Movement and Tolerance
The architect should allow for

+/- 10mm for construction tolerance

+ Suspended Concrete floor slabs: 25mm to 30mm vertical deflections (TBC following development of floor finishes) + Beams: 20mm to 25mm deflection for total vertical deflections (TBC following development of floor finishes)
 + Floor joists: 10 to 15mm deflection for total vertical settlement (TBC following development of floor finishes)

Assumed Fire Strategy for Structure (TBC by Architect) + All steelwork to be intumescent painted to achieve required 30 min fire resistance
+ All concrete will be designed to provide a minimum of 30 minutes

+ Timber joisted floors to be fire protected by boarding - spec to architects details + Exposed timber framing to be designed to provide a minimum of 30minute fire resistance through design for charring by SE.

party wall by max 50mm



































Proposed 3rd Floor Plan

<u>Notes:</u> 1. Do not scale from these drawings

2. All dimensions to be checked on site by contractor 3. Drawing to be read in conjunction with general notes drawing 4. Where discrepancy occurs between specification and drawing,

Engineer to be notified immediately 5. Temporary words design, method statement and construction sequence to be determined by contractor 6. Where discrepancy occurs between drawings and findings on site,

Engineer to be notified immediately 7. Contractor may allow for splicing of steelwork to aid erection if necessary, final design by contractor, to be coordinated with BC

Structural Design.
Refer to architects details for fire protection of all elements
All foundations assumed to be founded a minimum of 1.0m BGL on natural undisturbed ground – to be checked by Building Control or an Amproved hearing assumed hearing assumed in the state of the s Approved Inspector – assumed bearing capacity – 100kN/m2. If adjacent to existing foundation, excavation to be stepped at 45degrees to avoid undermining. Foundations to be 450mm deep MC and a minimum of 450mm wide UNO. 10. All setting out to Architects information

Proposed Member Reference Table: Beam Schedule (Low Level) SB1 - 260x90x35 PFC S275 SB2 - 254x254x73 UC S275 SB3 - 254x146x43 UB S275 SB4 - 254x146x31 UB S275 SB5 - 152x89x16UB S275 SB6 - 305x305x118UC S275 TB1 - 3no. 170x47 C24 timbers bolted together w/ M16 @400mm centres @400mm centres CB1 - 500mm dp x 750mm wide (C40/50) Lintel & Padstone Schedule P1 - 330x100x100 concrete padstone Column and Wall Schedule SC1 - 160x80x8 RHS S355 SC2 - 254x254x73UC S275 W1 - Min 350mm thk RC retaining wall (C32/40)
W1a - 500mm thk RC retaining wall (C32/40)
W2 - 250mm thick RC liner wall (C32/40)
W3 - 200mm thick RC liner wall (C32/40)
W4 - Cavity wall 100mm block (7.3N), 100mm brick (20N) *Ties in accordance with general notes*W5 - 500 - 201 tight period wall was a secondary of the secondary of t W5 - 150x50 C24 timber studs @ 400mm centres All stud walls to have 12mm ply board to external face Floor Schedule J1 - 225x50 C24 timber joists @ 350mm centres J2 - 225x50 C24 timber joists @ 400mm centres J3 - 175x50 C24 timber joists @ 400mm centres J4 - 150x50 C25 timber joists @ 400mm centres All floor joists to have 18mm ply over. S1 - 300mm thick Water resistant RC slab (Sika additive or similar approved) (C32/40) S2 - 460mm thick Water resistant RC slab (Sika additive or similar approved) (C32/40) S3 - 500mm thick Water resistant RC slab (Sika additive or similar approved) (C32/40) S4 - 200mm thick RC slab (C32/40) Symbols Key Denotes moment connection Denotes floor span ← Denotes rafter span Denotes use of Cordex Cellcore HSx Heave Protection - 160mm thk - below basement slab Typical Downstand Beam Dimension Abbreviations J"x" - Timber Joist Floor DJ - Double Joists bolted together TrJ - Triple Joists bolted together TB"x" - Timber Beam TC"x" - Timber Column S"x" - Floor Slab W"x" - Wall type SB"x" - Steel Beam SC"x" - Steel Column CB"x" - Concrete Beam CC"x" - Concrete Column L"x" - Lintel over PS"x" - Concrete padstone CU - Column Under



Email: Alex@BCStructural.co.uk Dylan@BCStructural.co.uk WEB:

Α

www.BCStructural.co.uk

Proiect: 13 Belsize Crescent

Drawing Title: Proposed 3rd Floor Plan

Drawing Number: J225-BC-SK-3130

Issued: 03.23

P4 Rev:

Scale: NTS

Drawn by: **DJC**

Movement and Tolerance
The architect should allow for :

+/- 10mm for construction tolerance

+ Suspended Concrete floor slabs: 25mm to 30mm vertical deflections (TBC following development of floor finishes) + Beams: 20mm to 25mm deflection for total vertical deflections (TBC following development of floor finishes)
 + Floor joists: 10 to 15mm deflection for total vertical settlement (TBC following development of floor finishes)

Assumed Fire Strategy for Structure (TBC by Architect) + All steelwork to be intumescent painted to achieve required 30 min fire resistance
+ All concrete will be designed to provide a minimum of 30 minutes

+ Timber joisted floors to be fire protected by boarding - spec to architects details + Exposed timber framing to be designed to provide a minimum of 30minute fire resistance through design for charring by SE.



Α

used on roofs

Proposed Roof Plan

Notes: 1. Do not scale from these drawings

2. All dimensions to be checked on site by contractor 3. Drawing to be read in conjunction with general notes drawing 4. Where discrepancy occurs between specification and drawing,

Engineer to be notified immediately 5. Temporary words design, method statement and construction sequence to be determined by contractor

6. Where discrepancy occurs between drawings and findings on site, Engineer to be notified immediately 7. Contractor may allow for splicing of steelwork to aid erection if necessary, final design by contractor, to be coordinated with BC

Structural Design.
Refer to architects details for fire protection of all elements
All foundations assumed to be founded a minimum of 1.0m BGL on natural undisturbed ground – to be checked by Building Control or an Amproved hearing assumed hearing assumed in the state of the s Approved Inspector – assumed bearing capacity – 100kN/m2. If adjacent to existing foundation, excavation to be stepped at 45degrees to avoid undermining. Foundations to be 450mm deep MC and a minimum of 450mm wide UNO. 10. All setting out to Architects information

Proposed Member Reference Table: Beam Schedule (Low Level) SB1 - 260x90x35 PFC S275 SB2 - 254x254x73 UC S275 SB3 - 254x146x43 UB S275 SB4 - 254x146x31 UB S275 SB5 - 152x89x16UB S275 SB6 - 305x305x118UC S275 TB1 - 3no. 170x47 C24 timbers bolted together w/ M16 @400mm centres @400mm centres CB1 - 500mm dp x 750mm wide (C40/50) Lintel & Padstone Schedule P1 - 330x100x100 concrete padstone Column and Wall Schedule SC1 - 160x80x8 RHS S355 SC2 - 254x254x73UC S275 W1 - Min 350mm thk RC retaining wall (C32/40)
W1a - 500mm thk RC retaining wall (C32/40)
W2 - 250mm thick RC liner wall (C32/40)
W3 - 200mm thick RC liner wall (C32/40)
W4 - Cavity wall 100mm block (7.3N), 100mm brick (20N) *Ties in accordance with general notes*W5 - 150×50, C24 timber ctude @ 400mm controp W5 - 150x50 C24 timber studs @ 400mm centres All stud walls to have 12mm ply board to external face Floor Schedule J1 - 225x50 C24 timber joists @ 350mm centres J2 - 225x50 C24 timber joists @ 400mm centres J3 - 175x50 C24 timber joists @ 400mm centres J4 - 150x50 C25 timber joists @ 400mm centres All floor joists to have 18mm ply over. S1 - 300mm thick Water resistant RC slab (Sika additive or similar approved) (C32/40) S2 - 460mm thick Water resistant RC slab (Sika additive or similar approved) (C32/40) S3 - 500mm thick Water resistant RC slab (Sika additive or similar approved) (C32/40) S4 - 200mm thick RC slab (C32/40) Symbols Key Denotes moment connection Denotes floor span ← Denotes rafter span Denotes use of Cordex Cellcore HSx Heave Protection - 160mm thk - below basement slab Protection - 160mm thk - below basement slab Typical Downstand Beam Dimension Abbreviations J"x" - Timber Joist Floor DJ - Double Joists bolted together TrJ - Triple Joists bolted together TB"x" - Timber Beam TC"x" - Timber Column S"x" - Floor Slab W"x" - Wall type SB"x" - Steel Beam SC"x" - Steel Column CB"x" - Concrete Beam CC"x" - Concrete Column L"x" - Lintel over PS"x" - Concrete padstone CU - Column Under



Email: Alex@BCStructural.co.uk Dylan@BCStructural.co.uk

Α

WEB: www.BCStructural.co.uk

Project: 13 Belsize Crescent

Drawing Title: Proposed Roof Plan Drawing Number:

J225-BC-SK-3140

Issued: 03.23 P4

Rev:

Scale: NTS

Drawn by: DJC



_ Retaining wall to support public highway

Structural Design. 8. Refer to archited 9. All foundations a natural undisturbe Approved Inspecto adjacent to existing to avoid undermin minimum of 450m 10. All setting out 1	cts details for fire protection of all elements assumed to be founded a minimum of 1.0m BGL or d ground – to be checked by Building Control or an or – assumed bearing capacity – 100kN/m2. If g foundation, excavation to be stepped at 45degre ing. Foundations to be 450mm deep MC and a m wide UNO. to Architects information
Proposed Mem	ber Reference Table:
Beam Schedule SB1 - 260x90x3 SB2 - 254x254x	(Low Level) 55 PFC S275 (73 UC S275
SB3 - 254x146x SB4 - 254x146x	(43 UB S275 (31 UB S275
SB5 - 152x89x1	6UB S275
TB1 - 3no. 170x	(47 C24 timbers bolted together w/ M16
@400mm centre	es
CB1 - 500mm d	p x 750mm wide (C40/50)
Lintel & Padstor P1 - 330x100x1	<u>ne Schedule</u> 00 concrete padstone
Column and Wa	all Schedule
SC1 - 160x80x8	3 RHS S355
5C2 - 254x254x	(7300 5275
W1 - Min 350m	m thk RC retaining wall (C32/40)
W1a - 500mm ti W2 - 250mm th	hk RC retaining wall (C32/40) bick RC liner wall (C32/40)
W3 - 200mm th	nick RC liner wall (C32/40)
W4 - Cavity wal	I 100mm block (7.3N), 100mm brick (20N)
Ties in accorda	nce with general notes
All stud walls to	have 12mm ply board to external face
Floor Schedule	
J1 - 225X50 C24	4 timber joists @ 300mm centres 4 timber joists @ 400mm centres
J3 - 175x50 C24	4 timber joists @ 400mm centres
J4 - 150x50 C2	5 timber joists @ 400mm centres
All floor joists to	nave 18mm ply over.
S1 - 300mm thic	ck Water resistant RC slab (Sika additive or
similar approved	d) (C32/40) ick Water resistant RC slab (Sika additive or

Notes: 1. Do not scale from these drawings

sequence to be determined by contractor

Engineer to be notified immediately

2. All dimensions to be checked on site by contractor

3. Drawing to be read in conjunction with general notes drawing 4. Where discrepancy occurs between specification and drawing, Engineer to be notified immediately 5. Temporary words design, method statement and construction

6. Where discrepancy occurs between drawings and findings on site,

7. Contractor may allow for splicing of steelwork to aid erection if necessary, final design by contractor, to be coordinated with BC

ditive or similar approved) (C32/40) S3 - 500mm thick Water resistant RC slab (Sika additive or similar approved) (C32/40) S4 - 200mm thick RC slab (C32/40)

