

---

**From:** Christopher Smith1  
**Sent:** 18 July 2023 15:27  
**To:** Planning Planning  
**Subject:** FW: 94 Mill Lane 2022/2508/P, 2022/5198/P,2022/5197/P

[REDACTED]

Chris

---

**From:** Parnjit Singh <[Parnjit.Singh@camden.gov.uk](mailto:Parnjit.Singh@camden.gov.uk)>  
**Sent:** 18 July 2023 14:48  
**To:** Christopher Smith1 <[Christopher.Smith1@camden.gov.uk](mailto:Christopher.Smith1@camden.gov.uk)>  
**Subject:** RE: 94 Mill Lane 2022/2508/P, 2022/5198/P,2022/5197/P

[REDACTED]

Kind Regards

[REDACTED]



Please consider the environment before printing this email.

---

**From:** Christopher Smith1 <[Christopher.Smith1@camden.gov.uk](mailto:Christopher.Smith1@camden.gov.uk)>  
**Sent:** 18 July 2023 14:46  
**To:** Parnjit Singh <[Parnjit.Singh@camden.gov.uk](mailto:Parnjit.Singh@camden.gov.uk)>  
**Subject:** FW: 94 Mill Lane 2022/2508/P, 2022/5198/P,2022/5197/P

[REDACTED]

---

**From:** Planning Planning <[Planning@camden.gov.uk](mailto:Planning@camden.gov.uk)>  
**Sent:** 17 July 2023 10:32  
**To:** Christopher Smith1 <[Christopher.Smith1@camden.gov.uk](mailto:Christopher.Smith1@camden.gov.uk)>  
**Subject:** FW: 94 Mill Lane [REDACTED], 2022/5198/P, [REDACTED]

---

**From:** Fran N <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** 15 July 2023 12:52  
**To:** Planning Planning <[Planning@camden.gov.uk](mailto:Planning@camden.gov.uk)>  
**Subject:** 94 Mill Lane 2022/2508/P, 2022/5198/P,2022/5197/P



Dear Sir/Madam,

Does the void shown on the proposed plan of basement window mean that the project will take away the public pavement area, and will this plan cause the parade corner to require underpinning or a retaining wall to make both the structure and neighbouring building legally safe on the hill incline at Broomsleigh Street/Mill Lane?

I'd be grateful for your expert opinion on this, and also your comment on any powers LB Camden has to enforce the letting of the retail unit **with** access to store, toilet, bathroom and discrete power/water supplies.

At present this application appears to lack any benefits for the local community as shown by the objections since the first application, and the latest proposal does not apparently enhance the preserved Victorian frontage at all. I would therefore urge you to reject this proposal and hope you will be able to reply to me about the void, stability and enforcing retail use.

With thanks for your assistance,

Yours sincerely,

Fran Newell