained by anyone resulting from any interpretations, predictions of conclusions made by the company or employees. The findings and conclusions are relevant to the period of the site survey works, and should not be relied upon to represent site conditions at later dates. Where additional information becomes available which may affect the findings of our assessment, the author reserves the right to review the information, reassess the findings and modify the conclusions accordingly.

### 1. Introduction

It is proposed to install a new supply and extract system to service the premises at 44 Chalk Farm Road, London.

Venta Acoustics has been commissioned by Fan Rescue Ltd to undertake an assessment of the potential noise impact of these proposals in support of an application for planning permission.

An environmental noise survey has been undertaken to determine the background noise levels at the most affected noise sensitive receptors. These levels are used to undertake an assessment of the likely impact with reference to the planning requirements of Camden Council.

## 2. Design Criterion and Assessment Methodology

#### 2.1 Camden Council Requirements

Camden Council's Local Plan (adopted June 2017), Appendix 3, provides the following guidance regarding noise from Industrial and Commercial Noise Sources

A relevant standard or guidance document should be referenced when determining values for LOAEL and SOAEL for non-anonymous noise. Where appropriate and within the scope of the document it is expected that British Standard 4142:2014 'Methods for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound' (BS 4142) will be used. For such cases a 'Rating Level' of 10 dB below background (15dB if tonal components are present) should be considered as the design criterion).

Existing Noise sensitive receiver	Assessment Location	Design Period	LOAEL (Green)	LOAEL to SOAEL (Amber)	SOAL (Red)
Dwellings**	Garden used for main amenity (free field) and Outside living or dining or bedroom window (façade)	Day	'Rating level' 10dB* below background	'Rating level' between 9dB below and 5dB above background	'Rating level' greater than 5dB above background
Dwellings**	Outside bedroom window (façade)	Night	'Rating level' 10dB* below background and no events exceeding 57dBL <sub>Amax</sub>	'Rating level' between 9dB below and 5dB above background or noise events between 57dB and 88dB L <sub>Amax</sub>	'Rating level' greater than 5dB above background and/or events exceeding 88dBL <sub>Amax</sub>

\*10dB should be increased to 15dB if the noise contains audible tonal elements. (day and night). However, if it can be demonstrated that there is no significant difference in the character of the residual background noise and the specific noise from the proposed development then this reduction may not be required.

In addition, a frequency analysis (to include, the use of Noise Rating (NR) curves or other criteria curves) for the assessment of tonal or low frequency noise may be required.

\*\*levels given are for dwellings, however, levels are use specific and different levels will apply dependent on the use of the premises.

The periods in Table C correspond to 0700 hours to 2300 hours for the day and 2300 hours to 0700 hours for the night. The Council will take into account the likely times of occupation for types of development and will be amended according to the times of operation of the establishment under consideration.

There are certain smaller pieces of equipment on commercial premises, such as extract ventilation, air conditioning units and condensers, where achievement of the rating levels (ordinarily determined by a BS:4142 assessment) may not afford the necessary protection. In these cases, the Council will generally also require a NR curve specification of NR35 or below, dependant on the room (based upon measured or predicted L<sub>eq,5mins</sub> noise levels in octave bands) 1 metre from the façade of affected premises, where the noise sensitive premise is located in a quiet background area.

#### 2.2 BS8233:2014

BS8233 *Guidance on sound insulation and noise reduction for buildings* provides guidance as to suitable internal noise levels for different areas within residential buildings.

Activity	Location	07:00 to 23:00	23:00 to 07:00
Resting	Living Room	35 dB L <sub>Aeq, 16 hour</sub>	-
Dining	Dining Room	40 dB LAeq, 16 hour	-
Sleeping (daytime resting)	Bedroom	35 dB LAeq, 16 hour	30 dB LAeq, 8 hour

The relevant section of the standard is shown below in Table 2.1.

Table 2.1- Excerpt from BS8233: 2014

[dB ref. 20µPa]

#### **3.** Site Description

As illustrated on attached site plan VA4905/SP1, the building is located in a terrace of commercial properties, with the first and second floor levels stepped back from the ground floor.

The proposal is for the supply and extract fans to be located internally, with the supply grille located at low first floor level to the rear of the building, and the extract duct running up the rear of the building and terminating above eaves level, again to the rear of the building.

The most affected noise sensitive receivers are expected to be the upper floor of the adjacent building at 45 Chalk Farm Road.

Existing building services plant was noted on several of the neighbouring rooftops.