Symbols Key

Denotes moment connection Denotes floor span ← Denotes rafter span

Denotes use of Cordex Cellcore HSx Heave Protection - 160mm thk - below basement slab Protection - 160mm thk - below basement slab



Typical Downstand Beam Dimension

Abbreviations J"x" - Timber Joist Floor

DJ - Double Joists bolted together TrJ - Triple Joists bolted together TrJ - Triple Joists bolted to TB"x" - Timber Beam TC"x" - Timber Column S"x" - Floor Slab W"x" - Wall type SB"x" - Steel Beam SC"x" - Steel Column CB"x" - Concrete Beam CC"x" - Concrete Column L"x" - Lintel over PS"x" - Concrete padstone CU - Column Under



Email: Alex@BCStructural.co.uk Dylan@BCStructural.co.uk

WEB: www.BCStructural.co.uk

Project: 13 Belsize Crescent

Drawing Title: Proposed Section A-A Drawing Number:

J225-BC-SK-3200

Issued: 03.23

P4 Rev:

Drawn by: DJC Scale: NTS





Section 1 - Typical Party Wall Section

Section 2 - Party Wall Section Thru Stair

 Drawing to be read in conjunction with general notes drawing Where discrepancy occurs between specification and drawing, Engineer to be notified immediately Temporary words design, method statement and construction sequence to be determined by contractor Where discrepancy occurs between drawings and findings on site, Engineer to be notified immediately Contractor may allow for splicing of steelwork to aid erection if necessary, final design by contractor, to be coordinated with BC Structural Design. Refer to architects details for fire protection of all elements All foundations assumed to be founded a minimum of 1.0m BGL on natural undisturbed ground – to be checked by Building Control or an Approved Inspector – assumed bearing capacity – 100kN/m2. If adjacent to existing foundation, excavation to be stepped at 45degrees to avoid undermining. Foundations to be 450mm deep MC and a minimum of 450mm wide UNO. All setting out to Architects information
Proposed Member Reference Table:Beam Schedule (Low Level)SB1 - 260x90x35 PFC S275SB2 - 254x16x43 UB S275SB3 - 254x146x43 UB S275SB5 - 152x89x16UB S275SB6 - 305x305x118UC S275TB1 - 3no. 170x47 C24 timbers bolted together w/ M16@400mm centresCB1 - 500mm dp x 750mm wide (C40/50)Lintel & Padstone ScheduleP1 - 330x100x100 concrete padstoneColumn and Wall ScheduleSC1 - 160x80x8 RHS S355SC2 - 254x254x73UC S275W1 - Min 350mm thk RC retaining wall (C32/40)W1a - 500mm thk RC retaining wall (C32/40)W2 - 250mm thick RC liner wall (C32/40)W3 - 200mm thick RC liner wall (C32/40)W4 - Cavity wall 100mm block (7.3N), 100mm brick (20N) <i>Ties in accordance with general notes</i> W5 - 150x50 C24 timber studs @ 400mm centresAl stud walls to have 12mm ply board to external faceFloor ScheduleJ1 - 225x50 C24 timber joists @ 350mm centresJ2 - 225x50 C24 timber joists @ 400mm centresJ3 - 175x50 C24 timber joists @ 400mm centresJ4 - 150x50 C25 timber joists @ 400mm centresJ3 - 175x50 C24 timber joists @ 400mm centresJ4 - 150x50 C24 timber joists @ 400mm centresJ5 - 300mm thick Water resistant RC slab (Sika additive or similar approved) (C32/40)S2 - 460mm thick Water resistant RC slab (Sika additive or similar approved) (C32/40)S3 - 500mm thick RC slab (C32/40)S4 - 200mm thick RC slab (C32/40)
Symbols Key Denotes floor span Denotes floor span Denotes rafter span
<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header></section-header>

Notes:

1. Do not scale from these drawings

2. All dimensions to be checked on site by contractor

Project: 13 Belsize Crescent

Drawing Title: Proposed Details Sheet 01

Drawing Number: J225-BC-SK-3301

Issued: 03.23

P4 Rev:

Scale: NTS

Drawn by: DJC

Hydrophilic strip at construction joints. – Face of concrete to be scabbled back to provide good shear key. 160mm thk Cordex Cellcore HSx Heave Protection 50mm sand-cement blinding

Section 3 - Party Wall Section Thru Lowered Slab

Notes:

1. Do not scale from these drawings

Engineer to be notified immediately

2. All dimensions to be checked on site by contractor

3. Drawing to be read in conjunction with general notes drawing

4. Where discrepancy occurs between specification and drawing,

5. Temporary words design, method statement and construction

Scale: NTS

P4

Rev:

<u>Section 5</u> Stepped Back Boundary Wall with 15 Belsize Crescent

<u>Section 6</u> Highways Retaining Wall Detail

 Notes: 1. Do not scale from these drawings 2. All dimensions to be checked on site by contractor 3. Drawing to be read in conjunction with general notes drawing 4. Where discrepancy occurs between specification and drawing, Engineer to be notified immediately 5. Temporary words design, method statement and construction sequence to be determined by contractor 6. Where discrepancy occurs between drawings and findings on site, Engineer to be notified immediately 7. Contractor may allow for splicing of steelwork to aid erection if necessary, final design by contractor, to be coordinated with BC Structural Design. 8. Refer to architects details for fire protection of all elements 9. All foundations assumed to be founded a minimum of 1.0m BGL on natural undisturbed ground – to be checked by Building Control or an Approved Inspector – assumed bearing capacity – 100kN/m2. If adjacent to existing foundation, excavation to be stepped at 45degrees to avoid undermining. Foundations to be 450mm deep MC and a minimum of 450mm wide UNO. 10. All setting out to Architects information
Proposed Member Reference Table:
Beam Schedule (Low Level) SB1 - 260x90x35 PFC S275 SB2 - 254x254x73 UC S275 SB3 - 254x146x43 UB S275 SB4 - 254x146x31 UB S275 SB5 - 152x89x16UB S275 SB6 - 305x305x118UC S275 TB1 - 3no. 170x47 C24 timbers bolted together w/ M16 @400mm centres CB1 - 500mm dp x 750mm wide (C40/50) Lintel & Padstone Schedule P1 - 330x100x100 concrete padstone Column and Wall Schedule SC1 - 160x80x8 RHS S355 SC2 - 254x254x73UC S275 W1 - Min 350mm thk RC retaining wall (C32/40)
 W1 - Min 350mm thk RC retaining wall (C32/40) W1a - 500mm thk RC retaining wall (C32/40) W2 - 250mm thick RC liner wall (C32/40) W3 - 200mm thick RC liner wall (C32/40) W4 - Cavity wall 100mm block (7.3N), 100mm brick (20N) <i>Ties in accordance with general notes</i> W5 - 150x50 C24 timber studs @ 400mm centres <i>All stud walls to have 12mm ply board to external face</i> <u>Floor Schedule</u> J1 - 225x50 C24 timber joists @ 350mm centres J2 - 225x50 C24 timber joists @ 400mm centres J3 - 175x50 C24 timber joists @ 400mm centres J4 - 150x50 C25 timber joists @ 400mm centres J4 - 150x50 C25 timber joists @ 400mm centres S1 - 300mm thick Water resistant RC slab (Sika additive or similar approved) (C32/40) S2 - 460mm thick Water resistant RC slab (Sika additive or similar approved) (C32/40) S3 - 500mm thick Water resistant RC slab (Sika additive or similar approved) (C32/40) S3 - 200mm thick RC slab (Sika additive or similar approved) (C32/40)
Symbols Key Denotes moment connection Denotes floor span Denotes rafter span Denotes rafter span Denotes use of Cordex Cellcore HSx Heave Protection - 160mm thk - below basement slab Width Uppeth Typical Downstand Beam Dimension
Abbreviations J"x" - Timber Joist Floor DJ - Double Joists bolted together TrJ - Triple Joists bolted together TB"x" - Timber Beam TC"x" - Timber Column S"x" - Floor Slab W"x" - Vall type SB"x" - Steel Beam SC"x" - Steel Column CB"x" - Concrete Beam CC"x" - Concrete Beam CC"x" - Concrete Column L"x" - Lintel over PS"x" - Concrete padstone CU - Column Under

Email: Alex@BCStructural.co.uk Dylan@BCStructural.co.uk

WEB: www.BCStructural.co.uk

Project: 13 Belsize Crescent

Drawing Title: Proposed Details Sheet 03

Drawing Number: J225-BC-SK-3303

Issued: 03.23

P4 Rev:

Scale: NTS

Drawn by: DJC

Assumed 100mm wide x 50mm deep cavity drain recess. Design to be by Contractor.

Indicative reinforcement

Appendix B – Indicative Sequence of Works Drawings

Phase 1

1.1 - Install pile foundations from LGF at locations indicated on plan

Phase 2

- 2.1 Excavate pin, installing temporary props as you go
- 2.2 Demolish the corbel and remove concrete/rubble base 2.3 - Cast mass concrete strip footing

Phase 3

- 3.1 Install reinforcement for base and cast 3.2 - Install reinforcement for retaining wall and cast
- 3.3 Break back the letter box overpour
- 3.4 Backfill excavation compacting and removing props as you go

Proposed Underpinning Sequence To Party Walls

All final temporary works designs and methodologies to be the responsibility of the chosen Contractor.

Phase 4

- 4.1 Install walers and shores to masonry wall
- 4.2 Excavate Stage 1 and install the next row of temporary props 4.3 - Excavate Stage 2 and install the next row of temporary props
- 4.4 Excavate Stage 3 to formation level
- 4.5 Break out pockets for LGF slab within party wall

Phase 5

5.1 - Install reinforcement to remaining basement slab and cast 5.2 - Install reinforcement to LGF slab with backpropping off of LGF slab 5.3 - Once cured slowly unwind temporary propping to perimeter walls.

Email: Alex@BCStructural.co.uk Dylan@BCStructural.co.uk

WEB: www.BCStructural.co.uk

Project: **13 Belsize Crescent**

Drawing Title: Indicative Underpinning Sequence

Drawing Number: J225-BC-SK-3401

Issued: **03.23**

P4 Rev:

Drawn by: DJC Scale: NTS

Temporary props to head of wall

Phase 4

4.1 -Prepare formation level and install reinforcement to base and stem of wall.

Phase 3

3.1 - Trench sheets installed along highways boundary line 3.2 - Excavate 1m widths of the benched soil and install temporary props to trench sheeting. Propos to bear off new basement construction.

Continue until front lightwell is fully excavated.

Proposed Installation Sequence of Highways Retaining Wall

All final temporary works designs and methodologies to be the responsibility of the chosen Contractor.

Phase 2

Phase 5

4.2 - Wall stem and base to be cast, leaving pockets around the temporary props.4.3 - Allow to cure for a minimum of 14 days, before shifting the props onto the RC wall and infilling the pockets

5.1 - New cavity wall to be constructed off new RC base 5.2 - New RC slab cast off proposed cavity wall.

