Printed on: 24/04/2023 09:10:13

Application	No:	
2023/0940/P		

Matthew Lenczner 14/04/2023 18:13:38 OBJ

Consultees Name: Received:

Dear Sir/Madame

Please accept our objections to planning application number 2023/0940/P. As the neighbours, directly to the east, at 123 Broadhurst Gardens, the plans will have a significant and negative impact on our amenity given increased overlooking and noise disturbance.

There are a number of clear glass windows and outdoor spaces on the side elevation of our property which directly face the proposed development and at a close proximity. As a result, the proposed development would result in an unacceptable level of garden of our property (please see attached photos, one from each of those locations looking directly at the proposed site for the belcony).

The proposed balcony extends eastward towards our property by 3 metres from the current window and 3 metres to the south. Given the angle of view would be less acute and the proximity closer, the sight lines would change significantly and therefore the views towards the lower floor windows of our property would be more invasive. The same holds true for the sight lines to our terrace and garden. These altered view points would result in a meaningful

We also believe that there is a significant likelihood of noise disturbance given the opportunity for residents to whell on the balcony. The proposed balcony is close to our property, the height at twinich it would stime ans the noise would carry over the boundary wall, and the acoustics of the side passage between the two properties acts as an echo chamber. All of the above leads to a reasonable assumptions of meaningful noise disturbance.

The application references a previous decision to refuse planning for a similar development. And it attempts to mitigate the reason for refusal of that application by stating, in reference to our property, that 'The new windows of living rooms along that elevation are further away, as the house has been extended and all have obscured glazing, or have been bricked up, prohibiting the views into living areas the previous decision

However, that statement is erroneous. The house has not been extended. There was a new extension that replaced the existing extension on a like-for-like basis and so the new living room windows are not further away. Nor do they have obscured glazing (refer to previous photos). In fact, the application references a decision about lobscured glazingt that was superseded by a more recent and granted application for windows with clear glazing. As a result, the decision to refuse a similar development in the past still holds

The application also says in the neighbouring garden is bordered by mature trees and during the summer months is screened by foliage, but in winter months, a substantial rear extension is visible if The trees are mature which means the foliage is significantly higher up their branches and as such it has little to no impact on the sight lines (refer to previous photos).

Thank you for considering our objection and kindly let us know if it is helpful to provide any additional information.