From:	alan.mason11d	
Sent:	05 May 2023 12:51	
То:	Planning Planning	
Subject:	Fwd: 38 Compayne Gardens	2023/0538/P

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

For the attention of Planning Officer Edward Hodgson

CRASH notes that revised plans were submitted to the Council earlier in the week and welcomes the proposed changes to earlier schemes. However, we remain concerned that no provision has been made to house or screen the refuse bins in use at the property - as mentioned below.

CRASH hereby withdraws its objection, but would ask that its comments on bins be considered,

Regards

CRASH

Subject: 38 Compayne Gardens 2023/0538/P

For the attention of Planning Officer Edward Hodgson

This OBJECTION is submitted on behalf of CRASH (Combined Residents' Associations of South Hampstead).

CRASH has had extensive exchanges with the Applicant and has received assurances that revised plans and drawings would be submitted, but none appear to have been lodged with Camden. Therefore, we can only comment on the designs as submitted.

First and foremost, the submitted drawings show a 'like for like' replacement of the existing boundary wall and gate. As has already been pointed out in early email exchanges, this is not possible without removal of the mature trees causing the problem. A different solution to the problem needs to be found and submitted for comment.

The preamble on the Council's website mentions the "erection of a new bin store". I think this is a mis-reading of the application by the Council. CRASH could find no mention of a new

bin store in the documents submitted by the applicant. There is however the applicant's request for the approval of a new *bike* store. CRASH objects to the storage of bins in the front garden without the provision of a store or some form of screening. Bins are unsightly, and generally dirty, and should not be visible from the public domain. The application makes mention of planting around the bins, but no landscaping is shown on the submitted drawings and the applicant has confirmed, in exchanges with CRASH, that it does not intend to provide any form of screening by plants.

The applicant mentions a bike store with a 'green roof'. CRASH welcomes the use of a 'green' use, but the submitted drawing appears to show the non-green version of the shed.

CRASH was also assured that changes would be made to the design of the new front door. Again, no revised drawings have been submitted.

Regards

CRASH