

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/0929/P	Phil & Kristiina Cooper	23/04/2023 21:20:21	OBJNOT	<p>As the owners of 20 Heath Hurst Rd and being adjacent to 22 Heath Hurst Rd we would like to object to planning application no. 2023/0929/P relating to no.22.</p> <p>Heath Hurst Rd is on a hill and no.22 is on the uphill side of our property no.20. Where the proposed side extension would be we currently have a brick border wall 0.56m high and adjacent to it a wooden border fence of 1.82m effectively giving an overall border height of 2.38m.</p> <p>I have been told by the owner of no.22 that the new extension brick wall proposed right on the border is 2.3m high although I could not find that detail anywhere on the plans filed with Camden planning. Should that detail not be on the plans submitted to Camden?</p> <p>So, the new overall height of the border would be 0.56m + 2.3m = 2.86m (almost 9.4ft). The side passage between our terraced properties is already narrow and dark and this new wall will only exacerbate the feeling of narrowness and cut yet more light from the side of our property and be very overbearing,</p> <p>The proposal states that the proposed rear extension “protrudes no further than the neighbouring property” which is clearly incorrect. The proposed new extension and brick wall for no.22 protrudes 2.44m beyond the rear wall of no.20 due to the overall very large size of the proposed extension. This will extend substantially onto the border of our back garden beyond the side passage between our properties and again is much higher than the current wall and fence and will be oppressive and unsightly.</p> <p>This large extension is clearly overbearing and results in a substantial loss of amenity for the occupants of no 20.</p> <p>The proposal states “there have been several large rear and side extensions at neighbouring properties such as No. 32 and 34”. However, these properties are not closely adjacent and also have a different layout being on a bend and I believe these extensions may be much smaller.</p> <p>We therefore feel the size of this extension and proposed border wall will substantially adversely affects our amenity. It is overbearing and will cut off light to the rear and side of our already dark property. It is too large and not in keeping with surrounding properties and a conservation area and planning should be refused.</p> <p>Phil & Kristiina Cooper</p>