From: pbloxham

Sent: 17 July 2023 16:15

To: Planning **Cc:** David Fowler

Subject: Re: Composite Planning Applications (Planning Applications) in respect of proposals for the development of a series of plots bounded by High Holborn, Museum Street, New Oxford Street and West Central Street including Selkirk

House, Museum Street (now refe

Dear Sirs

I am forwarding an email originally submitted in January 2022 in the context of the previous application in relation to Selkirk House and other plots.

In view of the fact that the Applications are described by Simten as a continuation of the 2021 application and characterised by LCC in the following terms: the proposals for the One Museum Street office building, High Holborn and the Vine Line building are unchanged from the previous application, I consider that my submissions continue to be relevant. Please therefore accept this as a representation in opposition to the Applications.

In the context of site allocations, the Council will also need to have regard to the summary prepared by the Council of responses to the Council's site allocations consultation, insofar as relates to the plots the subject of the Applications.

Regards Peter Bloxham

Peter Bloxham

From:

Sent: Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:06 AM

To: Fowler, David

Subject: Selkirk House

Dear Mr Fowler

I recently submitted to the Council my comments on part of the Council's draft site allocations policy, focusing on the area around my home with which I am most familiar.

One of the curious aspects of paras 7.23 to 7.30 of the document is that parts of it appear to have been drafted so as to accommodate the planning application already submitted to Camden by Labtech and which you and your colleagues are currently considering, together with the representations made on the application. This seems a rather strange way of developing the Council's policy.

In view of that, I am sending you a copy of my submission, which should be considered as a supplemental representation in opposition to the Labtech application.

Regards

Peter Bloxham

Peter Bloxham