

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/0637/P	Jon	10/05/2023 22:06:47	OBJ	<p>I strongly oppose the retrospective planning application of 3 Colville Place's existing rear extension (2023/0637/P).</p> <p>First of all, No 3's rear extension encroaches on my property: it was built over the midline of our party wall.</p> <p>Secondly, the houses on Colville Place are narrow and long, hence most properties (like mine) have skylights in the back to increase the natural light. The extension is the tallest on the north side of Colville Place, and it exceeds the top of our masonry party wall by 980mm, which substantially blocks the natural light coming into the rear of my property. It disturbs the enjoyment of my property and has a negative impact on my health and the value of my property.</p> <p>Thirdly, No 3's extension has an unfair advantage over neighboring properties: it can stop others from extending the rear of their properties upwards due to its location and material: the walls of the extension are right on the party walls, which become a party wall extension; and they are made of translucent glass tiles, which can stop any future extensions from being connected to them. In addition, the extension's walls are translucent let in natural light. Any upward neighbouring extensions in the future could decrease the natural light No 3 receive, which would give No 3 unfair grounds to object to future applications. The extension should not be built at the expense of others and stop others from doing the same.</p> <p>To conclude, I would like to express my deepest concern and opposition over No 3's extension as it disturbs the enjoyment of my property and has a long-term negative impact on neighbouring properties.</p>