Notes: 1. Do not scale from these drawings

2. All dimensions to be checked on site by contractor 3. Drawing to be read in conjunction with general notes drawing 4. Where discrepancy occurs between specification and drawing, Engineer to be notified immediately 5. Temporary words design, method statement and construction

sequence to be determined by contractor 6. Where discrepancy occurs between drawings and findings on site,

Engineer to be notified immediately 7. Contractor may allow for splicing of steelwork to aid erection if necessary, final design by contractor, to be coordinated with BC

Structural Design.
Refer to architects details for fire protection of all elements
All foundations assumed to be founded a minimum of 1.0m BGL on natural undisturbed ground – to be checked by Building Control or an Approved Inspector – assumed bearing capacity – 100kN/m2. If adjacent to existing foundation, excavation to be stepped at 45degrees to avoid undermining. Foundations to be 450mm deep MC and a minimum of 450mm wide UNO. 10. All setting out to Architects information

Proposed Member Reference Table:

Beam Schedule (Low Level)	
SB1 - 260x90x35 PFC S275	
SB2 - 254x254x73 UC S275	
SB3 - 254x146x43 UB S275	
SB4 - 254x146x31 UB S275	
SB5 - 152x89x16UB S275	

- SB5 152x89x160B S275 SB6 305x305x118UC S275
- TB1 3no. 170x47 C24 timbers bolted together w/ M16 @400mm centres CB1 - 500mm dp x 750mm wide (C40/50)

Lintel & Padstone Schedule P1 - 330x100x100 concrete padstone

Column and Wall Schedule SC1 - 160x80x8 RHS S355 SC2 - 254x254x73UC S275

- W1 Min 350mm thk RC retaining wall (C32/40)
- W1a 500mm thk RC retaining wall (C32/40) W2 - 250mm thick RC liner wall (C32/40)
- W3 200mm thick RC liner wall (C32/40)

W4 - Cavity wall 100mm block (7.3N), 100mm brick (20N) Ties in accordance with general notes

W5 - 150x50 C24 timber studs @ 400mm centres All stud walls to have 12mm ply board to external face

Floor Schedule J1 - 225x50 C24 timber joists @ 350mm centres J2 - 225x50 C24 timber joists @ 400mm centres J3 - 175x50 C24 timber joists @ 400mm centres J4 - 150x50 C25 timber joists @ 400mm centres All floor joists to have 18mm ply over.

S1 - 300mm thick Water resistant RC slab (Sika additive or similar approved) (C32/40) S2 - 460mm thick Water resistant RC slab (Sika additive or similar approved) (C32/40) S3 - 500mm thick Water resistant RC slab (Sika additive or similar approved) (C32/40) S4 - 200mm thick RC slab (C32/40)

Email: Alex@BCStructural.co.uk Dylan@BCStructural.co.uk

WEB: www.BCStructural.co.uk

Project: **13 Belsize Crescent**

Drawing Title: Indicative Highways Wall Sequence

Drawing Number: J225-BC-SK-3402

Issued: 03.23

Drawn by: **DJC** Scale: NTS

Rev:

P4

Appendix C – Retaining Wall Designs

Project name: 13 Bels Project Number: Date: 17/0 Location:	size Crescent J225 Sheet: 3/2023 Engineer:	DJC	Revision: C1 Checked: AB	BAKER STRUCTURAL DESIGN CHATTERTON
Basement Asset It is proposed to 0 The basement is The basement sit On site soil investigat Soil parameters F Ka values will be The basement will The basement will The basement will The basement will The basement sit The basement will The basement sit The same sit	isment issm	floor below the existin t and rear elevations wimming pool, which v taken by A2 who have in London Clay strata will only be considered isting water table curre- design values noted sign, while Ko will be u ced concrete "box" pended, with heave de le spanning between s propped cantilevers typ nning vertically only. er ground floor slab wi b basee of the existing ned to support 1 m of s y spanning 1-d elemer to resist uplift forces a	g Lower Ground Floor L will be set a lower level - provided an interprative - heave forces from the d in ULS/Geo design and ntly sits 17m BGL. For L below sed to assess SLS, whe d is a set to assess SLS, whe ck below the central spa- ide wall footings and cert cally, or as a pure cantilevent is pan between the retain footings and so it will be oil (saturated) as well as its using GSA oasys sof rising from water pressu	wel
	be used to limit heave pre		Conset Perry	
Design Paramet Soil paratemeter Angle of shear re Ka - Ko - Soil density Allowable Bearing Water table - uplift main level uplift pool level Clay heave - long Uplift main level Uplift pool level Heave deck uplift	ars a istance - 25 0.41 1.2 1.2 20 1 Pressure 250 1 35 50 1 1 35 10 35 11 35 11 35 11 35 11 35 11 35 11 35 11 35 12 35 13 13	degrees 0.436: as per A2's report kN/m3 (saturated) kN/m2 m BGL kN/m2 kN/m2 kN/m2 kN/m2 kN/m2 kN/m2 bas anc	3 rad	f 23% as calculated by A2 - refer to IR heave protection board
All concrete param	eters C32/40 re stiffness (EI) to be take	n conservatively as 25	Cellcore HX Grade - Sa 7/10 9/13 13/18 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Pail Load (N/m2) Pail Load (N/m2) Maximum Concrete Depth ** (mm) 7 10 220 9 13 300 13 18 460 m creep and cracking of slab 1 1 1 1 1 1 10 1 1 1 13 18 460 14 1 1 15 18 460 16 1 1 17 1 1 1 18 460 1 1 19 18 400 1 10 18 10 1 10 1 1 1 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 10 1 1 1 1 1 <t< td=""></t<>

Project name: Project Number: Date: Location:	13 Belsize Crescent J225 Sheet: 17/03/2023 Engineer:	DJC	Revisi Check	n: C1 d: AB	BAKER STRUCTURAL DESIGN CHATTERTON
A new on Slab to re All dead I Super imposed De	nt Suspended Slab - Span Ty e way spanning slab spanning sisist uplift from heave and wate oad treated as favourable lab span = 3.4 m width 1.00 Floor ead Load = 2.00 kN/m2 ef weight = 7.50 kN/m2 Ir	pe 1 between retaining walls and ar pressures - worst case width 1.00 Water uplift Dead Load = 35 kN/m2 mposed Load = 0.00 kN/m2	central	eam strip width 1.00 soil heave Dead Load = 18 k posed Load = 0.00 k	kV/m2
Conc	rete Section Design	Moments, M,ed = 11 Shear, V,ed = 14	00.00 40.00	Vm/m S	Shear at edge of thickening = 115.29 kN/m
Concr Reinfo	ete grade C 32 /40 procement grade B 500 B	width = 1 Depth of section = 3 Cover = 4 Effective Depth = 2 k = 0.4 Z = 4	1000 300.0 40.0 260.0 04623 247	m conservativ m conservativ m	/e as max forces act at slab thickenings
	Effective dep	As,req =	931 1347 6.7 16 404.0 20504	m2 m2 m Effective dep	pth of section at support = 260.0 mm
		Vrd,max = Vrd,max = Vrd,max = Vrd,c,c = 0.1 reinforcement provided = k = 1.1 spacing of links = lin Shear reinforcement =	33504 4.63 51319 0.4% 87706 0 0	mm2 //mm2 //	Snear Suress V,ed = 0.49271 N/mm2 Vrd,max = 4.63 N/mm2 reinforcement provided = 0.59432 N/mm2 reinforcement provided = 0.6%
Defle	ctions	Asw, prov: No. of legs = Diameter =	8	m2 Therefore, a	Asw. prov: 0 mm2 No. of legs = Diameter = 8 mm okay in shear
	Long term deflecti	on for cracked concrete = Span/250 =	1.5	m therefore,okay	
	0.0 yzec	0.7476	0.0 Z	0.7476	
Globa Final	al Uplift I uplift is to be resisted by pile pile designs are to be carried of	d foundations through the cen	ntral bea	n, and through a combin	nation of piles and self weight around the perimeter
					BC Structural Design Limited

Project Project Date: Locatio	name: Number: n:	13 Belsize Crescent J225 She 17/03/2023 Eng	ineer: DJC	Revision: Checked:	C1 AB BAKER STRUCTURAL DESIGN CHATTERTON
	Baseme	nt Suspended Slab - (Cnentral Beam Type 1		
	A RC bea	am will span the uplift s	lab loads between the piled foundati	ions	
	Slab to re	esist uplift from heave a	and water pressures - worst case		
	All dead	load treated as favoura	ible		
	9	lah span = 2 m	assuming piles spaced at may 2m	n	
			loads	taken from sla	slab type 1 G
			W,ULS =	280 kN/m	n
	Force	<u>es</u>	Moments, M,ed = 9	93.33 kNm	
			Snear, v,ea = 5	IOU.UU KIN	
	Conc	rete Section Design			
	Conc	rete grade C 30	2 /40		
	Reinf	orcement grade B 50	0 B width =	600 mm	
			Depth of section = 4	460.0 mm	conservative as max forces act at slab thickenings
		+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	Effective Depth =	50.0 mm	
				.02892 <0	<0.167
			Z = 3	389.5 mm	
				FE1	
			As,req =	551 mm2	
			As, prov:	1571 mm2	2
			No. of bars =	5	
			Diameter	20 mm	
		Effec	tive depth of section at support =	550.0 mm	thicken slab by 160mm at edges
		2.00	Shear Stress V,ed = 1.	.88552 N/mm	m2
			Vrd,max =	4.63 N/mm	m2
			V,rd,c = 0.	.44101	
				.69843	
			spacing of links =	200 mm	
			Min Shear reinforcement =	208 mm2	2
			Asw. prov:	236 mm2	2
			No. of legs =	3	
			Diameter =	10 mm	
					I herefore, okay in shear
	Defle	ctions			
		Long to me	deflection for gracked concrete	17	
				1.1 INM	
			Span/250 =	8 mm	therefore,okay
	+++	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +		+ $+$ $+$ $+$	
	Uplift	t reaction on piled fou	Indations		
	Piles	designed for a ULS ter	ision load of 5	60.00 kN	
	uesig				
	+ + + -	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +			
		+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +			
		+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +			
	+ + +-				
		+++++			
		+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +			
		+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +			
					BC Structural Design Limited

Project na Project Nu Date: Location:	me: 13 Belsize Cre: Imber: J225 17/03/2023	scent Sheet: Engineer: DJC	Revision: C1 Checked: AB	BAKER STRUCTURAL DESIGN CHATTERTON
	Recoment Suggested S	lah Control Boom Tuno 2		
	Basement Suspended S	lab - Central Beam Type 2		
/	A RC beam will span the u	uplift slab loads between the piled foundation		
	All dead load treated as fa	avourable		
	Slah anan = 2			
	Slab span = 2			
		loads	aken from slab type 2 GSA output	
		W,ULS =	360 kN/m	
	rorces	Moments, M,ed = 12 Shear, V,ed = 72	20.00 kN	
	Concrete Section Des	sign		
			Output from GSA	
	Concrete grade C Reinforcement grade	3∠ /40 width =	600 mm	
		Depth of section = 4	60.0 mm conservative as max forces act at slab thicken	ngs
		Effective Depth = 4	bu.u mm	
		k = 0.	00718 <0.167	
			C.80	
		As,req =	708 mm2	
		As, prov: 1	571 mm2	
		No. of bars =	5	
		Diameter	20 mm	
		Effective depth of section at support = 5	50.0 mm thicken slab by 160mm at edges	
		Shear Stress V,ed = 2.	42424 N/mm2	
		V,rd,c = 0.4	44101	
		% reinforcement provided = (0.3%	
		spacing of links =	200 mm	
		Min Shear reinforcement =	268 mm2	
		Asw, prov:	339 mm2	
		No. of legs =	3	
			Therefore, okay in shear	
	Deflections			
		term deflection for cracked concrete =	2.2 mm	
	2019			
		Span/250 =	8 mm therefore,okay	
	Uplift reaction on pile	ed foundations		
	Piles designed for a Ul designed by specialist	LS tension load of 72	20.00 KN	
	,			
		+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++		
				BC Structural Design Limited
				20 Sirdotara 200gn Linited

