CampbellReith consulting engineers

Confidential

42 Elsworthy Road, London, NW3 3DL

Basement Impact Assessment Audit

For London Borough of Camden

> Project No. 13693-68

Date June 2023

Campbell Reith Hill LLP 15 Bermondsey Square London SE1 3UN

T: +44 (0)20 7340 1700 E: london@campbellreith.com W: www.campbellreith.com



DOCUMENT HISTORY AND STATUS

Revision	Date	Purpose/ Status	File Ref	Author	Check	Review
D1	August 2022	Comment	NSkb-13693-68- 100822-42 Elsworthy Road.doc	NS	КВ	КВ
F1	June 2023	Planning	NSkb-13693-68- 190623-42 Elsworthy Road_F1	NS	КВ	КВ

This document has been prepared in accordance with the scope of Campbell Reith Hill LLP's (CampbellReith) appointment with its client and is subject to the terms of the appointment. It is addressed to and for the sole use and reliance of CampbellReith's client. CampbellReith accepts no liability for any use of this document other than by its client and only for the purposes, stated in the document, for which it was prepared and provided. No person other than the client may copy (in whole or in part) use or rely on the contents of this document, without the prior written permission of Campbell Reith Hill LLP. Any advice, opinions, or recommendations within this document should be read and relied upon only in the context of the document as a whole. The contents of this document are not to be construed as providing legal, business or tax advice or opinion.

© Campbell Reith Hill LLP 2023

Document Details

Last Saved	19/06/23 10:15
Author	N Simonini
Project Partner	L Brown
Project Number	13693-68
Project Name	42 Elsworthy Road, London, NW3 3DL
Revision	F1
File Ref	NSkb-13693-68-190623-42 Elsworthy Road_F1.docx



CONTENTS

1.0	Non- technical summAry	.4
2.0	iNTRODUCTION	.5
3.0	BASEMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUDIT CHECK LIST	.7
4.0	Discussion	10
5.0	Conclusions	12

APPENDICES

Appendix 1 Residents' Consultation Comment	. 13
Appendix 2 Audit Query Tracker	. 14
Appendix 3 Supplementary Supporting Documents	. 16



1.0 NON- TECHNICAL SUMMARY

- 1.1 CampbellReith was instructed by London Borough of Camden, (LBC) to carry out an audit on the Basement Impact Assessment submitted as part of the Planning Submission documentation for 42 Elsworthy Road, London NW3 3DL (planning reference 2022/1537/P). The basement is considered to fall within Category B as defined by the Terms of Reference.
- 1.2 The Audit reviewed the Basement Impact Assessment (BIA) for potential impact on land stability and local ground and surface water conditions arising from basement development in accordance with LBC's policies and technical procedures.
- 1.3 CampbellReith was able to access LBC's Planning Portal and gain access to the latest revision of submitted documentation and reviewed it against an agreed audit check list.
- **1.4** The proposed development comprises the construction of a single-level basement beneath the western part of the house, using traditional underpinning techniques.
- **1.5** The qualifications of the individuals involved in the production of the BIA are in line with Camden's guidance.
- 1.6 Screening and scoping assessments are presented and informed by desk study information.
- 1.7 It is accepted that the proposed basement will not impact the hydrology or hydrogeology of the area.
- 1.8 Additional consideration of the impact of the proposed tree removal has been presented.
- 1.9 The site investigation confirmed that the basement will be founded into the London Clay, which is considered a suitable founding stratum. Any groundwater ingress during the excavation will be managed via traditional sump pumping.
- 1.10 A Flood Risk Assessment has been undertaken which concludes that the site is at low risk of flooding from all the sources and that the proposed development will not increase groundwater and surface flooding risk in the surrounding area.
- 1.11 Geotechnical parameters are presented and used consistently in all calculations.
- **1.12** Structural proposal including underpinning construction sequence and temporary works have been presented.
- 1.13 A ground movement assessment and damage assessment are provided to demonstrate that ground movements and consequential damage to neighbouring properties will be within the LBC's policy requirements. The result of the preliminary damage assessment confirms that damage to neighbouring properties will not exceed Category 1 (Very Slight) on the Burland scale.
- 1.14 An outline ground movement monitoring proposal has been presented in the BIA.
- 1.15 Queries and requests for information are summarised in Appendix 2. Considering the additional information presented, the BIA meets the requirements of Camden Planning Guidance: Basements.



