

From: patsy hickman  
Sent: 09 August 2023 17:44  
To: Planning  
Subject: Further to my email on the EES aggrandizing planning in Doughty Mews

Dear Camden Planning

There are further comments I should like to add to my objection on the planning of the above

1. Removal of the beautiful plane trees at No 8 Doughty Street may well cause heave which will affect (yet again) the back wall of the EES and possibly the garden walls of No 8, 7, and 9 Doughty street. I understand regular maintenance of trees that avoids damage to walls is usually accomplished with the regular pollarding of the trees by the owner responsible for their upkeep - that is One Housing. As a resident, I have noted that this has been irregular from time to time. If indeed heave is caused by the removal of the trees there may be years of litigation which no one will want.

2. I note that this planning application has been scheduled in August. The month where contentious planning applications may be slipped in because objectors are often away on holiday. Could therefore the application be extended through September and October with the usual announcements attached to street lamps in Doughty street, John street and Doughty Mews so that the full implications - that of the loss of the trees in particular - are made known.

3. The footprint of the EES and skyline footprint should be left exactly in the manner of Doughty Mews. Without changes. Surely English Heritage should be made aware of the general policy and desire to keep the original style and flavor of this extremely attractive mews - In a similar manner that the wonderful Charles Dickens Museum has done externally in Doughty Street.

Many thanks to Camden Council for all their good work

Patsy Hickman  
7 Doughty street