Retaining Wall - Type B - Propped Cantilever (Pool) A new RC concrete relianing wall will form the lowered pool area The RC wall forms part of the cranked skab Work case relianing wall will form the lowered pool area The RC wall forms part of the cranked skab Work case relianing wall will form the lowered pool area The RC wall forms part of the cranked skab Work case relianing wall will form the lowered pool area The RC wall forms part of the cranked skab Wall height = 5. m retained soil height = 4.5. m Base support condition Fixed top support condition pinned Soil Density = 20. KN/m3 Soil Density = 20. KN/m3 Height of water table below Ground = 1 m Ware table below ground = 0.0 0.0 kN/m Depth GLSI (ULS) (SLS) (ULS) Ka = 0.41 F, Earth pressure = 0.0 0.0 kN/m Surgerge at Ground = 5.0 5.0 kN/m2 Surgerge at Ground = 5.0 5.0 kN/m2 Surgerge at Ground = 5.0 5.0 kN/m2 Surgerge at Ground = 5.0 5.0 kN/m </th <th>Image: Image: Image:</th>	Image:
A new RC concrete relaining wall forces occur at edge of garden where full depth of excavatation exerting pressures - wall treated as primed at main basement let The RC wall omes part of the cranked stab. Work case relaining wall forces occur at edge of garden where full depth of excavatation exerting pressures - wall treated as primed at main basement let Wall height = 5 m retained soil height = 4.5 im Bases support condition primed top support condition primed middle support primed Soil Density = 20 kNim3 Ke = 0.41 For SLS Ke =	Image: Constraint of the second sec
A new KC concrete retaining wall will form the lowered pool aree The RC wall forms part of the consider slab. Worst case retaining wall brokes cour at edge of garden where full depth of excavatation exerting pressures - wall treated as pinned at main basement lew retained soli height = 5 m retained soli height = 4.5 m. Bases upport condition pinned indide support pinned indide support pinned Soil Properties Soil Density = 20 kNim3 Ks = 0.41 For ULS Height of water table below Ground = 1 m water table below Ground = 1 m At Depth 0 m BGL 1 m BGL	Image: Constraint of the sector of
Worst case retaining wall forces occur at edge of garden where full depth of excavatation exerting pressures - wall treated as pinned at main basement let with the pressure of the pht = 4.5 m Wall height = 4.5 m Base support condition pinned Image: support condition pinned Soil Properties Soil behnd wall = made ground Ka = 0.41 Soil behnd wall = made ground Ka = 0.41 Soil behnd wall = made ground Ka = 0.41 Concrete Scills Image: Soil behnd wall = made ground Ka = 0.41 Depth Depth Colspan="2">Depth Contract table below Ground = 1 More result = 0.0 O.0 Nore Retaining wall table below Ground = 1 F, Earth pressure = 0.0 <th colspan="2</th> <th>el </th>	el
Wall height = 5 m retained soil height = 4.5 m Base support condition pinned india support pinned pinned middle support condition pinned Soil Properties Soil Density = Soil Density = 20 Ko = 1 Mail + isolation Ko = Ko = 1 Mail + For ULS For SLS Ka = 0.4 Noments 5 Ko = 0.0 Noments 5 Ko = 1 Mail + For ULS 1 Mail + For ULS 1 Based on this the ULS condition as acting at existing ground level Forces Moments, M,ed = w x !?2 /8 = 210.00 Shear, V.ed = w	Image: Ample and a set of
International registric 4.6 m Image: Text and the support or condition in the support	Image: Section of the sectio
Base support condition Fixed itop support condition pinned itop support condition soil Density =	Image: Section of the sectio
Note Soil Density = 20 NNM3 Soil Density = 20 NNM3 Image: Note of the section of the sect	Image: Section of the sectio
Soil Properties Soil behind wall = made ground 6.0m BGL Image: Constraint of the source of the s	Image: Section of the sectio
Soli Density = 20 K/m3 Image: Soli Density = 20 K/Vm3 Image: Soli Density = 20 K/Vm2 Image: Soli Density = 20 K/Vm2 Image: Soli Density = 20 K/Vm2 Image: Soli Density = 20 Soli Density = 20 Ima	
Ko = 1.2 For SLS Ka = 0.41 For ULS Height of water table below Ground = 1 m water table below proposed SSL - only consider in ULS condition as acting at existing ground level Loading At Depth Depth Depth Loading 0 m BGL 1 m BGL 6 m BGL F, Earth pressure 0.0 0.0 kN/m 24.0 0.0 kN/m 44.0 49.2 kN/m F, Earth pressure 0.0 0.0 kN/m 24.0 0.0 kN/m 50.0 50.0 kN/m Surcharge at Ground = 5.0 5.0 kN/m2 5.0 5.0 kN/m2 5.0 5.0 kN/m2 F, Surcharge pressure at base = 6.0 2.1 kN/m 6.0 2.1 kN/m Concrete Section Design Shear, V,ed = w x l/2 = 260.00 kN/m Such arge at most onerous Shear, V,ed = w x l/2 = 260.0 kN/m Such arge at most onerous Concrete Section Design Cover = 60.	Image: Section of the sectio
Height of water table below Ground = 1 m water table below proposed SL - only consider in ULS condition as acting at existing ground level Loading 0 m BGL 1 m BGL 6 m BGL Loading 0 m BGL 1 m BGL 6 m BGL F, Earth pressure @depth x = 0.0 0.0 kN/m 24.0 0.0 kN/m F, Water pressure @depth x = 0.0 0.0 kN/m 6.0 2.1 kN/m Surcharge at Ground = 5.0 5.0 kN/m 6.0 2.1 kN/m F, Surcharge pressure at base = 6.0 2.1 kN/m 6.0 2.1 kN/m Forces Moments, M,ed = w x 1/2 / 8 = 210.00 kN/m Based on this the ULS condition is the most onerous Forces Moments, M,ed = w x 1/2 / 8 = 200.00 kN/m 40.0 40.0 Concrete grade C 32 /40 40.0 40.0 40.0 40.0 Concrete grade C 32 /40.0 mm 50.0 mm 50.0 10.00 10.0	Image: Constraint of the sector of
Find provide Image able balow or or unit Image able balow or unit <thimage able="" balow="" or="" th="" unit<=""> Image ab</thimage>	
Loading At Depth Depth Depth Image: Construction of the second seco	
Loading Image Image <thimage< th=""> Image Image <t< td=""><td></td></t<></thimage<>	
F, Earth pressure = 0.0 0.0 kN/m 24.0 0.0 kN/m 144.0 19.2 kN/m Image: Structure pressure @depth x = 0.0 0.0 kN/m 0.0 0.0 kN/m 50.0 50.0 kN/m 1 144.0 49.2 kN/m 1 Image: Structure pressure @depth x = 0.0 0.0 kN/m 0.0 0.0 kN/m 50.0 50.0 kN/m 1	
F, water pressure greepin X = 0.0 0.0 N/m 0.0 0.0 N/m 0.0.0 N/m Surcharge at Ground = 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 kN/m2 5.0 kN/m2 5.0 kN/m2 5.0 kN/m2 5.0 5.0	
Surcharge at Ground = 5.0 5.0 kN/m2 5.0 5.0 kN/m2 F, Surcharge pressure at base = 6.0 2.1 kN/m 6.0 2.1 kN/m 6.0 2.1 kN/m E, Surcharge pressure at base = 6.0 2.1 kN/m 6.0 2.1 kN/m 6.0 2.1 kN/m E, Surcharge pressure at base = 6.0 2.1 kN/m 6.0 2.1 kN/m 6.0 2.1 kN/m E, Surcharge pressure at base = 6.0 2.1 kN/m 6.0 2.1 kN/m 6.0 2.1 kN/m E, Surcharge pressure at base = 6.0 2.1 kN/m 6.0 2.1	
Eorces Moments, M,ed = w x l ² 2 8 = 210.00 kNm/m Based on this the ULS condition is the most onerous Eorces Moments, M,ed = w x l ² 2 8 = 210.00 kNm/m Based on this the ULS condition is the most onerous Concrete Section Design Shear, V,ed = w x l ² 2 8 260.00 kN/m Concrete Section Design Depth of section = 500.0 mm Concrete grade C 32 /40 Depth of section = 500.0 mm Concrete grade C 32 /40 Depth of section = 500.0 mm Concrete grade C 32 /40 Depth of section = 500.0 mm Cover = G0.0 mm Tool of the section = 500.0 mm Cover = 60.0 mm Tool of the section = 500.0 mm Cover = 60.0 mm Tool of the section = 500.0 mm Cover = Cover = 60.0 mm Tool of the section = 500.0 Cover = Cover = 60.0 mm Tool of the section = 500.0 Cover = Cover = 60.0 mm Tool of the section = 50	
Forces Moments, M,ed = w x l^2 / 8 = 210.00 kNm/m Based on this the ULS condition is the most onerous Concrete Section Design Shear, V,ed = w x l/2 / 2 = 260.00 kN/m August on the most onerous August onerous Concrete Section Design August onerous August onerous August onerous August onerous Concrete Grade C 32 /40 August onerous August onerous August onerous Concrete Grade C 32 /40 August onerous August onerous August onerous Concrete grade C 32 /40 August onerous August onerous August onerous Concrete grade C 32 /40 August onerous August onerous August onerous Concrete grade C 32 /40 August onerous August onerous August onerous Concrete grade C 32 /40 August onerous August onerous August onerous August onerous August onerous August onerous August onerous August onerous August onerous August onerous August onerous August onerous August onerous August onerous	
Concrete Section Design Shear, V, ed = w x 1/2 = 260.00 kN/m Based on this the ULS condition is the most onerous Concrete Section Design Shear, V, ed = w x 1/2 = 260.00 kN/m Image: Shear in the image: Shea	
Concrete Section Design Image: section and the s	
Concrete Section Design Image: Concret	
Concrete grade C 32 /40 Reinforcement grade B 500 B width = 1000 mm Image: State of the state o	
Reinforcement grade B 500 B width = 1000 mm Depth of section = 500.0 mm Depth of section = 60.0 mm Depth of section = 440.0 Depth of section = 440.0 Depth of section = 440.0 Depth of section = 441.8 mm Depth of section = 155 mm2 Martine = 473 Depth of section = 155 mm2 Martine = 473 Depth of section = 155 mm2	
Image: Constraint of the second se	
Image: Second	
2 = 416 mm As,req = 1155 mm2 15.979.97 4733 527.67 9/27 15.979.97 4733 527.67 9/27	
As,req = 1155 mm2	
As, prov: 4084 mm2 No of bars = 13	
Diameter 20 mm bars a	
Shear Stress V,ed = 0.62201 N/mm2 Vrd.max = 4.63 N/mm2	
V,rd,c = 0.632187 N/mm2	
spacing of links = 0 mm <td></td>	
No. of legs =	
Cracking Image: Cracking </td <td></td>	
M,quasi = 150.00 Mguasi / MULS = 0.71	
redistribution factor = 0.85	
Ouess in Dars = 0/.02 N/mmz 0//mmz b16-OKAY 0//mmz 0//mmz 0//mmz	
Assuming 25% of section stiffness for long term cracked concrete - conservative	
Long term deflection for cracked concrete = 9.4 mm	
Span/500 = 10.00 mm	
9410	
BC S	1