2.0 INTRODUCTION

- 2.1 CampbellReith was instructed by London Borough of Camden (LBC) on the 28th of May 2022 to carry out a Category B audit on the Basement Impact Assessment (BIA) submitted as part of the Planning Submission documentation for 42 Elsworthy, London, NW3 3DL, planning reference 2022/1537/P.
- 2.2 The audit was carried out in accordance with the Terms of Reference set by LBC. It reviewed the Basement Impact Assessment for potential impact on land stability and local ground and surface water conditions arising from basement development.
- 2.3 A BIA is required for all planning applications with basements in Camden in general accordance with policies and technical procedures contained within:
 - Camden Local Plan 2017 Policy A5 Basements.
 - Camden Planning Guidance (CPG): Basements. January 2021.
 - Guidance for Subterranean Development (GSD). Issue 01. November 2010. Ove Arup & Partners.
- 2.4 The BIA should demonstrate that schemes:
 - a) maintain the structural stability of the building and neighbouring properties;
 - b) avoid adversely affecting drainage and run off or causing other damage to the water environment;
 - avoid cumulative impacts upon structural stability or the water environment in the local area;

and evaluate the impacts of the proposed basement considering the issues of hydrology, hydrogeology and land stability via the process described by the GSD and to make recommendations for the detailed design.

- 2.5 LBC's Audit Instruction described the planning proposal as "Erection of two-storey west side extension following demolition of existing structure, demolition and rebuilt of existing east side extension; basement excavation with front, rear ligthwells; extension of front, side dormers; repositioning of rooflights; increase the doors height at lower ground floor rear; increase height of vehicular gates and piers to front; replacement of all single glazed timber sash windows with new double glazed timber sash windows; new landscaping; provision of bin and cycle storage to front garden; new plant equipment in rear garden, all to reconfigure the existing three flats".
- 2.6 The audit instruction confirmed that the development neither involves, nor is a neighbour to, listed buildings.
- 2.7 CampbellReith accessed LBC's Planning Portal on the 25th of July 2022 and gained access to the following relevant documents for audit purposes:
 - Basement Impact Assessment by Create Consulting Engineers Ltd, ref: CB/CS/P17-1308/01/Rev B, dated 08 June 2022.



- Appendices D to J from previous revision of the BIA by Create Consulting Engineers Ltd, ref: CB/CS/P17-1308/01/Rev A DRAFT, dated 25 May 2022.
- Structural Engineers Statement by Axiom Structures Limited, ref.: 21142, rev P2, dated 14 February 2022.
- Architectural Drawings by Wolff Architects including demolition, existing and proposed plans and sections, dated 28th March 2022, Rev. 0.
- Arboricultural Impact Assessment by John Cromar's Arboricultural Company Ltd (ref.: S87-J3-IA-3), dated March 2022.
- 2.8 After CampbellReith's initial audit report (D1), the scheme changed to revert back to the approved basement under the application number 2019/0149/P. The original BIA was audited by CampbellReith in August 2019 (ref.: GKemb12985-42-300819-42 Elsworthy Road-F1). The following documents relevant for audit purposes, have been received in November 2022, February 2023 and May 2023:
 - Note on 42 Elsworthy Road, London, Nw3 3DL Planning Application Ref 2022/1537/P, by Savills dated 11 November 2022;
 - Revised Basement Impact Assessment by Create Consulting Engineers Ltd, ref: CB/CS/P17-1308/01/Rev E, dated November 2022.
 - Revised Structural Engineers Statement by Axiom Structures Limited, ref.: 21142, rev P4, dated 11 June 2022.
 - Revised Architectural Drawings by Wolff Architects including demolition, existing and proposed plans and sections, dated 28th March 2022unknown, Rev. B0.
 - Revised Arboricultural Impact Assessment by John Cromar's Arboricultural Company Ltd ref.: S87-J4-AMSCI-1, dated August 2022.
 - Underpinning Wall Design by WBD Structures, ref.:2022113-WDB-TW-REP003, dated April 2023.
 - Revised Ground Movement Assessment by CGL, ref.: CGL/09996, Rev. 1 dated May 2023.
- 2.9 From Savill's note it is understood that the basement is currently under construction.