#### 4. Environmental Noise Survey

#### 4.1 Survey Procedure & Equipment

In order to establish the existing background noise levels at the site, a noise survey was carried out between Tuesday 19<sup>th</sup> and Thursday 21<sup>st</sup> September 2023 at first floor level at the location shown in site plan VA4905/SP1. This location was chosen to be representative of the background noise level at the most affected noise sensitive receivers.

Continuous 5-minute samples of the  $L_{Aeq}$ ,  $L_{Amax}$ ,  $L_{A10}$  and  $L_{A90}$  sound pressure levels were undertaken at the measurement location.

The weather during the survey period was generally dry with light winds. The background noise data is not considered to have been compromised by these conditions.

Measurements were made generally in accordance with ISO 1996 2:2017 Acoustics - Description, measurement and assessment of environmental noise – Part 2: Determination of sound pressure levels.

The following equipment was used in the course of the survey:

Manufacturer		Serial No	Calibration	
Wanutacturer	Model Type	Serial NO	Certificate No.	Date
NTi Class 1 Integrating SLM	XL2	A2A-12202-E0	UCRT23/1146	1/2/23
Larson Davis calibrator	CAL200	19816	1506037-1	28/7/23

#### Table 4.1 – Equipment used for the tests

The calibration of the sound level meter was verified before and after use with no significant calibration drift observed.

#### 4.2 Results

The measured sound levels are shown as time-history plots on the attached charts VA4905/TH1-2.

The background noise level is determined by road traffic in the surrounding area, with a contribution from nearby building services plant.

The new tenant intends to operate until 00:30 and so an analysis of the noise levels between 00:15 and 00:45 has been used to set the noise limit at the end of the evening, when noise levels would be quietest.

The typical background noise levels measured were:

Monitoring Period	Typical <sup>1</sup> L <sub>A90,5min</sub>
07:00 – 23:00	46 dB
23:00 - 07:00	37 dB
00:15 - 00:45	38 dB

 Table 4.2
 – Typical background noise levels

[dB ref. 20 µPa]

<sup>1</sup>The typical L<sub>A90</sub> value is taken as the 10<sup>th</sup> percentile of all L<sub>A90</sub> values measured during the relevant period.

#### 4.3 Plant Noise Emission Limits

On the basis of the measured noise levels and the planning requirements of the Local Authority, and considering that it is not expected that tonal noise will be generated by the proposed plant units, the following plant specific sound levels should not be exceeded at the most affected noise sensitive receivers:

Monitoring Period	Design Criterion (L <sub>Aeq</sub> )				
Design limit	28 dB				
Table 4.2 Creatific sound anasona lough not to be succeeded at most offerted units constitute receivers					

 Table 4.3
 – Specific sound pressure levels not to be exceeded at most affected noise sensitive receivers

#### 5. **Predicted Noise Impact**

#### 5.1 Proposed plant

The following plant is proposed for installation internally, with the supply grille located at low first floor level, and the extract duct terminating above eaves levels at the location indicated on site plan VA4905/SP1.

Plant Item	Quantity	Proposed Model	Notes
Extract Fan	1	Helios GBW EC 450	Motor located internally
Supply Fan	1	Etaline EL 250 E2 01	Motor located internally

 Table 5.1
 – Indicative plant selections assumed for this assessment.

Consulting the manufacturer's datasheets, the following noise emissions levels are attributed to the proposed plant items:

Plant Item		Octave Band Centre Frequency (Hz) Sound Power Level, L <sub>w</sub> (dB)							dB(A)
	63	125	250	500	1k	2k	4k	8k	
Helios GBW EC 450	84	76	79	77	75	73	64	61	80
Etaline EL 250 E2 01	40	35	52	60	65	66	64	54	71

 Table 5.2
 – Advised plant noise data used for the assessment.

#### 5.2 Recommended Mitigation Measures

Attenuation Component		Octave Band Centre Frequency (Hz) Minimum Insertion Loss (dB)						
·	63	125	250	500	1k	2k	4k	8k
Extract attenuator	9	18	27	35	30	32	32	38
Supply attenuator	7	12	20	31	39	40	38	27

The atmospheric side ductwork will need to be fitted with attenuators providing the minimum insertion losses shown in Table 5.3.

Table 5.3 – Minimum attenuator insertion loss

Should the above insertion loss be achieved using multiple silencers, these should be separated from each other by a distance of minimum 3-4 x D, where D is the largest internal dimension of the duct work (e.g. D is 0.5m, so a minimum of 1.5-2m apart) or a bend of at least 90°. Attenuators should be fitted as close to the fan as possible, and attached to the ductwork using flexible connections.

