

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/1309/P	Casimir Knight	13/05/2023 13:47:23	COMNOT	<p>We are the family living at 2b South Hill Park, directly below the proposed works. The proposed works and changes overlook our garden and look straight into our kitchen and living room. We are long term residents and in our garden flat we have two Ukrainian refugees whose only outdoor space is directly overlooked by the proposed terrace.</p> <p>CONTEXT</p> <p>2 South Hill Park is the most developed and 'bulky' extension in the neighbourhood. The current extension is significantly larger and impinges more on the neighbouring residencies than any other property nearby. The properties most impacted are 2a, 2b and 4 SHP.</p> <p>The drawings submitted are not very clear about what is being proposed; and there has been zero communication or discussion with any neighbours. The role of the planners in protecting residents is therefore critical. Having discussed this with neighbours our understanding is the Camden Planning have already ensured that there is no further extension of the property nor increase to the bulk of the property. Could this be confirmed to neighbours, especially those at 2b and 4 SHP?</p> <p>Any terraces on the second floor will be within two meters of the space used by our Ukrainian guests and within five meters of our garden and our kitchen into which it will look directly.</p> <p>Any terrace on the third floor will be directly next to the terrace at 4 SHP used by the Wang family.</p> <p>Finally it should be noted that some of the notices put up by the Council on the street to inform residents of this application were removed the next day. It is not clear who did this.</p> <p>OBJECTION</p> <p>We would object to any increase in height or volume of the property.</p> <p>We do not object to reasonable use of outdoor terrace space but we would request that the terrace(s) are redesigned so that their usable space is at least 1 meter away from the boundary with 4 SHP and 0.5 meters from the outer edge closest to 2b. This should ensure they have good use of the outdoors but mitigates the very close proximity and intrusiveness of what is being proposed.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/1309/P	Casimir Knight	13/05/2023 13:47:31	COMNOT	<p>We are the family living at 2b South Hill Park, directly below the proposed works. The proposed works and changes overlook our garden and look straight into our kitchen and living room. We are long term residents and in our garden flat we have two Ukrainian refugees whose only outdoor space is directly overlooked by the proposed terrace.</p> <p>CONTEXT</p> <p>2 South Hill Park is the most developed and 'bulky' extension in the neighbourhood. The current extension is significantly larger and impinges more on the neighbouring residencies than any other property nearby. The properties most impacted are 2a, 2b and 4 SHP.</p> <p>The drawings submitted are not very clear about what is being proposed; and there has been zero communication or discussion with any neighbours. The role of the planners in protecting residents is therefore critical. Having discussed this with neighbours our understanding is the Camden Planning have already ensured that there is no further extension of the property nor increase to the bulk of the property. Could this be confirmed to neighbours, especially those at 2b and 4 SHP?</p> <p>Any terraces on the second floor will be within two meters of the space used by our Ukrainian guests and within five meters of our garden and our kitchen into which it will look directly.</p> <p>Any terrace on the third floor will be directly next to the terrace at 4 SHP used by the Wang family.</p> <p>Finally it should be noted that some of the notices put up by the Council on the street to inform residents of this application were removed the next day. It is not clear who did this.</p> <p>OBJECTION</p> <p>We would object to any increase in height or volume of the property.</p> <p>We do not object to reasonable use of outdoor terrace space but we would request that the terrace(s) are redesigned so that their usable space is at least 1 meter away from the boundary with 4 SHP and 0.5 meters from the outer edge closest to 2b. This should ensure they have good use of the outdoors but mitigates the very close proximity and intrusiveness of what is being proposed.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/1309/P	Casimir Knight	13/05/2023 13:47:26	COMNOT	<p>We are the family living at 2b South Hill Park, directly below the proposed works. The proposed works and changes overlook our garden and look straight into our kitchen and living room. We are long term residents and in our garden flat we have two Ukrainian refugees whose only outdoor space is directly overlooked by the proposed terrace.</p> <p>CONTEXT</p> <p>2 South Hill Park is the most developed and 'bulky' extension in the neighbourhood. The current extension is significantly larger and impinges more on the neighbouring residencies than any other property nearby. The properties most impacted are 2a, 2b and 4 SHP.</p> <p>The drawings submitted are not very clear about what is being proposed; and there has been zero communication or discussion with any neighbours. The role of the planners in protecting residents is therefore critical. Having discussed this with neighbours our understanding is the Camden Planning have already ensured that there is no further extension of the property nor increase to the bulk of the property. Could this be confirmed to neighbours, especially those at 2b and 4 SHP?</p> <p>Any terraces on the second floor will be within two meters of the space used by our Ukrainian guests and within five meters of our garden and our kitchen into which it will look directly.</p> <p>Any terrace on the third floor will be directly next to the terrace at 4 SHP used by the Wang family.</p> <p>Finally it should be noted that some of the notices put up by the Council on the street to inform residents of this application were removed the next day. It is not clear who did this.</p> <p>OBJECTION</p> <p>We would object to any increase in height or volume of the property.</p> <p>We do not object to reasonable use of outdoor terrace space but we would request that the terrace(s) are redesigned so that their usable space is at least 1 meter away from the boundary with 4 SHP and 0.5 meters from the outer edge closest to 2b. This should ensure they have good use of the outdoors but mitigates the very close proximity and intrusiveness of what is being proposed.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/1309/P	Casimir Knight	13/05/2023 13:47:28	COMNOT	<p>We are the family living at 2b South Hill Park, directly below the proposed works. The proposed works and changes overlook our garden and look straight into our kitchen and living room. We are long term residents and in our garden flat we have two Ukrainian refugees whose only outdoor space is directly overlooked by the proposed terrace.</p> <p>CONTEXT</p> <p>2 South Hill Park is the most developed and 'bulky' extension in the neighbourhood. The current extension is significantly larger and impinges more on the neighbouring residencies than any other property nearby. The properties most impacted are 2a, 2b and 4 SHP.</p> <p>The drawings submitted are not very clear about what is being proposed; and there has been zero communication or discussion with any neighbours. The role of the planners in protecting residents is therefore critical. Having discussed this with neighbours our understanding is the Camden Planning have already ensured that there is no further extension of the property nor increase to the bulk of the property. Could this be confirmed to neighbours, especially those at 2b and 4 SHP?</p> <p>Any terraces on the second floor will be within two meters of the space used by our Ukrainian guests and within five meters of our garden and our kitchen into which it will look directly.</p> <p>Any terrace on the third floor will be directly next to the terrace at 4 SHP used by the Wang family.</p> <p>Finally it should be noted that some of the notices put up by the Council on the street to inform residents of this application were removed the next day. It is not clear who did this.</p> <p>OBJECTION</p> <p>We would object to any increase in height or volume of the property.</p> <p>We do not object to reasonable use of outdoor terrace space but we would request that the terrace(s) are redesigned so that their usable space is at least 1 meter away from the boundary with 4 SHP and 0.5 meters from the outer edge closest to 2b. This should ensure they have good use of the outdoors but mitigates the very close proximity and intrusiveness of what is being proposed.</p>