ct name: 13 Belsize Crescent ct Number: J225 Sheet 17/03/2023 Engin tion:	eer: DJC	Revision: C1 Checked: AB		BAKER STRUCTURAL DESIGN CHATTERTON
Retaining Wall - Type C - Full C	antilever, No prop at Head (Ov	er stair void)		
Retaining wall to span between LG	F and main basement level	nead		
retained soil height = 4.5 m			╶┼╶┼╌┼╌┼┨┼╴┥┝╎╴┼╶╿	
Base support condition Fixed				
top support condition free				
Soil Proportion				
Soil ber	ind wall = Clay			
Soil	Density = 20 kN/m3 Ko = 1.2 ignore as ca	antilever will allow a portion	of movement under SLS	
	Ka = 0.41 For SLS an	d ULS		
Height of water table below	Ground = 1 m water tab	le below proposed 1m below e	iting ground level -	
	At Depth	Depth	Depth	
Loading	0 m BGL	1 m BGL	4.5 m BGL	
F, Earth p	essure = 0.0 0.0 k	N/m	8.2 kN/m 36.9	kN/m
F, Water pressure @	lepth x = 0.0 0.0 k	N/m	0.0 kN/m 35.0	kN/m
Surcharge at	Ground = 5.0 5.0 k	N/m2	.0 kN/m2 5.0	kN/m2
F, Surcharge pressure	at dase = 6.0 2.1 k	N/M	2.1 kN/m 2.1	KN/M
Earnea	A	205.00 1:1:1:	-0.0012 1040.9E-6	
	Shear at base, V,ed =	295.00 kN/m 210.00 kN/m		
			-3.636	
Concrete Section Design				
Concrete grade C 32	/40			
Reinforcement grade B 500	B width =	1000 mm		
	Depth of section = Cover =	500.0 mm 60.0 mm	— <u> </u>	
	Effective Depth =	440.0		54,594,69
	K = (418 mm	234 @ 07 3 yz y	Z -1.797Eskjazz
		1622 mm2	1893139.3	
	A5,164 -			
	As, prov:	3289 mm2		
	Diameter	25 mm	Table 3	
			Maximu Steel	m bar size or spacing to lmit crack width (mm)
	Shear Stress V,ed = C	0.502392 N/mm2	stress (a) MPa	Maximum bar size bar spacing bar size bar spacing
	Vrd,max =	4.63 N/mm2	160	(mm) (mm) (mm) (mm) 32 300 25 200 25 co 250 16 co 150
	% reinforcement provided =	0.8%	240 280	16 0K 200 12 0K 100 12 150 8 50
	spacing of links =	0 mm	320 360	10 100 6 - 8 50 5 -
	Min Shear reinforcement =	0 mm2	Note The stee	el stress may be estimated from the expression below
	Asw, prov:	0 mm2	$\sigma_i = \frac{1}{\gamma_n}$	j# ^{,n} A _{spov} ∂
	No. of legs =	8 mm	yhtere:	= the characteristic reinforcement yields stress = the partial factor for reinforcement steel
Cracking			7ms 7ms 7ms 7ms 7ms	= the total load from quasi-permanent combination = the total load from ULS combination
Limited to W,max =0.3	f,yk =	500.00	Ave	the area of reinforcement at the ULS the original sector of the
	Mguasi / MULS =	216.00	2231 27107 1149	
	redistribution factor =	0.85	• 1319	
	Stress in bars =	157.04 N/mm2		
			► ¹³³³	
			1882	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Deflections	for long term procked constate	- conservativo		
Long term de	lection for cracked concrete =	24 mm		
nranacad	Span/250 for cantilever =	18.00 mm	r span/depth assessment	
proposed		taking a	ccount of rebar	
	F1 =	1.00 mm	34	
	F3 =	1.97		
	Span to effective depth =	25.60		
	cantilever reduction factor	0.40		
	cantilever reduction factor basic span to depth =	10.24	\$ 20 1	
	cantilever reduction factor basic span to depth = Allowable span to depth = Actual span to depth ratio =	10.24		
	cantilever reduction factor basic span to depth = Allowable span to depth = Actual span to depth ratio =	10.24		

tion:	et: Revi jineer: DJC Che	sion: C1 cked: AB	BAKER STRUCTURAL DESIGN CHATTERTON
Retaining Wall - Type E - Pro	oped Cantilever Below Party Wall in De	eper Pool Area	
A new RC concrete retaining w	Ill will form the lowered pool area		
Worst case retaining wall force	occur at edge of garden where full depth	of excavatation exerting pressures - wall treated as pin	nned at main basement level
Wall height = 6 m			
retained soil height = 6 m Base support condition Fixed		0m BGL	
top support condition pinned			
Soil Properties Soil	pehind wall = made ground	6.0m BGL	
5000	oil Density = 20 kN/m3		
	Ko = 1.2 For SLS Ka = 0.41 For ULS		
Height of water table hal	w Cround = 1 m under table bala		
	At Depth	Depth Depth	
Loading	0 m BGL	1 m BGL 6 m BG	
F, Earth	pressure = 0.0 0.0 kN/m	24.0 0.0 kN/m 144.0	49.2 kN/m
F, Water pressure	@depth x = 0.0 0.0 kN/m	0.0 0.0 kN/m 50.0	50.0 kN/m
Surcharge	at Ground = 5.0 5.0 kN/m2	5.0 5.0 kN/m2 5.0	5.0 kN/m2
F, Surcharge pressu	re at base = 6.0 2.1 kN/m	6.0 2.1 kN/m 6.0	2.1 kN/m
Forces	Moments, M,ed = w x l^2 / 8 = 241.0) kNm/m Based on this the ULS condition is th	e most onerous
	Shear, V,ed = w x I / 2 = 280.00) kN/m	
Concrete Section Design			
Concrete grade C 3	2 /40		
Reinforcement grade B 50	D width = 1000 Depth of section = 500.0		
	Cover = 60.0	241.0	
	Effective Depth = 440.0 k = 0.0389	-1005 185.3	
	Z = 418	mm	
	As,req = 1325	-277.9 91.49	22.722.77 -6.746 169.8E-9 x xyozz
	As. prov: 6381		
	No. of bars = 13		
	Diameter 25	double bars at base to ensure shears wo	orking without links
	Shear Stress V ed = 0.6698	56 N/mm2	
	Vrd,max = 4.63	N/mm2	
	% reinforcement provided = 1.5%	/9 N/mm2	
	k= 1.674	2	
	Min Shear reinforcement = 0	mm2	
	No. of legs =		
Cracking			
Enniou to W,IIIdA -0.5	M,quasi = 150.0		
	Mquasi / MULS = 0.62		
	Stress in bars = 56.21	N/mm2	
	Stress in bars = 56.21	N/mm2	
Deflections	Stress in bars = 56.21	N/mm2	
Deflections Assuming 25% of section stiffin	Stress in bars = 56.21	N/mm2	
Deflections Assuming 25% of section stiffn Long term	ss for long term cracked concrete - cons deflection for cracked concrete = 15 Span/500 = 12.00	N/mm2	
Deflections Assuming 25% of section stiffn Long term	ss for long term cracked concrete - cons deflection for cracked concrete = 15	N/mm2	
Deflections Assuming 25% of section stiffn Long term	ss for long term cracked concrete = 15 ss for long term cracked concrete = 15 Span/500 = 12.00 Section movem	N/mm2 arvative mm mm b be analysed in detail for long te nt accounting for reinforcement - 15.18	
Deflections Assuming 25% of section stiffn Long term	ss for long term cracked concrete - cons deflection for cracked concrete = 15 Span/500 = 12.00 Section at detail	N/mm2 srvative mm mm to be analysed in detail for long te ant accounting for reinforcement ed design phase	
Deflections Assuming 25% of section stiffn Long term	ss for long term cracked concrete = 15 deflection for cracked concrete = 15 Span/500 = 12.00 Section at detail Okay for	N/mm2 arvative mm mm to be analysed in detail for long te ant accounting for reinforcement ed design phase 11.70 11.70 11.70 11.70	
Deflections Assuming 25% of section stiffin Long term	Stress in bars = 56.21	N/mm2 arvative mm mm mm to be analysed in detail for long te ant accounting for reinforcement ed design phase f now as deflections are still	
Deflections Assuming 25% of section stiffn Long term	ss for long term cracked concrete - cons deflection for cracked concrete = 15 Span/500 = 12.00 Section at detail	N/mm2 arvative mm mm to be analysed in detail for long te ant accounting for reinforcement ed design phase rnow as deflections are still	
Deflections Assuming 25% of section stiffn Long term	Stress in bars = 56.21 iss for long term cracked concrete - consideration for cracked concrete = 15 Span/500 = 12.00 iss for long term cracked concrete - consideration for cracked concrete = 16 iss for long term cracked concrete - consideration for cracked concrete = 15 iss for long term cracked concrete - consideration for cracked concrete = 12.00 iss for long term cracked concrete - consideration for cracked concrete = 12.00 iss for long term cracked concrete - consideration for cracked concrete = 12.00 iss for long term cracked concrete = 12.00 iss for long t	N/mm2 arvative mm mm to be analysed in detail for long te ant accounting for reinforcement ed design phase mn as deflections are still and a still arvative to be analysed in detail for long te arvative to be analysed in detail for long te the still for long te te te te te te te te te te	

Project name: 13 Belsize Cresco Project Number: J225	ent Sheet:	Revision: C1	BAKED
Date: 17/03/2023 Location:	Engineer: DJC	Checked: AB	CHATTERTON
Load Take Down Review a	nd Review of Uplift Forces		
The existing structure has be The existing structure has lo	een proven to be supported on shall strip fo ad bearing internal walls that have similar	otings located <1m below the existing lower strip footings at lower ground level that spread	ground floor.
It is proposed to remove all in	Internal load bearing walls and construct a	new basement below the existing lower grour	nd floor.
The new upperfloor infill stru	Icture will spread load onto a combination (f the masonry party walls and new vertical st	eel framing
All load above will be transfe	erred into the new RC retaining walls that w	ill underpin the existing masonry	
The retaining walls will be su The strip footings will be ecc	upported on new concrete strip footings at centric to the boundary wall to avoid extend	basement level.	
The moments caused by the Thus the footings will only be	e eccentric moment will be transferred throu e subject to vertical loads.	igh the basement slab in bending/shear to the	e "centre" of the footing
The following calculations as	ssess the existing load on the existing wall	(to be retained) and then a look at the propo	sed loadings across the new foundations
The allowable bearing press	ures for the new foundations has been tak	en as 250kN/m2, in accordance with A2 repo	rt
Existing Load			
Learny suit will provide the full of the second sec	vals u f va		
when the bar of product produc		Wall 1 gk = 119 kN/m qk =20 kN/m	
	13 Belaa Gesert		
		Wall 2 gk = 57 kN/m qk = 20 kN/m	
	Wall 4		
	gk = 59 KN/m gk = 5 kN/m count with the periodic and there is proposed with the regard data.		gk = 59 kN/m qk = 5 kN/m
		Normania - Paraman	
	1) Beite Cream 19 Joint Cream		
		Wall 1 gk = 119 kN/m	
		qk =20 kN/m	
			BC Structural Design Limited

