*	carks above were done open after the owner moved in last year
-	tarks above were done open after the owner moved in last year
	orks above were done soon after the owner moved in last year.  removed and put in storage and which has now been put back.
	e reclaimed setts, these were set in concrete and although they look good, no consideration was n off around the edge. The hedges were removed and boundary wooden fencing has been put
	unable to speak to the owner as there is now a tenant in there. was unaware that the garden is listed.
without conse listing for Will	ct to consent being provided for much of the proposed works, which have already been taken ent. Willow Cottages are Grade II listed, and lie within the Hampstead Conservation Area. The ow Cottages expressly mentions the long front gardens of the properties - they are therefore a the heritage asset.
	, the owners went ahead and paved the entire front yard, installed a bin store and a pergola - all g with the heritage asset and the Conservation Area. That work must now all be undone.
soft landscap	ection to the paving stones being lifted from most of the front garden, and the land restored to ing, to assist with biodiversity, water run-off etc. This will help protect the heritage asset. As much be returned as possible, with as few pavers left as possible.
inappropriate	n the lightwell should be removed. It is out of keeping with the 1866 building, unnecessary, and . The cottages have largely maintained their similarity at the front - this would be a step away d therefore would damage the heritage asset.
The bin store	should also be removed. None of the other cottages in the row has a hard bin store at the front.
I have no view the work bein	w on the black-painted metal fence, other than that a specific example should be given prior to g approved.
It is such a sh remedy can r	name that these works went ahead without prior notice or consent - and I hope an appropriate now be found.