3.0 BASEMENT IMPACT ASSESSMENT AUDIT CHECK LIST

Item	Yes/No/ NA	Comment
Are BIA Author(s) credentials satisfactory?	Yes	
Is data required by Cl.233 of the GSD presented?	Yes	
Does the description of the proposed development include all aspects of temporary and permanent works which might impact upon geology, hydrogeology and hydrology?	Yes	Section 3 of the BIA.
Are suitable plan/maps included?	Yes	Section 3 and 4 of the BIA and architect's drawings.
Do the plans/maps show the whole of the relevant area of study and do they show it in sufficient detail?	Yes	
Land Stability Screening: Have appropriate data sources been consulted? Is justification provided for 'No' answers?	Yes	Section 5 of the BIA. However, Question 6 has now been answered as 'Yes' as trees are going to be removed.
Hydrogeology Screening: Have appropriate data sources been consulted? Is justification provided for 'No' answers?	Yes	Section 5 of the BIA.
Hydrology Screening: Have appropriate data sources been consulted? Is justification provided for 'No' answers?	Yes	Section 5 of the BIA.
Is a conceptual model presented?	Yes	Section 7 of the BIA.



Land Stability Scoping Provided? Is scoping consistent with screening outcome?	Yes	Question 6 is now brought forward to scoping.
Hydrogeology Scoping Provided? Is scoping consistent with screening outcome?	N/A	No items identified in the screening process.
Hydrology Scoping Provided? Is scoping consistent with screening outcome?	N/A	No items identified in the screening process.
Is factual ground investigation data provided?	Yes	Appendix F of the BIA.
Is monitoring data presented?	Yes	Appendix J of the BIA and Section 7 of the BIA.
Is the ground investigation informed by a desk study?	Yes	
Has a site walkover been undertaken?	Yes	On May 2017 and April 2022.
Is the presence/absence of adjacent or nearby basements confirmed?	No	However, assumptions made in the assessment are considered conservative.
Is a geotechnical interpretation presented?	Yes	Section 8 of the BIA. Parameters for the Weathered London Clay have been amended.
Does the geotechnical interpretation include information on retaining wall design?	Yes	Section 8 of the BIA.
Are reports on other investigations required by screening and scoping presented?	Yes	Ground Investigation report and FRA provided.
Are the baseline conditions described, based on the GSD?	Yes	



Do the base line conditions consider adjacent or nearby basements?	Yes	Assumptions are considered conservative.
Is an Impact Assessment provided?	Yes	Section 9 of the BIA.
Are estimates of ground movement and structural impact presented?	Yes	Ground Movement Assessment (GMA) and outline structural proposal provided.
Is the Impact Assessment appropriate to the matters identified by screening and scoping?	Yes	
Has the need for mitigation been considered and are appropriate mitigation methods incorporated in the scheme?	Yes	
Has the need for monitoring during construction been considered?	Yes	Section 8.17 of the BIA.
Have the residual (after mitigation) impacts been clearly identified?	Yes	Residual impacts are considered to be negligible.
Has the scheme demonstrated that the structural stability of the building and neighbouring properties and infrastructure will be maintained?	Yes	Impacts of tree removals on neighbouring properties are presented.
Has the scheme avoided adversely affecting drainage and run- off or causing other damage to the water environment?	Yes	
Has the scheme avoided cumulative impacts upon structural stability or the water environment in the local area?	Yes	As above.
Does report state that damage to surrounding buildings will be no worse than Burland Category 1?	Yes	
Are non-technical summaries provided?	Yes	