Project Project Date: Locatic	t name: t Number: on:	13 E	Belsize Cre J225 17/03/2023	scent Sheet: Engine	eer:	DJC	;	Revis Check	ion: C1 ked: AB	ł		BAKER STRUCTURAL DESIGN CHATTERTON
	Existing	020	Booring V	Valle Log	d Tako D)owne						
		<u>_0au</u>										
	Existing lo	ads	acting on th	ne load bea	aring and	party walls	to be dete	rmined as	part of the	Ground	Movement A	Assessment
	Wall 1	- Pa	arty Wall w	ith 11 and	15 Belsiz	ze Cres - E	xisting				***	
		_	Height of	wall thk	Dead	Width of	Dead	Imposed	G.k	Qk	SLS Cumalitive	
	Floo	r	Wall (m)	mm	kN/m	Floor	kN/m2	kN/m2	kN/m	kN/m	kN/m	
		oof			0	3.4	0.9	0.6	3.1	2.0	4.5	
		3	3	215	12.9	3.4	0.5	2	1.7	6.8	19.4	
		2	3.3	215 330	14.19 23.76	3.4	0.5	2	1.7	6.8 6.8	25.1 55.4	
		G	3.9	330	25.74	3.4	0.5	2	1.7	6.8	87.6	
			3.5	440	25.04				115.5	20.5	assumes r	redution in imposed load of 0.7
	Wall 2	- Fy	rternal hou	ndary Wa	ll to front	elevation	- Fristing				***	
											SLS	
	Floo	r	Height of Wall (m)	wall thk mm	Dead kN/m	Width of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2	G,k kN/m	Q,k kN/m	Cumalitive kN/m	
		3			0				0.0	0.0	0.0	
		2			0				0.0	0.0	0.0	
		G			0	1.2	5	2	6.0	2.4	7.7	
		LG	3.3	215	14.19				20.2	24	21.9	
	Wall 3	- In	ternal Wall	- ⊨xisting							SLS	
	Eloo	-	Height of	wall thk	Dead	Width of	Dead	Imposed	G,k	Q,k	Cumalitive	
			waii (m)		KIN/III	FIOOI	KIN/IIIZ	KIN/IIIZ	KIN/III	KIN/III	KN/III	
	r	oof 3	3	100	0	3.4	0.9	0.6	3.1	2.0	4.5	
		2	3.3	100	6.6	3.4	0.5	2	1.7	6.8	17.5	
		G	3.6	100	7.2	3.4	0.5	2	1.7	6.8 6.8	31.2 45.5	
		В	3.3	100	6.6				44.1	20.5	52.1	redution in improved load of 0.7
									44.1	20.5	assumes i	
	Wall 4	(rea	r Flevation	1) - Fxistin	a							
									**		SLS	
	Floo	r	Height of Wall (m)	mm	Dead kN/m	Floor	Dead kN/m2	kN/m2	G,k kN/m	Q,K kN/m	kN/m	
		oof			0	0.4	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.5	
		3	1	215	3.01	0.4	0.5	2	0.4	0.2	3.8	
		2	3.3	215 215	9.933	0.4	0.5	2	0.2	0.8	11.2 22.8	
		G	3.9	330	18.018	0.4	0.5	2	0.2	0.8	41.6	
		В	3.3	330	15.246				58.2	3.4	56.8	
	Wall 4	fro	nt elevation	1- Frietina								
									**		SLS	
	Floo	r	Height of Wall (m)	wall thk mm	Dead kN/m	Width of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2	G,k kN/m	Q,k kN/m	Cumalitive kN/m	
	Wall	ext	x,	· ·	0.0		0.0	0.0		0.0		
		3	1	215	3.01	0.4	0.9	2	0.4	0.2	3.8	
		2	3.3 3.6	215 215	9.933 10.836	0.4	0.5	2	0.2	0.8	11.2 22.8	
		G	3.9	330	18.018	0.4	0.5	2	0.2	0.8	41.6	
		в	3.3	330	15.246				58.2	2.4	56.8	
		-										
		-						- -			+ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$ $+$	
		-										
	+++-	+	+								+++	
		+									+ $+$ $+$ $+$	
											+	
												BC Structural Design Limited

	:		17/03/2023	Engir	neer:				DJC		Revis Check	ion: C1 ked: AB				BAKER STRUCTURAL CHATTERTC
	Dropp	od !	ad Boori-	Wallo	oad Take	Downs										
+	Propos	ea La	Jau-Bearing	a vvalis - l		Downs ar	na EQUIL							++		
	A reviev	v of th	ne proposed	l loads act	ing on wall	ls to detem	rine minin	num footig	n widths ar	nd						
	a look a	t whe	ther the tota	al vertical o	lead loads	arae large	er than upl	lift forces -	if so no te	nsion piles	assumed					
	Wal	1 - F	Party Wall w	vith 11 an	d 15 Belsi	ze Cres - F	Proposec	EDTV	12 DEL CI			**		**** 01.0		
			Height of	wall thk	Dead	Width of	Dead		13 BELSI Width of	Dead	Imposed	Gk	0 k	SL3 Cumalitive		
	Fle	oor	Wall (m)	mm	kN/m	Floor	kN/m2	kN/m2	Floor	kN/m2	kN/m2	kN/m	kN/m	kN/m	·	
		1			0											
		roof			0	1.7	0.9	0.6	3.4	1.6	0.6	7.0	3.1	9.1		
		3	3	215	12.9	1.7	0.5	2	3.4	1.25	2	5.1	10.2	25.1		
		2	3.3	330	14.19	1./	0.5	2	3.4	1.25	2	5.1	10.2	35.5		
		G	3.9	330	25.74	1.7	0.5	2	3.4	1.25	2	5.1	10.2	109.5		
		LG	3.3	440	29.04				3.4	10	2	34.0	6.8	177.3		
		В	4.5	440	49.5				1.7	10	2	17.0	3.4	246.2	1	
	Found	lation										233.5	54.1	246.2		kN/m2 Proposed bearing pressure
											Min footin	a width ne	ABP =	250.0	m	
_		-										g maan pe	Provide	1.2	m width	
											Uplift Re	action (fro	m GSA) =	73.0	kN/m	and 94kN/m at the lower level
-+	+ +	+-											.9 x Gk =	194.9	кN/m	uplift is < down force
+	\vdash	+		-		-			-		-					no tension plies required along party wal
-+	Wal	1 Z - V	vall below i	ront gard	en and fro	ont arch - I	Porposed		13 851 01			**		~~** 81 0		<u> </u>
+			Height of	3.5 wall th⊮	Dead	Width of	Dead	Imposed	Width of	∠⊨ UKES	Imposed	Gk	Q.k	ວະວ Cumalitiv		┽┼┼┼┼┼┼┼┼
+	Fle	oor	Wall (m)	mm	kN/m	Floor	kN/m2	kN/m2	Floor	kN/m2	kN/m2	kN/m	kN/m	kN/m		
					0											
$-\square$		roof			0							0.0	0.0	0.0		
		3			0							0.0	0.0	0.0		
		1			0							0.0	0.0	0.0		
		G			0				1.2	5	1.5	6.0	1.8	7.3		
		LG	3.3	215	14.19				1.2	8.25	2	9.9	2.4	33.0		
	- Faund	B	4.5	350	39.375				1.2	10	2	12.0	2.4	86.1		
	Found	lation										81.5	6.6 ABD -	250.0	kN/m2	
											Min footin	a width pe	r meter =	0.3	m	
													Provide	0.5	m width	
		_									Uplift Re	action (fro	m GSA) =	106.0	kN/m	uplift is > then down force, therefore
													.3 X GK -	02.5	KIN/III	tension piles required to perimete
					en i i									***		
	Wal	13-V	Vall below	rear garde	m							**		61.6		
	Wal	13-V	Vall below i wall length Height of	rear garde 1 wall thk	m Dead				Width of	Dead	Imposed	** G.k	Q.k	SLS Cumalitiv		
	Flo	13-V oor	Vall below i wall length Height of Wall (m)	rear garde 1 wall thk mm	m Dead kN/m	-			Width of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k kN/m	Q,k kN/m	SLS Cumalitiv kN/m	¢	
	Flo	13-V oor	Vall below i wall length Height of Wall (m)	rear garde 1 wall thk mm	m Dead kN/m 0				Width of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k kN/m	Q,k kN/m	SLS Cumalitive kN/m		
	Flo	oor roof	Vall below i wall length Height of Wall (m)	rear garde 1 wall thk mm	m Dead kN/m 0 0				Width of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k kN/m 0.0	Q,k kN/m 0.0	SLS Cumalitive kN/m		
		000r roof 3 2	Vall below wall length Height of Wall (m)	rear garde	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0				Width of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	SLS Cumalitive kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0		
	Fle	000r roof 3 2 1	Vall below i wall length Height of Wall (m)	rear garde	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0				Width of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	SLS Cumalitive kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		
	Flo	000r roof 3 2 1 G	Vall below i wall length Height of Wall (m)	rear garde	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				Width of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	SLS Cumalitive kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		
		000r roof 3 2 1 G LG	Vall below i wall length Height of Wall (m)	rear garde	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				Width of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2	*** G,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 61.3 40.2	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 8.8	SLS Cumalitive kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 67.4		
		roof 3 2 1 G LG B	Vall below i wall length Height of Wall (m) 0 5.5	rear garde 1 wall thk mm 350 500	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 68.75				Width of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 61.3 10.0 140.0	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 8.8 2.0 10.8	SLS Cumalitive kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 67.4 147.5 147.5		
	Found	roof 3 2 1 G LG B dation	Vall below i wall length Height of Wall (m) 0 5.5	rear garde 1 wall thk mm 350 500	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 68.75				Width of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 61.3 10.0 140.0	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 8.8 2.0 10.8 ABP =	SLS Cumalitiv kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	kN/m2	
		roof 3 2 1 G LG B dation	Vall below i wall length Height of Wall (m) 0 5.5	rear garde 1 wall thk mm 350 500	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 68.75				Uidth of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2 5 2 Min footin	** G,k KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 61.3 10.0 140.0 g width pe	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 8.8 2.0 10.8 ABP = r meter =	SLS Cumalitiv kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	kN/m2 m	
		roof 3 2 1 G LG B dation	Vall below i wall length Height of Wall (m) 0 5.5	ear garde 1 wall thk mm 350 500	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 68.75				Uidth of Floor	Dead kN/m2 35 10	Imposed kN/m2 5 2 Min footin	** G,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	SLS Cumalitiv kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 67.4 147.5 147.5 250.0 0.6 0.75	kN/m2 m mwidth	
	Wal -	roof 3 2 1 G LG B dation	Vall below i wall length Height of Wall (m) 0 5.5	rear garde 1 wall thk mm 350 500	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 68.75				United and the second s	Dead kN/m2 35 10	Imposed kN/m2 5 2 Min footin	** G,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	SLS Cumalitive kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	kN/m2 m m width kN/m	
	Wall -	roof 3 2 1 G LG B dation	Vall below i wall length Height of Wall (m)	ear garde 1 wall thk mm 350 500	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				Vidth of Floor	Dead kN/m2 35 10	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 61.3 10.0 140.0 g width pe	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 8.8 2.0 10.8 ABP = provide m GSA) = 3 x Gk = 9 x Gk =	SLS Cumalitive kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	kN/m2 m m width kN/m	upift is < down force
		000r roof 3 2 1 1 G LG B dation	Vall below i wall length Height of 0 5.5	ear garde 1 wall thk mm 350 500 	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				1.75 1	Dead kN/m2 35 10	Imposed kN/m2 5 2 Min footin	** G,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 10.0 140.0 gwidth pe action (fro 0	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	SLS Cumalitive kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 67.4 147.5 250.0 0.6 0.75 95.0 117.0	kN/m2 m width kN/m kN/m	uplift is < down force no tension piles require; around pool below garder
		DOOR roof 3 2 1 G LG B dation	Vall below wall length Height of Wall (m) Wall (m)	rear garde	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 68.75					35 10	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 61.3 10.0 140.0 g width pe action (fro	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	SLS Cumalitive kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 67.4 147.5 250.0 0.6 0.75 95.0 117.0	kN/m2 m width kN/m kN/m kN/m	uplift is < down force no tension piles requirec around pool below garder
	Found	73 - V roof 3 2 1 G LG B Aation	Vall below wall length Height of 0 5.5	rear grad	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					35 10	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 61.3 10.0 140.0 g width pe	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	SLS Cumalitive kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	kN/m2 m width kN/m kN/m	uplift is < down force no tension piles requires around pool below garden
		13 - V oor 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	Vall below wall length Height of Wall (m) Wall (m) 0 0 5.5 Vall below / Wall below	rear grad	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 68.75				1.75 1 Area of	35 10	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	SLS Cumalitiv kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	kN/m2 m vidth kN/m kN/m	uplift is < down force no tension piles requires around pool below garden
		73 - V roof 3 2 2 1 1 G LG B Jation	Vall below wall length Height of Wall (m) 0 5.5 Vall below Wall (m) Vall below Wall (m)	rear garde 1 wall thk mm 350 500 900 900 1 wall thk mm	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 68.75 				1.75 1 Area of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Q,k	SLS Cumalitiv kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	kN/m2 m kN/m kN/m kN/m	uplift is < down force
		73 - V roof 3 2 2 1 1 G B B LG B LG B lation	Vall below wall length Height of Wall (m) 0 5.5	rear gards 1 wall thk mm 350 500 Pool 1 wall thk mm	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 m Dead kN/m 0				1.75 1 Area of Floor	35 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 8.8 2.0 10.8 ABP = Provide m GSA) = .9 x Gk = .9 x Gk = .0 .9 x Gk =	SLS Cumalitiv/ kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	kN/m2 m width kN/m kN/m kN/m	uplift is < down force no tension piles requirec around pool below garder
	Val	Door roof 3 2 1 G G B B dation	Vall below wall length Height of Wall (m) 0 5.5 Vall below wall length Height of Wall (m)	ear garde 1 wall thk mm 350 500 500 Pool 1 wall thk mm	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 68.75 - - - - - - - - - - - - -				1.75 1	35 10 	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	SLS Cumalitiv/ kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	kN/m2 m width kN/m kN/m kN/m	upift is < down force no tension piles require: around pool below garder
	Founce	Door roof dation roof dation	Vall below wall length Height of Wall (m) 0 5.5 Vall below wall length Height of Wall (m)	ear garde	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				I.75 I.75 Area of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2 5 2 Win footin Uplift Re kN/m2	** G,k KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 140.0 g width pc g width pc G,k KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 8.8 2.0 10.8 8 ABP = Provide m GSA) = .9 x Gk = 0.9 x Gk = Q,k kN/m	SLS Curnalitiv kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	kN/m2 m vidth kN/m kN/m	uplift is < down force no tension piles requirec around pool below garden
	Val	13 - V poor roof 3 2 1 G B Jation Jation Image: Second Secon	Vall below wall length Height of Wall (m) 0 5.5 Vall below Vall below Wall length Height of Wall (m)	rear garde	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				I.75 I.75 Area of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 8.8 2.0 10.8 8 & 2.0 10.8 8 & 2.0 10.8 B & 2.0 10.8 B & 2.0 10.8 B & 2.0 10.8 C & C & 2 C & C & C & C & C & C & C & C & C & C &	SLS Cumalitive kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.6 0.75 95.0 117.0 SLS Cumalitive kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	kN/m2 m m width kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m	upift is < down force
	Found	13-V roof 3 2 1 G B Jation Jation Image: Second	Vall below wall length Height of Wall (m) 0 5.5 5.5 Vall below Wall length of Wall (m)	rear garde	m Dead KN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				1.75 1 Area of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	SLS Cumalitiv kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.74 147.5 250.0 0.6 0.75 117.0 st.s SLS 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	kN/m2 m m width kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m	uplift is < down force no tension piles requires around pool below garden
	Val.	13 - V roof 3 2 1 G LG Bation Jation	Vell below wall length Height of Wall (m) 5.5 Vell below wall length Height of Wall (m)	rear garde	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 68.75 				Area of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2 5 2 Win footin Uplift Re Imposed kN/m2	** G,k KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 8.8 2.0 10.8 8.8 2.0 10.8 8.8 2.0 10.8 8.8 2.0 10.8 8.8 2.0 10.8 8.8 2.0 10.8 8.8 2.0 10.8 8.8 2.0 10.8 8.8 2.0 10.8 8.8 2.0 10.8 8.8 2.0 10.8 8.8 2.0 10.8 8.8 2.0 10.8 8.8 2.0 10.8 8.8 2.0 10.8 8.8 2.0 10.8 8.8 2.0 10.8 8.8 2.0 10.0 8.8 8.8 2.0 10.0 8.8 8.8 2.0 10.0 8.8 8.8 2.0 10.0 8.8 8 8 9 9 9 7 7 9 7 8 9 8 8 8 8 8 9 9 9 7 7 9 9 7 8 8 8 8	SLS Cumalitiv KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.117.0 95.0 95.0 95.0 95.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	kN/m2 m kN/m2 m kN/m kN/m kN/m	upifit is < down force no tension piles require: around pool below garden
	Value	73 - V roof 3 2 1 G LG B dation Jation Jata Jat	Vall below wall length Height of Wall (m) 0 5.5 Vall below Wall length Height of Wall (m) 0 1.5	rear garde	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				1.75 1 Area of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 140.0 g width pc g width pc G,k KN/m G,0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	St.5 St.6 Counsilitive KN/m kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.75 1 95.0 117.0 WIT 0.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.13.5 51.2	kN/m2 kN/m2 kN/m2 kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m	uplift is < down force
	Wal	13 - V poor roof 3 2 1 G B B dation 14 - V poor roof 3 2 11 G V 000r 3 2 1 G B B dation	Vall below wall length Height of Wall (m)	ear garde 1 wall thk mm 350 500 500 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	m Dead KN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				Area of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 gwidth pe action (fro G,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 12.0 35.1	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	St.5 St.6 Cumalitivity N/m NNm 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 95.0 117.0 95.0 117.0 95.0 117.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		uplift is < down force
	Founce	I J - V roof 3 2 1 1 G B B B dation I J - V Coor roof 3 2 1 1 G B B C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Vell below wall length Height of Wall (m) 0 5.5 Vell below wall length Height of Wall (m) 0 1.5	rear garde	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				Area of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2 5 2 Uplift Re Uplift Re Imposed kN/m2	** G,k KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Q,k kN/m kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	SLS Cumalitiv KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.117.0 0.250.0 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.75 0.0<	kN/m2 m width kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m	upift is < down force no tension piles requirec around pool below garder
	Wall	roof 3 2 1 1 G B B dation 7 7 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Vall below wall length Height of Wall (m) 0 5.5 0 5.5 Vall below wall length Height of Wall (m) 0 1.5	rear garde	m Dead kN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				Width of Floor 1.75 1 - <tr tr=""></tr>	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2 5 2 Win footin Imposed kN/m2	** G,k KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Q,k kN/m kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	St.5 St.6 Cumalitiv. KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.117.0 0.75 SLS SLCumalitivity 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	kN/m2 m width kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m kN/m	uplift is < down force
	Wal	I A - V roof 3 2 1 1 G G B B B dation I 4 - V Froof 1 4 - V Froof 1 1 C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Vall below wall length Height of Wall (m)	rear garde 1 wall thk mm 350 500 500 0 1 wall thk mm 2 350 500 1 wall thk mm	m Dead KN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				Area of Floor	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2	** G,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 g width pe G,k KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Q,k kN/m kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 8.8 ABP = r meter = Provide 0.0 0.0 Provide 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	St.5 St.6 Cumalitivity N/m kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.75 0.75 SLS Cumalitivity 0.0 0.0	kN/m2 m width kN/m	upift is < down force
	Wall	I A - V	Vall below wall length Height of Wall (m)	rear garde 1 wall thk mm 350 500 Pool 1 wall thk mm 350 500 350 500 1 1 wall thk mm 350 500 500 500 500 500 500 500	m Dead KN/m 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				Width of Floor 1.75 1 -	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2 5 2 Win footin Uplift Re Imposed kN/m2 5 15 5	** G,k KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Q,k kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	St.5 Cumalitiv KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.117.0 95.0 117.0 95.0 117.0 95.0 117.0 95.0 117.0 95.0 117.0 95.0 117.0 95.0 117.0 95.0 95.0 95.0 95.0 95.0 95.0 95.0 95.1 95.1 95.1 95.1 95.1 95.1 95.1 95.1 95.1 95.1 95.1 95.1 <	kN/m2 m mwidth kN/m2 kN/m	upift is < down force
	Value	I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	Veil below wall length Height of 0 5.5 0 5.5 Vall below Wall length Wall length Wall length Height of Vall below Wall length Height of Vall below 0 1.5 0 1.5	rear garde	m Dead NV/m 0 0 0				Width of Floor 1.75 1 -	Dead kN/m2	Imposed kN/m2 5 2 Uplift Re Uplift Re Imposed kN/m2	** G,k KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 10.0 10.0 140.0 gwidth pe G,k KN/m 0.0 0.0 <td< td=""><td>Q,k kN/m kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.</td><td>SLS Cumalitiv/v KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.75 117.0 0.0</td><td>kN/m2 m kN/m2 m kN/m kN/m</td><td>upifit is < than down force, therefore upifit is > than down force, therefore</td></td<>	Q,k kN/m kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	SLS Cumalitiv/v KN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.75 117.0 0.0	kN/m2 m kN/m2 m kN/m kN/m	upifit is < than down force, therefore upifit is > than down force, therefore

