

**From:** William Williams  
**Sent:** 22 July 2023 16:06  
**To:** Planning  
**Cc:** David Fowler; save museum street  
**Subject:** Application references 2023/2510/P and 2023/2653/L

Dear sir,

Re; applications references **2023/2510/P** and **2023/2653/L**

I object very strongly to the building proposed in this application for many reasons:

A building a this height is out of character for this area and will set a precedent for future similar building applications.

The proposed building would be close to many listed building and would affect them adversely. These include the British Museum, St. George's Church and Bedford Square. Covent Garden and Bloomsbury are both conservation areas and both would be affected by this inappropriate structure.

Right now, all over the world, we are seeing the most visible effects of climate change happening at an unprecedented rate. Demolishing this building and replacing it with yet more concrete is about the least-ecologically sound method of improving this site imaginable. As we face the reality of the climate emergency, Camden needs to be a leader in sustainable development. Much could be done to refurbish and the existing building and improve it enormously without the need for demolition.

The Princes Circus development is now, finally, complete after years of noise, disruption and pollution. It is completely unacceptable to propose further years of the same at a location so close. It is simply inhuman for residents and we ask that Camden supports us in this, rather than maximising profits for builders.

There is currently practically nothing of any benefit to local residents in this scheme. The primary purpose of this building seems to be to create office space, something that we clearly do not need given the vast amount of office space still empty after the pandemic. There is not nearly enough housing

included in the proposed scheme and what there is appears to be badly designed and with insufficient natural light.

I objected to this planning application when it was made first time around and given that this new application is pretty much identical to the first, it is very irksome to have to go through this again. Presumably the builders' strategy is one of attrition so I would be very grateful if you would reject this application entirely and finally to save us all from further wasted time.

Best regards,

Willie Williams  
64a Neal Street  
London WC2H 9PA