

From: CTCAAC Chair
Sent: 21 April 2023 23:18
To: Christopher Smith; Planning Planning
Subject: 2023/1541/L & 2023/0849/P 28 Albert Street

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious. Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

Dear Mr Smith,

We do not appear to have received notification of this application which came to our attention via the automatic alert that one of our members is subscribed to. We would be grateful if the following comments can be taken into account:

The Camden Town CAAC objects to the proposed loss of the bespoke-designed rear extension envelope, which is a convincing example of the High Tech aesthetic, having been designed by a known proponent of the style. We note that the Listing of the house includes the extension itself, and its significance has not been assessed.

The proposed re-sized openings and glazing pane proportions do not relate well to those in the existing house, and rendered walls will be as alien to the rear elevation and adjoining terrace as the current metal panels are suggested to be. The argument that the existing extension is to be replaced with a more sympathetic design is therefore not considered creditable.

It is possible to conserve the existing metal panels by internally insulating the cladding and providing upgraded glazing units to existing openings and frames. Committee members believe that this would be the most appropriate route forward, thus ensuring maximum retention of significant fabric.

The loss of the spiral stair which is an integral part of the design, is also considered unacceptable.

kind regards

Luisa Auletta
on behalf of
CTCAAC