For the extract attenuator, it is recommended that a Melinex lined silencer is used to prevent grease impregnation into the acoustic media which may degrade the performance realised over time.

Please note that the above recommendations relate to acoustic issues only. It is recommended that professional advice confirming the suitability of these measures be sought from others with regards to issues such as airflow, structural stability and visual impact.

#### Predicted noise levels 5.3

The cumulative noise level at the most affected noise sensitive receiver has been calculated on the basis of the above information and assuming the recommended mitigation measures, with reference to the guidelines set out in ISO 9613-2:1996 Attenuation of sound during propagation outdoors - Part 2: General method of calculation.

A summary of the calculations are shown in Appendix B.

Description	dB(A)
Plant noise criterion	28
L <sub>p</sub> 1m from receiver	27

Table 5.4 – Predicted noise and level and design criterion at noise sensitive location

#### 5.4 **Comparison to NR35 Curve**

As can been seen from the following comparison in Table 5.5, the predicted noise levels at 1m from the most affected receiver are comfortably below the NR35 curve.

Frequency (Hz)	63	125	250	500	1k	2k	4k	8k
NR35	63	52	45	39	35	35	30	28

L <sub>p</sub> 1m from receiver	50	33	27	17	20	16	9	6	
Table 5.5 – Comparison of predicted noise levels against the NR35 criterion									

#### 5.5 Comparison to BS8233:2014 Criteria

BS8233 assumes a loss of approximately 15dB for a partially open window. The external noise level shown in Table 5.4 would result in internal noise levels that achieve the guidelines shown in Table 2.1.

#### 6. Structureborne Noise

All plant and ductwork should be fitted with anti-vibration mounts in accordance with the manufacturer guidelines.

The extract fan will have a dominant case frequency of 50-60Hz. To mitigate this and remove the tonal element, the fan motor should be mounted on rubber or neoprene mounts with a minimum deflection of 5mm, which would provide 95% isolation efficiency, considerably more than the recommended minimum of 90% isolation.

The fan should be attached to the ductwork on either side using flexible coupling to minimise vibration transfer to the ductwork. Ductwork should be attached to the building using isolated fixings, with either a rubber or neoprene isolator with a minimum deflection of 1mm, which would provide 90% isolation, considerably more than would be required considering the reduced energy transmitted to the ductwork.

The above measures are to control structureborne noise and re-radiated noise to other areas of the building to considerably below current internal noise levels and hence would be considered acceptable.

### 7. Conclusion

A baseline noise survey has been undertaken by Venta Acoustics to establish the background noise climate in the locality of 44 Chalk Farm Road, London in support of a planning application for the proposed introduction of new building services plant.

This has enabled noise emission limits to be set at the most affected noise sensitive receiver such that the proposed installation meets the requirements of Camden Council .

The cumulative noise emission levels from the proposed plant have been assessed to be compliant with the plant noise emission limits, with necessary mitigation measures specified.

The proposed scheme is not expected to have a significant adverse noise impact and the relevant plant noise requirements have been shown to be met.

#### Jamie Duncan MIOA

#### VENTA ACOUSTICS



Indicative Site Plan


VA4905/SP1 44 Chalk Farm Road, London

#### 44 Chalk Farm Road, London

# VENTA ACOUSTICS

Environmental Noise Time History: 1

#### Figure VA4905/TH1




#### 44 Chalk Farm Road, London

# VENTA ACOUSTICS

#### Environmental Noise Time History: 2

#### Figure VA4905/TH2



# VENTA ACOUSTICS

## **APPENDIX A**

Acoustic Terminology & Human Response to Broadband Sound

#### **1.1 Acoustic Terminology**

The human impact of sounds is dependent upon many complex interrelated factors such as 'loudness', its frequency (or pitch) and variation in level. In order to have some objective measure of the annoyance, scales have been derived to allow for these subjective factors.