4.0 **DISCUSSION**

- 4.1 After CambpellReith's initial audit report (D1), the scheme has changed to revert back to the approved basement under the application number 2019/0149/P. The original BIA was audited by CampbellReith in August 2019 (ref.: GKemb12985-42-300819-42 Elsworthy Road-F1).
- 4.2 The Basement Impact Assessment (BIA) has been carried out by Create Consulting Engineers. The qualifications of the individuals involved in the production of the BIA meet the requirements of CPG Basements.
- 4.3 The site is occupied by a three-storey detached house which includes a minor two storey structure to the west with internal garages. The property has a lower ground floor covering the whole footprint of the building. To the rear and side (west) there are gardens with many mature trees and shrubs. The property is not listed and there are no listed buildings close by.
- 4.4 The proposed development comprises an extension to the existing lower ground floor and the construction of a new basement, which will extend roughly below the footprint of the existing building. The basement will include an upper basement (to the east) which will provide additional living space, and a lower basement area (to the west) where a swimming pool is proposed. SSL for the upper and lower basements are proposed at 42.875m AOD and 39.825m AOD respectively.
- 4.5 Screening and scoping assessments are presented and are informed by desk study information.
- 4.6 The Arboricultural Impact Assessment recommends a number of trees to be removed as part of the development. The BIA has assessed the impact to neighbouring properties due to tree removal to be negligible.
- 4.7 A site investigation was undertaken by Create in July 2017. Site works included four boreholes undertaken from existing ground level to a maximum depth of 15.00m bgl. Made Ground of thickness up to 1.50m was found on top of the Weathered London Clay and London Clay which was encountered to final depth of the borehole.
- 4.8 No groundwater was encountered during the ground investigations. All four boreholes were installed with monitoring standpipes and four return monitoring visits were carried out between July and September 2017, and in April 2022. Groundwater was recorded between c. 1.20 and 11.15m bgl, with a trend of water level increasing over time. The BIA states that, as groundwater was not encountered during the site investigation, the water recorded in the boreholes is likely to be from perched groundwater held in fissures and/or Made Ground, which is accumulating over time. The Structural Engineer Statement (SES) indicates any groundwater ingress during the excavation will be managed via traditional sump pumping. It is accepted that the basement will not impact the hydrogeology of the area.



- 4.9 The site has a low risk of flooding from surface water and no increase in hardstanding areas is proposed. A Flood Risk Assessment (FRA) has been undertaken, which concludes that the site is at low risk of flooding from all the identified sources and that the proposed development will not increase groundwater or surface flooding risk in the surrounding area. It is accepted that the basement will not have a significant impact on the hydrology of the area.
- 4.10 Geotechnical parameters to be adopted in the basement design and ground movement calculations are presented. The undrained shear strength assumed for the Weathered London Clay has been revised based on the results from the ground investigation. The BIA indicates a value of 190kPa for the bearing capacity at the upper basement level. A value of 140 160kPa has been adopted in the structural calculations and this is accepted.
- 4.11 Parameters for retaining walls (Young's Modulus and angle of shearing resistance) have been presented in the BIA. The soil density used in the preliminary retaining wall calculations in Appendix 2 of the SES are not consistent with those given in Table 8.1 of the BIA. However, the parameters used in the calculations are accepted.
- 4.12 An outline structural proposal and associated drawings are included in the BIA and an underpinning wall design has ben also presented. Underpinning below the existing perimeter walls is proposed to form the new upper basement, while contiguous embedded retaining wall is proposed to facilitate the excavation of the lower basement. A multistage underpinning wall is required to reach the final basement depth. There will be two stages in a hit and miss technique and the sequence will follow a top-down construction. Lateral support will be provided by the ground floor slab at the top, a temporary prop/waling system at the midpoint and the basement slab. Allowance for minor dewatering during the excavation has also been made.
- 4.13 A Ground Movement Assessment (GMA) and damage assessment are provided to demonstrate that ground movements and consequential damage to neighbouring properties will be within the LBC's policy requirements. The analysis was carried out using the software PLAXIS and focus on the critical section along the eastern boundary with 40 Elsworthy Road which is the only neighbouring property affected by the basement construction. Anticipated ground movements have been estimated to be between 5mm and 10mm in both the vertical and horizontal directions.
- 4.14 The result of the preliminary damage assessment confirms that damage to neighbouring properties will be within Category 1 of the Burland Scale. An assessment has been also undertaken for the adjacent road and negligible damage is anticipated for the road and any services running along it.
- 4.15 The SES recommends the construction works should be carried out by a competent and experienced in similar works contractor. A structural monitoring strategy has will need to be developed as part of the Party Wall negotiations to control construction works and maintain movements/damage impacts within the limits predicted in the GMA.



