| Delegated Repo | OORT Analysis sheet | | Expiry Date: | 26/06/2023 |
|--|--|----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------|
| | N/A / attached | | Consultation Expiry Date: | |
| Officer Nick Bell | | Application No 2023/1933/T | | |
| Application Address | | Drawing Numl | hers | |
| 60 Aberdare Gardens London NW6 3QD | | | 5010 | |
| PO 3/4 Area Team S | Signature C&UD | Authorised Of | ficer Signature | |
| Proposal(s) | | | | |
| REAR GARDEN: 1 x Londo 1 x London Plane (T3) - Fell | | | eco plugs. | |
| | oject to notification of i | ntended works | to tree(s) in a c | onservation |
| Application Type: No | Notification of Intended Works to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area | | | |

| Conditions or Reasons for Refusal: | Refer to Draft Dec | ision N | otice | | | |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------|--|---|--|--|
| Informatives: | . Refer to Drait Dec | ISIOII IN | otice | | | |
| Consultations | | | | | | |
| Adjoining Occupiers: | No. notified | 34 | No. of responses | 04 | No. of objections | 03 |
| | | | No. electronic | 00 | | |
| Summary of consultation responses: | The council receive below: I wish to sug works may be the fact that a next door at water table as well suited to for the felling reduction she nature quite. I wish to sug works may be the fact that a next door at water sign are some 23 inconceivable such a distart not implicate sample of a plot is however subject to an and it is sugge (eg. crown rebulk and water table as well suited to for the felling reduction she nature quite. In my view, we to the mention landscape as They are sign. | | st that, based on my manecessary. My suggrance between the tree on next door 2. The massare in the heart of the well-noted character rea Appraisal docume end boundaries of the rear of some of the rear of some of the rear of some end their roots can be on the rear of some end their roots can be on the rear of some end their roots can be on the rear of some end their roots can be on the rear of some end their roots can be on the rear of some some some some some some some some | neasure ested researches and to aximure set and to rear grand or and garder and Go as a post and at one set build at a one set build at a one set manare and set manare entry between the set and set | ements, the propose easons are that: he nearest houses in height of the trees are their bases. ampstead Conservate detailed in the ne verdant band of the ardens. The substant located along this disprovide a significant rall habitat, and as sons treescape for sevoldhurst Terrace. The more dwellings in 35 or more people. Sing and it is gisgnificant damage states that their roof ly 1.5mm diameter ne of the boreholes. ar not to have been agement in recent youngement interventing inficantly reduce the lation and weights of the clay soil. The Ltd seems not to have ment between the lation and weights of ment movement and the much older rall the clay soil. The lation and weights of ment movement and the lation and weights of ment movement and lead the much older rall the clay soil are the lation and weights of ment movement and the lation and weights of ment movement and lead the modern planes are by the lation are that is resion should be refusificant crown and he lation and planes are by the lation and you may also are than a pity to fell the gistructures, our attant and you may also | ed is 104 is 15 fation all and the result of |

| | Aberdare on the attached side of 58 and if 58 Aberdare has any issues it would be worth taking that into consideration in your assessment of this proposal. |
|--|---|
| CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify | CRASH submitted the following objection: CRASH strongly objects to the proposed felling of these two mature plane trees in the rear garden of 60 Aberdare Gardens, also noting that the application is submitted by the neighbouring property. CRASH fully endorses the points raised in the objection submitted by E Peel. These trees are highly visible by multiple properties and provide valuable environmental benefits for wildlife and climate. The evidence submitted in the application indicates plane tree roots of tiny dimensions near their property and limited evidence of a causal link since this whole area is on shrinkable clay affected by seasonal climate irrespective of trees and shrubs and the properties have very limited foundations and numerous properties in the locality have undertaken extensive building work which can cause building movement to neighbours. |

Assessment

The s.211 notification is for the removal of two London plane trees from the rear garden of a residential property that is situated within the South Hampstead Conservation Area. The documents submitted with the notification allege that the trees are contributing to property damage at a neighbouring property.

The two large, mature London plane trees are in excess of 20m in height. The trees are visible from the public realm, between properties on Aberdare Gardens and Goldhurst Terrace. The trees are considered to significantly contribute to the character and appearance of the conservation area and to provide amenity to the public.

The trees form part of a green corridor which runs along the rear gardens of Aberdare Gardens and Goldhurst Terrace which forms a significant landscape feature. The two trees and the green corridor collectively provides habitat potential and help to combat climate change.

Section 5.22 of the South Hampstead Conservation Area Character Appraisal and Management Statrgy states:

"Green views into verdant back gardens and into the four private open spaces also play an important part in establishing the serene suburban character of the conservation area""

Section 5.23 of the same document states:

"The conservation area generally has a decent level of tree cover which should be protected and enhanced where possible"

Section 5.25 states:

"Views along rear garden vistas and into areas of dense tree cover are characteristic of the conservation area and should be protected. Views of mature trees between buildings from the public highway provide a sense of space and openness and give the impression of properties with large rear gardens."

The documents submitted with the notification allege that the trees are contributing to property damage at a neighbouring property. Level and/or crack monitoring data has not been included with the submission. As such, the council considered the evidence to be inconclusive as it has not been demonstrated that there is seasonal, therefore vegetation related, movement.

The objections submitted demonstrate there is local support for the retention of the trees.

It is recommended that the council objects to the notification and a tree preservation be served to protect the amenity the trees provide and the character and appearance of the conservation area.