Project name: 13 Belsize C Project Number: J225 Date: 17/03/202 Location: 17/03/202	rescent Sheet: 3 Engineer:		DJC	Revision: C1 Checked: AB		BAKER STRUCTURAL DESIGN CHATTERTON
Proposed Load-Bearin	ng Walls - Load Tak	e Downs	BELSIZE CRES			
Floor Wall (m)	wall thk Dead mm kN/m 0 0 0 0 0	Width of Floor Dead Imposed W Floor kN/m2 kN/m2 k	Floor KN/m2	mposed G,k Q,k kN/m2 kN/m kN/m 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Lumalitive	
G LG 0 B 4.5 Foundation	0 350 0 350 39.375		1 10 1 15	0.0 0.0 2 10.0 2.0 2 15.0 2.0 64.4 4.0 ABP = n footing width per meter =	0.0 0.0 11.4 67.2 67.2 kN/m2 Pr 250.0 kN/m2	oposed bearing pressure
				Uplift Reaction (from GSA) =	0.9 m.width 130.0 kN/m 44.4 kN/m	down force

Appendix C: Ground Movement and Damage Assessment

13 Belsize Crescent

Building Damage Ground Movement Assessment

March 2023 24022-A2SI-XX-XX-RP-Y-0004-01

Project Name	13 Belsize Crescent
Project Number	24022
Client	Edmund Lehmann and Jennifer Nguyen
Document Name	Building Damage Ground Movement Assessment

This document has been prepared for the sole benefit, use and information of Edmund Lehmann and Jennifer Nguyen for the purposes set out in the document or instructions commissioning it. The liability of A2 Site Investigation Limited in respect of the information contained in this document is as per the A2-SI Terms & Conditions and will not extend to any third party. All concepts and proposals are copyright © March 2023. Issued in commercial confidence.

A2 Site Investigation Limited

One Westminster Bridge Rd London, SE1 7XW

020 7021 0396 contact@a2-si.com www.a2-si.com

Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
Shengbin. Cui	Rauan Saturin	Alex Nikolic
BEng(Hons), MSc, DIC	BEng(Hons), MSc, DIC	BEng(Hons), MSc MICE, MSt(Cantat
Graduate Engineer	Engineer	Director

Document Reference	Status	Notes	Revision	Issued by	Date
24022-A2SI-XX-XX-RP-Y-0004-00	First Issue	First Issue	00	SC	13/03/2023
24022-A2SI-XX-XX-RP-Y-0004-01	Second Issue	Updated Loading	01	SC	31/03/2023

MSc, DIC, CEng, antab)

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	The Site and Proposed Development	2
3.	Geology	4
4.	Impact Assessment Evaluation	5
5.	Ground Movement Assessment Results	10
6.	Conclusion	13

Appendices

Appendix A: Selected Supporting Information

1. Introduction

A2 Site Investigation Limited (A2SI) has been engaged by Edmund Lehmann and Jennifer Nguyen to prepare a ground movement assessment (GMA) for the proposed development at 13 Belsize Crescent, London.

1.1. Study Aims and Objectives

A ground movement and impact assessment has been carried out in order to estimate the potential damage induced by the proposed redevelopment on the neighbouring properties.

The assessment encompasses properties located within the *zone of influence* of the proposed scheme. The GMA is based on *greenfield* ground movements and *unlikely to be exceeded* ground movements. The adopted assessment methodology provides a robust and conservative assessment representative of current industry best practice, as detailed in Section 4.

The assessment carried out and described herein aims to:

- Assess the impact on ground movements induced by the proposed works on adjacent properties.
- Inform Party Wall awards.
- Provide performance criteria and inform aspects of substructure construction and design.

This report provides a detailed description of the:

- Site and proposed development.
- Modelling parameters and input.
- Analyses and results.

