

Dear Obote Hope,

Objection as online submissions are closed.

The approved application involves bringing part of the footprint closer to the boundary with the public footpath. The existing dwelling already has a carefully considered and sympathetic boundary in keeping with the streetscape. The existing permission for part of the new building to be even nearer to the footpath created an unfortunate precedent as all the surrounding dwellings are set back from the street. However, at least the building line was staggered so that (i) the part adjoining 4a (the host dwelling) continued to be aligned with 4a's front and (ii) the protruding part being on the bend slightly obscures its proximity to the street boundary. The proposed amendment to the front removes the alignment and thus creates an obtrusive structure with the close proximity to the boundary. This is attention drawing and out of step with the streetscape and is not worth incorporating for better drainage from the flat roof.

I was not able to see a rear elevation and so cannot comment on the windows on the first floor and patio on the ground floor. I do think that the ground floor rear is an improvement in principle. It was not clear whether the second floor dormer was of a similar size to the relatively over dominant approved version which if it is there is no objection. Please can this be clarified?

Yours sincerely,

Alex Shinder
9 Hampstead Hill Gardens London NW3 2PH