## **Basement Impact Assessment AUDIT: Instruction**

## Section A (Site Summary) – to be completed by Case Officer

Camden Case Reference:	2022/5539/P	Site Address:	13 St Mark's Crescent, London, NW1 7TS			
Case officer contact details:	Sam FitzPatrick	Date of audit request:	25/04/2023			
Statutory consultation	n end date:	19/03/2023				
Reason for Audit:	Planning application /	basement extension	n			
Proposal description:						
Erection of single storey rear extension with roof terrace above, involving lowering of lower ground level. Associated works including alterations to rear bay and side elevation.						
Relevant planning background						
2010/5266/P – Erection of a single storey rear extension to an existing single dwelling (Class C3). Certificate of lawfulness granted 29/11/2010.						
Do the basement propo building or does the site buildings?		No				
			Yes			
Is the site in an area of	relevant constraints?	Slope stability	Yes			
(check site constraints i	in M3/Magic GIS)	Surface Water f	low No			
		Subterranean (groundwater) fl	ow Yes			
Does the application require determination by Development Control Committee in accordance fall the Terms of Reference <sup>1</sup>		No				
Does the scope of the submitted BIA extend beyond the screening stage?		Yes				
Which ward is the appli	cation situated?	Primrose Hill				
Is there an adopted nei	ghbourhood plan? If so,	No				

Recommendations for approval of certain types of application require determination by Planning Committee (PC). From time to time applications which would normally be determined by officers under delegated authority are referred by the Director of Regeneration and PC for decision. Where the Auditor makes representations at PC on behalf of an application the fees for attendance will be passed to the applicant.

Section B: BIA components for Audit (to be completed by Applicant)

Item provided			Name of BIA document/appendix in which information is contained.	
1	Description of proposed development.	Yes	BIA	
2	Plan showing boundary of development including any land required temporarily during construction.	Yes	BIA	
3	Plans, maps and or photographs to show location of basement relative to surrounding structures.	Yes	BIA	
4	Plans, maps and or photographs to show topography of surrounding area with any nearby watercourses/waterbodies including consideration of the relevant maps in the Strategic FRA by URS (2014)	Yes	Appendix 1	
5	Plans and sections to show foundation details of adjacent structures.	Yes	Appendix 3	
6	Plans and sections to show layout and dimensions of proposed basement.	Yes	Appendix 3	
7	Programme for enabling works, construction and restoration.			
8	Identification of potential risks to land stability (including surrounding structures and infrastructure), and surface and groundwater flooding.	Yes	BIA	
9	Assessment of impact of potential risks on neighbouring properties and surface and groundwater.	Yes	BIA	
10	Identification of significant adverse impacts.	Yes	BIA	
11	Evidence of consultation with neighbours.			
12	Ground Investigation Report and Conceptual Site Model including  - Desktop study  - exploratory hole records  - results from monitoring the local groundwater regime  - confirmation of baseline conditions  - factual site investigation report	Som e	BIA report includes desk study – due to the extension only being to drop the existing ground floor level by 0.40m bgl no intrusiv works have been deemed necessary.	
13	Ground Movement Assessment (GMA).	N/A	The basement extension is only to drop th existing ground floor by 0.40m bgl and so is not considered necessary.	
14	Plans, drawings, reports to show extent of affected area.	N/A	The basement extension is only to drop th existing ground floor by 0.40m bgl and so is not considered necessary.	
15	Specific mitigation measures to reduce, avoid or offset significant adverse impacts.	N/A	The basement extension is only to drop th existing ground floor by 0.40m bgl and so is not considered necessary.	
16	Construction Sequence Methodology (CSM) referring to site investigation and containing	N/A	The basement extension is only to drop the existing ground floor by 0.40m bgl and so	

	views	ment, floor and roof plans, sections (all s), sequence of construction and orary works.		is not considered necessary.
17	Propo	osals for monitoring during construction.	N/A	The basement extension is only to drop the existing ground floor by 0.40m bgl and so it is not considered necessary.
18	identi	onfirmatory and reasoned statement entifying likely damage to nearby properties ecording to Burland Scale		The basement extension is only to drop the existing ground floor by 0.40m bgl and so it is not considered necessary.
19	Confirmatory and reasoned statement with supporting evidence that the structural stability of the building and neighbouring properties will be maintained (by reference to BIA, Ground Movement Assessment and Construction Sequence Methodology), including consideration of cumulative effects.		Yes	BIA
20	Confirmatory and reasoned statement with supporting evidence that there will be no adverse effects on drainage or run-off and no damage to the water environment (by reference to ground investigation, BIA and CSM), including consideration of cumulative effects.		Yes	BIA
21		dentification of areas that require further executivestigation.		BIA – no areas identified.
22	Non-t BIA.	Non-technical summary for each stage of		BIA
Addi Audi		BIA components (added during		
Item prov d		Yes/No/NA <sup>2</sup>		Comment

17/08/2021 1v9

Notes:

<sup>1</sup> NB policy A5 also requires consideration of architectural character, impacts on archaeology, amenity and other matters which are not covered by this checklist.

<sup>2</sup> Where response is 'no' or 'NA', an explanation is required in the Comment section.

Section C : Audit proposal (to be completed by the Auditor)

Date	Fee Categorisation (A/B/C) and costs (£ ex VAT)	Date estimate for initial report	Commentary (including timescales for completion of Initial Report)
11/05/2023	Category A - £997.50	Approximately 4 weeks from instruction	Site attendance     reviewing revised/resubmitted documentation     reviewing third party consultation comments     attending planning committee

Note: Where changes to the fee categorisation are required during the audit process, this will require details to be updated in section E, with justification provided by the auditor. These changes shall be agreed with the planning officer and the applicant, in writing before the work is undertaken.

Section D: Audit Agreement (TO BE COMPLETED BY THE APPLICANT) For data protection reasons this page should NOT be published on the Public website.



