

Delegated Report		Analysis sheet		Expiry Date:	23/05/2023
		N/A / attached		Consultation Expiry Date:	
Officer			Application Number(s)		
Nick Bell			2023/1501/T		
Application Address			Drawing Numbers		
38 Woodsome Road London NW5 1RZ					
PO 3/4	Area Team Signature	C&UD	Authorised Officer Signature		
Proposal(s)					
REAR GARDEN: 1 x Elder (T1) - Fell to ground level.					
Recommendation(s):		No objection to notification of intended works to tree(s) in a conservation area.			
Application Type:		Notification of Intended Works to Tree(s) in a Conservation Area			

Conditions or Reasons for Refusal:	Refer to Draft Decision Notice					
Informatives:						
Consultations						
Adjoining Occupiers:	No. notified	11	No. of responses	02	No. of objections	01
			No. electronic	00		
Summary of consultation responses:	<p>The council received two consultation responses:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I've lived at my property for over 25 years and have never had a neighbour complain about this tree of which I planted. The new owners of 38 Woodsome Rd haven't even had the decency of coming to discuss "Extensive Pruning" of the tree which may hang over and into their garden. In fact if you check the pictures attached the over hang from my tree primarily encroaches into the garden of 40 Woodsome. I'd be more than happy for 38 to proceed with contracting landscapers to "Extensive-Pruning" but I am strongly against my tree being completely "Fell to Ground" My neighbours like myself, all lovers of migrating birds and the environment, are also strongly against this proposal. 					
CAAC/Local groups* comments: *Please Specify	<p>Dartmouth Park CAAC submitted the following response:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No objection to the felling of the Elder, which is growing out of the damaged garden wall, if the wall is then to be re-built. 					

Assessment

The s.211 is for the removal of an elder tree from the rear garden of a residential property that is situated within the Dartmouth Park Conservation Area.

The elder tree is of low visibility from the public realm and is not considered to significantly contribute to the character and appearance of the conservation area.

The elder is growing out of a brick wall and is not sustainable in its position.

The consultation response relating to the removal of a Eucalyptus tree appears to have been submitted in error as it has no relevance the proposed works.

It is not expedient for the council to serve a tree preservation order to protect the tree.

The council does not object to the proposed works.