Dear Obote Hope,

I write to you as the Chair of the Hampstead Hill Gardens Residents' Association. Online submissions appear to be closed for this application but we have some comments and concerns so are writing to you directly to object.

Our concerns are as follows:

1. The diagrams submitted for the Non-Material Amendments appear to be an incomplete set. Moreover, qualitative language is used by the applicant, so our comments have to be couched on the basis of an incomplete picture. We are unable to see a south elevation with the new windows, the rear door arrangement and ground floor level, and potentially a larger or different dormer on third floor front. We are therefore unable to evaluate how much of an impact they might have on residents at numbers 4 and 6, such as a loss of privacy. We ask that these diagrams be added and metrics are used to compare the approved plan with the new proposed plan.

2. Our larger concern, based on clear information provided, is that the footprint of the building would now appear to be even closer to the public footpath than the previous plan, and would jut out in an awkward way. All other buildings in our road are set well back from the street. It would appear that the new building would no longer align with 4A and would throw off the current harmony of the street scene. We therefore object to this change.

Thank you for considering our concerns.

Sincerely, Audrey Mandela Chair, Hampstead Hill Gardens Residents' Association Dear Planning Officer Hope:

As an immediate neighbour, I would like to Object to the proposed Non-material Amendment Application which has been filed (2023/2378/P) for the property at 4 b Hampstead Hill Gardens, NW3 2PL.

In particular, the proposal to extend the front edge of the building even closer to the public footpath should be strongly resisted by the Council. This change would be entirely out of keeping with neighbouring properties on the road which are all set back and would set an unfortunate and negative precedent for future development. The change would literally put the leading edge of the building within arms reach from the footpath, crowding the street scene and changing the visual perspective for those using the public road. If allowed, this change would fundamentally alter the pedestrian experience while also exacerbating the driving risks associated with the tight curve of the road.

This proposed change would alter the fabric of the local street scene and is unnecessary for the overall build that is being proposed. It is in fact quite a material proposal and should be rejected as it is inconsistent with the spirit of what was proposed and approved on Appeal.

I respectfully Object to this element of the application and ask that the Council rejects the application.

Kind regards.

Todd Berman, 3 Hampstead Hill Gardens London NW3 2PH