

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
------------------------	-------------------------	------------------	-----------------	------------------

2023/0043/P	Sophia Fafalios	08/05/2023 09:46:49	OBJ	
-------------	-----------------	---------------------	-----	--

Dear Camden Planning,

No.2 Haversham Place and we have sent objections previously via our representative Mr. Ruairaidh Adams-Cairns to this retrospective planning application.

It has recently come to our attention that in addition to the retrospective planning, the owners of No.2 Haversham Place have also applied to waive Condition 4 of their planning approval which states that the glass of the side dormer facing our property must have obscured glazing.

This condition was put into place because the side dormer in question is 2 metres from our property and it faces our bathroom window. Therefore having it with clear glazing would be an infringement on our privacy.

I would like to add that the side dormer as it is today has obscured glazing.

This means that the owners of No.2 are proposing that in addition to building the dormer at over twice the size of the original planning approval, and in the wrong materials, that they should also get an additional planning permission to replace the obscured glass with clear glazing.

As you can understand we find this unacceptable and we are unsure of the motives behind this request given that it would be at an extra cost to the owners to do so as well as an upset to their direct neighbour.

So in addition to our earlier objections we would like to add this objection to the waiving of Condition 4 on the basis that:

- The side dormer of No.2 allows direct line of sight over our property resulting in overlooking and loss of privacy.
- The side dormer is approximately 2m from the boundaries of our property.
- The room the side dormer overlooks is a bathroom – specifically a WC – which was one of the predominant reasons that we strongly objected to the dormer being built on that elevation.

You may have noted from the documentation of the original planning application that our other reasons for objecting to the side dormer being built on this elevation were:

- It is not in keeping with the design of the houses in the rest of the estate.
- The side dormer is very prominent in the estate.
- It is visible from the main driveway as one of the first built features one sees entering the estate.
- The proximity to our home means that we view this dormer on a daily basis.

All of the above objections are now exacerbated by the oversizing of all the dormers but specifically by the current design of this side dormer.

Our preference has always been that a dormer not be built at all on the south-eastern side of the elevation of No. 2 based on the above.

We have tried several times to assist the owners in finding another solution without the need for a side dormer

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
				facing our property but without success.
				We would kindly ask that the side dormer at least is put to the right size under the original planning and that this request for removing the obscured glazing condition (waiving Condition 4) is denied to protect our privacy.
				For the design of the building in general our feeling is that the size of all the dormers should be corrected, however it is the design and build of this side dormer which impacts us greatly.
				Thank you,
				Sophia
