

From:

Sent: 01 August 2023 10:52

To: Planning

Cc: David Fowler

Subject: Re: Composite Planning Applications (Planning Applications) in respect of proposals for the development of a series of plots bounded by High Holborn, Museum Street, New Oxford Street and West Central Street including Selkirk House, Museum Street (now refe

Dear Sirs

This email deals solely with the question of light levels in some of the structures proposed and also the impact of the proposals on light levels in existing buildings.

I am frankly shocked that Camden, whose officers have evidently (based on FOI data and the admissions of the applicant's proxies) been working closely with the applicant and its predecessor over many years, can even be considering authorising the project covered by the Planning Applications, given the severe light level impacts and results, many of which are so far below national standard guidelines.

The health impacts seem to have been ignored. I assume that Camden planning have consulted colleagues in environmental health.

There comes a point when, despite the self-serving attempted justifications made on behalf of the applicant, the cumulative and systematic departures from acceptable standards make it unreasonable to allow the Planning Applications to proceed in their present form.

Please treat this as an additional objection to the Planning Applications and place on the planning portal.

Many thanks

Regards

Peter Bloxham

Peter Bloxham