

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/1300/P	Alexander Donaldson	03/05/2023 17:18:10	INT	<p>I object to this planning application on the grounds it will adversely affect the daylight entering our retail premises which occupy the ground and basement floors of 82 Marchmont Street. Are we and our customers not as deserving of daylight as the residents? The proposed extension at the rear of 80 will reduce the light entering our premises in three places These are:</p> <p>The retail area of the ground floor has high windows in the back wall facing West.</p> <p>The office and kitchen area in the back extension both have windows facing South.</p> <p>The back room in the basement of the shop has a window facing South.</p> <p>So in total this plan will have a significant adverse effect on the amount of daylight in our shop and office.</p> <p>Are the plans correct for the first floor flat at 82 in stating that the kitchen is 12sqm? Perhaps it is 13sqm. The plan has incorrectly sited the Living room and Bedroom and looks very sketchy.</p> <p>The Daylight and Sunlight assessment report states ¿The internal plans of 78 Marchmont Street (see fig. 1 below) show that the nearest rear-facing first floor window to 80 Marchmont Street serves circulation space (labelled 1 in the image) and that the next closest first floor window (label 2) serves a shower¿. But this is incorrect as this property is to the south of 80 and the Kitchen as shown in fig1 would be the nearest rear facing window.</p> <p>The caption for Photo 1 states ¿Photo 1. Rear elevation of 82 Marchmont Street, kitchen served by two nearest windows¿. But this photo is actually of the rear of 78 Marchmont Street.</p> <p>The document states ¿The internal plans of 82 Marchmont Street show that the nearest rear-facing first floor windows to 82 Marchmont Street serves a small kitchen¿ This is incorrect ¿ the nearest windows are on the stairs.</p> <p>Alexander Donaldson Judd Books</p>

---

**Total:** 2