

Dear Daniel

You will have received my previous emails about consideration of Art 4 directions on this development.

This is even more essential in view of this blatant example, as objected to below, which tries to take advantage of PD to the detriment of amenity, environmental and biodiversity considerations.

We have asked for a meeting on this subject, could you please now let us have a date? We need your guidance or members of your team to please help pursue this subject.

I am aware that some time ago the Redington Froggnal Forum and associations did considerable work on this but the officer involved has now left. In view of our biodiversity ambitions, and need to protect trees and open spaces, we would like your advice as how to pursue.

Kind regards
Linda
Cllr Linda Chung
Hampstead Town Ward

Subject: OBJECTIONS: 14 GREENAWAY GARDENS, NW3 Applications for PD Refs 2023/3072 - 2023/3074 - 2023/3078 -2023/3081

Dear Geri

OBJECTIONS: 14 GREENAWAY GARDENS, NW3 Applications for PD Refs 2023/3072 - 2023/3074 - 2023/3078 - 2023/3081

I refer to the above 4 different applications applying for Cert of Lawful development.

This, to me, is a naive ruse to circumvent the GPDO regulations.

Because they are separate applications, I will also respond to each one separately (unless you tell me that it's not necessary) so that my objections can be logged for each one.

I'm sorry to be tedious but that is how the developer seem to want to play a game of 4 separate applications for the five outbuildings already refused for Ref 2022/5583/P.

However in a summary of my collective objections to all four applications, I say as follows:

1. Application Ref 2022/5583/P - You produced a deep and detailed Recommendation for Refusal of a Certificate, dated 14/02/ 2023. You produced finely reasoned recommendation for refusal of a Certificate. A refusal decision was signed on 12 June 2023 by the Chief Planning Officer, Daniel Pope.

2. Each and every one of the current applications merit your refusal grounds as detailed in your report, Recommendation for Refusal.

None of them merit any valid consideration. To consider any one as meriting approval is a blatant misuse of the regulations, an understanding of your finely detailed analysis, and Camden's clear refusal decision.

To allow one, is to allow all, because of the precedent effect.

The cumulative impact, should a precedent be allowed, stares us in the face.
I therefore respectfully ask Camden to refuse all 4 applications and request a Stop order on the preparatory work which we believe has started before grant of approval.

I would be grateful to hear Camden's response.

Kind regards

Linda
Cllr Linda Chung
Hampstead Town Ward
Resident Frognal Ward