

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/5392/P	G. L. Barron	26/05/2023 10:41:19	INT	<p>There were ptoposals for higher buildings when the site was developed from 2006?.The reasons for rejection should still be valid. I hope the neighbours contest the application again.</p> <p>The site is already overdeveloped : a storehouse attached to Benjamin House was converted into a maisonette with basement; dustbin houses have been constructed between the housing blocks and there are frequent dustbin lorry visits.The site is very busy as regards parking and dogwalkers and there is risk of accident.</p>
2022/5392/P	Katie	26/05/2023 23:59:20	COMMNT	<p>We, the residents of Benjamin House and Searle House, strongly object to the planning application of "Erection of an additional storey on Benjamin House (Block B) to provide 4 self-contained residential flats with associated external terraces and erection of an additional storey on Searle House (Block A) to provide 2 self-contained residential flats with associated terraces." We believe that this proposal would have a detrimental impact on our amenity, character and safety for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The proposal would result in a significant loss of light and privacy for the existing residents, as the new storeys would overlook our windows and balconies, creating a sense of enclosure and intrusion.</li> <li>- The proposal would be out of scale and character with the existing buildings and the surrounding area, which are predominantly two-storey residential blocks. The additional storeys would create a bulky and dominant appearance that would harm the visual amenity of the street scene and the skyline.</li> <li>- The proposal would increase the density and population of the site, without providing adequate parking, open space, landscaping or infrastructure. This would create additional pressure on the already congested roads, parking spaces, public transport, schools, health services and other facilities in the area.</li> <li>- The proposal would pose a risk to the structural integrity and fire safety of the existing buildings, as they were not designed to support an additional storey. The construction works would also cause noise, dust, vibration and disruption for the existing residents.</li> </ul> <p>We urge the Local Planning Authority to reject this planning application and protect our quality of life and environment. We request that we are notified of any further developments or decisions regarding this application.</p>
2022/5392/P	Abib Bocresion	27/05/2023 08:16:27	COMMNT	<p>Can a whole floor extension of some of the largest volume buildings in the area be allowed under a permitted development? It is important that this application goes to the full committee and is not approved via any delegated authorities.</p> <p>We have not had much time to review this application (I was made aware of it yesterday) and I am continuing to review the application.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/5392/P	Linda Zhu	27/05/2023 19:51:11	OBJ	<p>I am writing to express my strong objection to the planning application (Ref: 2022/5392/P) for the construction of new dwellings on the roof of Seale House and Benjamin House. I have several concerns about the impact of this development on the existing residents and the surrounding area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Health and safety: The proposed development poses serious health and safety risks to the residents, especially the young children who play in the communal garden. St Christina's primary school is just opposite. The construction work will involve large vehicles and machinery, creating noise, dust and traffic hazards. The access to the site from St Edmund's Terrace is narrow and unsuitable for heavy vehicles. There is also no space for site accommodation and materials storage on the site, which will further disrupt the normal functioning of the estate.</li> <li>- Accessibility: The development will affect the needs of disabled people, older people and families with young children, who rely on the lift access and level access to their homes.</li> <li>- Open space and children's play space: The development will reduce the amount of open space and greenery available for the residents and the public. This will affect the health and well-being of the children and adults who use these spaces for recreation and relaxation. The development does not comply with the borough's open space strategies and policies and relevant London Plan policies: GG3, GG6, D5, D6, S4, G1, G4, G5, G6, G7, G8, SI 1, SI 13.</li> <li>- Air quality and noise pollution: The construction and operation of the development will generate dust, emissions, and noise that will degrade the air quality and create disturbance for the surrounding properties. This will especially affect people who have asthma or other respiratory conditions, as well as those who work from home and need a quiet environment. The development does not consider the cumulative impacts of air quality and noise pollution on the area.</li> <li>- Impact on existing structure: The development will involve removing the existing roof plant/services green roof and photovoltaic panels and exposing the existing structure of the parapet walls. This will compromise the integrity and functionality of the existing building and its systems. The solar panel on our roof is related to our building heating system, and removing it will cause a significant increase in our energy costs during the construction period.</li> <li>- Load: The development will increase the existing foundation loads of the apartment block by 10%. This will put additional stress on the structure and potentially cause damage or instability. The Searle House building occurs leaking and has not been maintained properly. The development may cause cracks, leaks or other damages to the existing properties.</li> <li>- Daylight and sunlight: The development will block or reduce the amount of daylight and sunlight that reaches our property and outdoor spaces, especially in winter. This will affect our comfort, health, and mood, as well as our plants and animals.</li> <li>- Landscape: The development will damage our landscape by removing mature trees and greenery that provide shade, beauty, and habitat for wildlife.</li> <li>- Refuse/recycling facilities: The existing waste bins/storage are already full up. There is no capacity available for new accommodation.</li> </ul> <p>Finally, I would like to point out some errors in the planning application documents. This raises serious doubts about the accuracy and reliability of the calculations and assessments provided by the applicant.</p> <p>For these reasons, I strongly object to this application and urge you to reject this planning application as it is detrimental to the quality of life, well-being and environment of the existing residents and the surrounding area.</p>

<b>Application No:</b>	<b>Consultees Name:</b>	<b>Received:</b>	<b>Comment:</b>	<b>Response:</b>
2022/5392/P	G. L. Barron	26/05/2023 10:41:17	INT	<p>There were ptoposals for higher buildings when the site was developed from 2006?.The reasons for rejection should still be valid. I hope the neighbours contest the application again.</p> <p>The site is already overdeveloped : a storehouse attached to Benjamin House was converted into a maisonette with basement; dustbin houses have been constructed between the housing blocks and there are frequent dustbin lorry visits.The site is very busy as regards parking and dogwalkers and there is risk of accident.</p>

---