5.0 CONCLUSIONS

- 5.1 The qualifications of the individuals involved in the production of the BIA are in line with Camden's guidance.
- 5.2 Screening and scoping assessments are presented and informed by desk study information. The BIA presents an impact assessment on neighbouring properties due to tree removal.
- 5.3 The site investigation confirmed that the basement will be founded in the Weathered London Clay.
- 5.4 A Flood Risk Assessment has been undertaken, which concludes that the site is at low risk of flooding from all the sources and that the proposed development will not increase groundwater and surface flooding risk in the surrounding area.
- 5.5 It is accepted that the basement will not impact the hydrology or hydrogeology of the surrounding area.
- 5.6 Geotechnical parameters are presented and used consistently in all calculations.
- 5.7 A structural proposal including construction sequence, underpin design and outline temporary works has been presented. Any groundwater ingress during the excavation will be managed via traditional sump pumping.
- 5.8 A ground movement assessment (GMA) and damage assessment are provided to demonstrate that ground movements and consequential damage to neighbouring properties will be within the LBC's policy requirements.
- 5.9 The result of the damage assessment confirms that damage to neighbouring properties will be within Category 1 (Very Slight) of the Burland Scale.
- 5.10 Queries and requests for information are summarised in Appendix 2. Considering the additional information presented, the BIA meets the requirements of Camden Planning Guidance: Basements.





Appendix 1

Residents' Consultation Comment

None relevant to this audit.

Appendix





Appendix 2 Audit Query Tracker



Audit Query Tracker

Query No	Subject	Query	Status	Date closed out
1	Land Stability	Question 6 of the land stability screening should be brought to scoping as the Arboricultural Impact Assessment recommends a number of trees to be removed as part of the development. The BIA should consider the impact on neighbouring properties due to tree removal.	Closed – See Section 4.6	June 2023
2	Geotechnical Interpretation	The undrained shear strength for the Weathered London Clay and associated bearing capacity should be amended. Parameters for retaining walls (Young's Modulus and angle of shearing resistance) are not presented and are required. The outline retaining wall calculations should use parameters consistent with those presented in the BIA.	Closed – See Section 4.10 and 4.11.	June 2023
3	Construction	Confirmation of the underpinning sequence and number of lifts is requested.	Closed – See Section 4.12.	June 2023
4	Construction	The trigger levels proposed for the movement monitoring should be revised to reflect the values calculated in the ground movement assessment.	Closed_– See Section 4.15.	June 2023





Appendix 3

Supplementary Supporting Documents

None

Appendix

London

15 Bermondsey Square London SE1 3UN

T: +44 (0)20 7340 1700 E: london@campbellreith.com

Bristol

Unit 5.03, HERE, 470 Bath Road, Bristol BS4 3AP

T: +44 (0)117 916 1066 E: bristol@campbellreith.com

Birmingham

Chantry House High Street, Coleshill Birmingham B46 3BP

T: +44 (0)1675 467 484 E: birmingham@campbellreith.com

Manchester

No. 1 Marsden Street Manchester M2 1HW

T: +44 (0)161 819 3060 E: manchester@campbellreith.com

Campbell Reith Hill LLP. Registered in England & Wales. Limited Liability Partnership No OC300082 A list of Members is available at our Registered Office at: 15 Bermondsey Square, London, SE1 3UN VAT No 974 8892 43