Sound	Vibrations propagating through a medium (air, water, etc.) that are detectable by the auditory system.
Noise	Sound that is unwanted by or disturbing to the perceiver.
Frequency	The rate per second of vibration constituting a wave, measured in Hertz (Hz), where 1Hz = 1 vibration cycle per second. The human hearing can generally detect sound having frequencies in the range 20Hz to 20kHz. Frequency corresponds to the perception of 'pitch', with low frequencies producing low 'notes' and higher frequencies producing high 'notes'.
dB(A):	Human hearing is more susceptible to mid-frequency sounds than those at high and low frequencies. To take account of this in measurements and predictions, the 'A' weighting scale is used so that the level of sound corresponds roughly to the level as it is typically discerned by humans. The measured or calculated 'A' weighted sound level is designated as dB(A) or L <sub>A</sub> . A notional steady sound level which, over a stated period of time, would contain the same
L <sub>eq</sub> :	<ul> <li>amount of acoustical energy as the actual, fluctuating sound measured over that period (e.g. 8 hour, 1 hour, etc).</li> <li>The concept of L<sub>eq</sub> (equivalent continuous sound level) has primarily been used in assessing noise from industry, although its use is becoming more widespread in defining many other types of sounds, such as from amplified music and environmental sources such as aircraft and construction.</li> <li>Because L<sub>eq</sub> is effectively a summation of a number of events, it does not in itself limit the magnitude of any individual event, and this is frequently used in conjunction with an absolute</li> </ul>
L <sub>10</sub> & L <sub>90</sub> :	sound limit. Statistical Ln indices are used to describe the level and the degree of fluctuation of non-steady sound. The term refers to the level exceeded for n% of the time. Hence, L <sub>10</sub> is the level exceeded for 10% of the time and as such can be regarded as a typical maximum level. Similarly, L <sub>90</sub> is the typical minimum level and is often used to describe background noise. It is common practice to use the L <sub>10</sub> index to describe noise from traffic as, being a high average, it takes into account the increased annoyance that results from the non-steady nature of traffic flow. The maximum sound pressure level recorded over a given period. Lmax is sometimes used in
L <sub>max</sub> :	assessing environmental noise, where occasional loud events occur which might not be adequately represented by a time-averaged Leq value.

#### **1.2 Octave Band Frequencies**

In order to determine the way in which the energy of sound is distributed across the frequency range, the International Standards Organisation has agreed on "preferred" bands of frequency for sound measurement and analysis. The widest and most commonly used band for frequency measurement and analysis is the Octave Band. In these bands, the upper frequency limit is twice the lower frequency limit, with the band being described by its "centre frequency" which is the average (geometric mean) of the upper and lower limits, e.g. 250 Hz octave band extends from 176 Hz to 353 Hz. The most commonly used octave bands are:

 Octave Band Centre Frequency Hz
 63
 125
 250
 500
 1000
 2000
 4000
 8000

# APPENDIX A

Acoustic Terminology & Human Response to Broadband Sound

#### **1.3 Human Perception of Broadband Noise**

Because of the logarithmic nature of the decibel scale, it should be borne in mind that sound levels in dB(A) do not have a simple linear relationship. For example, 100dB(A) sound level is not twice as loud as 50dB(A). It has been found experimentally that changes in the average level of fluctuating sound, such as from traffic, need to be of the order of 3dB before becoming definitely perceptible to the human ear. Data from other experiments have indicated that a change in sound level of 10dB is perceived by the average listener as a doubling or halving of loudness. Using this information, a guide to the subjective interpretation of changes in environmental sound level can be given.

Change in Sound Level dB	Subjective Impression	Human Response
0 to 2	Imperceptible change in loudness	Marginal
3 to 5	Perceptible change in loudness	Noticeable
6 to 10	Up to a doubling or halving of loudness	Significant
11 to 15	More than a doubling or halving of loudness	Substantial
16 to 20	Up to a quadrupling or quartering of loudness	Substantial
21 or more	More than a quadrupling or quartering of loudness	Very Substantial

# APPENDIX B VA4905 - 44 Chalk Farm Road, London

#### **Noise Impact Assessment**

Extract Fan		63 Hz	125 Hz	250 Hz	500 Hz	1 kHz	2 kHz	4 kHz	8 kHz	dB(A)
Helios GBW EC 450 - Exhaust	Lw	84	76	79	77	75	73	64	61	80
Acoustica R02-2-1200 - Melinex faced		-9	-18	-27	-35	-30	-32	-32	-38	
Distance Loss	To 5m	-14	-14	-14	-14	-14	-14	-14	-14	
Radiation correction		-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	-11	
Level at receiver		50	33	27	17	20	16	7	-2	27

Supply Fan		63 Hz	125 Hz	250 Hz	500 Hz	1 kHz	2 kHz	4 kHz	8 kHz	dB(A)
Etaline EL 250 E2 01	Lw	40	35	52	60	65	66	64	54	71
Acoustica R02-2-600		-7	-12	-20	-31	-39	-40	-38	-27	
Distance Loss	To 7m	-17	-17	-17	-17	-17	-17	-17	-17	
Radiation correction		-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	-5	
Level at receiver		11	1	10	7	4	4	4	5	12

Cumulative noise level at receiver 27 dB(A)