Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 08/06/2023 09:10:17 Response:
2023/1041/P	Tony Meadows	06/06/2023 10:42:49	OBJ	This seems to be a second part of a full application by stealth. If the recently approved application for the additional floor is not practical without this further change, then the original application should not have been allowed. There is also insufficient information submitted to allow a reasonable assessment of the proposal, and it should not be allowed without further proof of impact:- The drawings do not show a lift pit or lift motor room or the supporting structure. Inevitably these will impact on the refuse storage area and increase the height of the shaft. These need to be indicated for a proper assessment of the impact - visual amenity, light loss from neighbouring windows, and the ability for this expanded building to accommodate its rubbish without spilling into the Mews. There is no mention of the additional light spill, or of the noise this external lift and its motors will generate. These need to be considered, minimised and advised. There is no mention of the detrimental impact during construction; what impact on the use of the Mews (spatial and vehicle access), how the building's domestic rubbish will be managed when the bin room is being used to build the shaft, what noise will we suffer?
2023/1041/P	Angelo Perotti	03/06/2023 14:07:17	PETITNSUP P	This is by way of putting it on record that I shall shortly email to planning@camden.gov.uk to submit my consent the proposed lift at my home. I have defective hearing so please no not telephone ¿ email only please