1.2. Information Sources

- Phase I Desk Study prepared by A2SI, dated December 2022 (ref: 24022-A2SI-XX-XX-RP-Y-0001-00).
- Interpretive Report prepared by A2SI, dated January 2023 (ref: 24022-A2SI-XX-XX-RP-Y-0003-00).
- Factual Report prepared by A2SI, dated January 2023 (ref: 24022-A2SI-XX-XX-RP-X-0001-01).
- Structural Engineers Report prepared by Baker Chatterton Structural Design Ltd, dated March 2023 (ref: J225-S-RP-001).
- Structural Planning Drawings by Baker Chatterton Structural Design Ltd, dated March 2023 (ref: J225-BC).
- Foundation Loads Existing and Proposed prepared by Baker Chatterton Structural Design Ltd, dated March 2023 (ref: J225-BC-SK).

Information available in the public domain:

- British Geological Survey online database (various sources).
- Google Earth Pro.

2. The Site and Proposed Development

2.1. Development Site and Current Site Use

The development site is located at 13 Belsize Crescent, London, NW3 5QY, as shown in Figure 2.1. The approximate National Grid reference for the site is 526790, 184970 and the site footprint covers approximately 0.02 hectares. The approximate ground surface elevation at the site is 69.0m above Ordnance Datum (mOD) and ground surface levels in the surrounding area fall towards the south. The development site falls within the administrative boundaries of the London Borough of Camden and currently includes a four-storey residential property including a lower ground floor and associated private front and rear gardens.

The existing structure is anticipated to be of traditional masonry construction supported by masonry strip foundations.

Figure 2.1 Location of the proposed development (red line reflects the site boundary used for this assessment)

2.2. Proposed Development

The scheme for the proposed development comprises partial demolition of internal superstructure elements. The lower ground floor will be extended and a large single-storey basement will be constructed, extending beyond the footprint of the existing building to include a swimming pool, gym and bathrooms. The house will be accessible with an external platform lift to the lower ground floor and a small internal lift to all floors will be installed.

It is anticipated that the existing structure will be supported on a shallow underpin foundation during construction, and by an in-situ cast reinforced concrete raft in the permanent case.

An indicative section of the proposed development is shown in Figure 2.2.

Figure 2.2 Section view of the proposed development

3. Geology

Site specific ground investigation works have been carried out on the project site. The information contained in this section has been obtained from the ground investigation carried out in September 2022 together with the desk-based review of the site.

The ground conditions were found to comprise the following (in order of succession):

- Made Ground Soft, brown, slightly gravelly, slightly sandy, silty CLAY. Sand is fine to coarse. Gravel is fine to medium, subangular brick, with occasional concrete, flint and mortar.
- London Clay Formation Firm, orangish brown mottled light grey CLAY. Occasional pockets of silt and coarse selenite crystals.

The above includes the strata of engineering interest and significance, taking cognisance of the scale of the proposed development and zone of influence. The stratigraphic profile and geotechnical parameters used for the assessment are provided in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1 Ground model and geotechnical parameters adopted for the ground movement assessment

Stratum	Top of stratum (mOD)	Thickness (m)	Undrained Young's Modulus, E _u ^[2] (MPa)	Drained Young's Modulus, E' ^[2] (MPa)
Made Ground	7.0 ^[4]	1.5	-	10.0
London Clay	5.5	> 20	2.0 + 2.7z	2.5+3.4z

[1] The ground model and geotechnical parameters have been derived solely for the purposes of this assessment.

[2] The stiffness data (Eu and E') has been evaluated empirically taking into consideration the nature of the geotechnical/soil-structure interaction mechanisms and level of anticipated strain within the soil mass.

[3] Rigid boundary assumed at approximately -3.0mOD for analytical purposes.

[4] Top of Made Ground stratum taken at street level.

[5] z refers to metres below top of stratum.

4. Impact Assessment Evaluation

4.1. Assessment Details

The assessment has been undertaken using proprietary spreadsheets and the commercially available software Oasys PDisp and XDisp, which consider the three-dimensional ground movement field induced by the proposed excavation works.

Ground movements will arise as a result of various mechanisms, which are mobilised as part of the construction works for the proposed scheme. The demolition of superstructure elements and excavation process will induce ground movements arising from the overburden removal. The transfer of the building loading to lower strata by the underpins and construction of the new superstructure will partially reinstate a portion of the removed overburden, yielding settlements across areas of the foundation system. The induced ground movements will extend over a given zone of influence surrounding the building/basement footprint.

A series of three-dimensional models of the proposed scheme have been developed in Oasys XDisp/PDisp software and combined by means of superposition in order to enable ground movement assessments to be carried out representing the various construction stages. The ground movement displacement fields were separated in two groups (A & B) based on the approach followed, as detailed below:

Group A - Unloading ground movements

A Demolition and excavation (short-term).

Group B - CIRIA-based ground movements

- B1. Underpin installation and excavation (short-term).
- B2. Underpin installation and excavation and building loading (long-term).

The Group A assessments are based on greenfield ground movements evaluated from linear half space (PDisp) analyses and focus on vertical ground movements induced by the unloading processes. The PDisp model geometry is presented in Figure 4.2.

The pressure arising from the demolition of several structural elements is assumed to be 5kPa and the proposed loading pressures have been determined from load take down information provided by Backer Chatterton Structural Design Ltd. and have been applied as uniformly distributed unloading and loading pressures, as shown in Figure 4.1. For modelling purposes, all footings have an assumed width for which the bearing pressure is less than 250kPa.

The excavation unloading pressure has been modelled as an unloading pressure of 115.2kPa applied at the excavation formation level (equating to the removal of 5.9m of soil).

The Group B assessments adopt the normalised ground displacement curves reported in CIRIA C760 to assess the impact of retention system installation works and the excavation. The following CIRIA C760 normalised ground movement curves were adopted to assess ground movements due to retention system installation and excavation works:

- Underpin installation: Installation of planar diaphragm wall in stiff clay.
- Excavation to formation: Excavation in front of a high stiffness wall in stiff clay.

The empirical data set for diaphragm wall installation is not strictly compatible with the construction technologies adopted in underpinning. However, it is assessed that the ground movement mechanisms are reasonably well-matched and, in lieu of better empirical relationships, the diaphragm wall curves are considered to provide a satisfactory and conservative approximation.

Figure 4.1 Modelled loading patches in PDisp

Figure 4.2 Oasys PDisp model geometry (example long-term scenario - A2)

The two groups of analyses enabled the production of an envelope of damage classification results – with the worst-case results presented herein. A representative geometry has been adopted for defining the excavation/installation geometry implemented in the 3D modelling efforts. An indicative plot of the analytical model is presented below in Figure 4.3 showing the excavation area and the adjacent properties included in the damage assessment.

Figure 4.3 Indicative plot of three-dimensional analytical model using the Oasys XDisp software suite (soil removed for clarity of presentation)

4.2. Impact Assessment

4.2.1. General

The potential impact/damage induced on primary façade/wall elements of the buildings surrounding the proposed scheme have been evaluated based on the calculated ground movement fields. The masonry walls of concern are shown in Figure 4.4, including the wall nomenclature/reference system adopted. The arrangement is based on the currently available survey information and presents an array of masonry façades running both perpendicular and parallel to the proposed basement (covering the key deformation mechanisms). The façades of the neighbouring buildings considered for the current study are grouped in the following manner:

- B1 B4: 11BS :11Belsize Crescent
- B5 B8: 15BS :15 Belsize Crescent

Figure 4.4 Simplified scheme and nomenclature for each building façade/masonry wall element

Each wall has been assumed to behave as an equivalent beam subject to a bending and extension/compression deformation mechanisms, based on the evaluated greenfield ground movement, as outlined previously.

Tensile strains induced within the building masonry walls have been evaluated based on the deflection ratios Δ /L and horizontal extension mechanisms estimated from the analyses. The assessment considers the well-established Burland (1997) damage classification method, as presented and summarised in Figure 4.5 and Figure 4.6. This method involves a relatively simple but robust means of assessment, which is widely adopted and is considered to comprise an industry standard/best practice basis for impact assessments of this typology.

Potential damage categories are directly related to the tensile strains induced by the proposed construction stages, arising from a combination of direct tension and bending induced tension mechanisms. The evaluated damage categories correspond to an *unlikely to be exceeded* scenario (on the basis of the data sets adopted and greenfield assumptions).

C: da	ategory of mage	Description of typical damage (ease of repair is underlined)	Approximate crack width (mm)	Limiting tensile strain s _{tim} (per cent)
0	Negligible	Hairline cracks of less than about 0.1 mm are classed as negligible.	< 0.1	0.0-0.05
1	Very slight	Fine cracks that can easily be treated during normal decoration. Perhaps isolated slight fracture in building. Cracks in external brickwork visible on inspection.	< 1	0.05–0.075
2	Slight	Cracks easily filled. Redecoration probably required. Several slight fractures showing inside of building. Cracks are visible externally and some repointing may be required externally to ensure weathertightness. Doors and windows may stick slightly.	< 5	0.075–0.15
3	Moderate	The cracks require some opening up and can be patched by a mason. Recurrent cracks can be masked by suitable linings. Repointing of external brickwork and possibly a small amount of brickwork to be replaced. Doors and windows sticking. Service pipes may fracture. Weathertightness often impaired.	5–15 or a number of cracks > 3	0.15–0.3
4	Severe	Extensive repair work involving breaking-out and replacing sections of walls, especially over doors and windows, Windows and frames distorted, floor sloping noticeably. Walls leaning or bulging noticeably, some loss of bearing in beams. Service pipes disrupted.	15–25 but also depends on number of cracks	> 0.3
5	Very severe	This requires a major repair involving partial or complete rebuilding. Beams lose bearings, walls lean badly and require shoring. Windows broken with distortion. Danger of instability.	usually > 25 but depends on number of cracks.	

Figure 4.5 Building damage classification, after Burland et al. 1977, Boscardin and Cording 1989 and Burland 2001 - relationship between category of damage and limiting strain εlim

Figure 4.6 Definition of relative deflection Δ and deflection ratio Δ/L

5. Ground Movement Assessment Results

5.1. Façade Damage Categories

The results of the assessment are presented in Table 5.1. It is noted that the results presented in this table represent the worst case resulting from all analysis runs. Damage category results are presented in Figure 5.1 for the affected façades. Figure 5.2 and Figure 5.3 depict the vertical and horizontal displacements, respectively from analysis scenario B2.

Table 5.1 Evaluated damage categories from XDisp

Eccado Poforonco	Analysis Scenario						
raçaue Reletence	A	B1	B2				
B1: 11BS	Category 0 – Negligible	Category 0 – Negligible	Category 0 – Negligible				
B2: 11BS	Category 0 – Negligible	Category 0 – Negligible	Category 1 – Very Slight				
B3: 11BS	Category 0 – Negligible	Category 0 – Negligible	Category 0 – Negligible				
B4: 11BS	Category 0 – Negligible	Category 0 – Negligible	Category 1 – Very Slight				
B5: 15BS	Category 0 – Negligible	Category 0 – Negligible	Category 0 – Very Slight				
B6: 15BS	Category 0 – Negligible	Category 0 – Negligible	Category 1 – Very Slight				
B7: 15BS	Category 0 – Negligible	Category 0 – Negligible	Category 0 – Negligible				
B8: 15BS	Category 0 – Negligible	Category 0 – Negligible	Category 0 – Negligible				

Refer to Figure 4.4 for building/wall nomenclature.

Figure 5.1 Affected façades after all scenarios

5.2. Basement Excavation Criteria

The results of this analysis show that all buildings will fall within the acceptable damage classification (i.e. not exceeding Category 1 – Very Slight), if the ground movements caused by the wall installation, excavation and scheme construction are limited to the values presented in Table 5.2. It is noted that the GMA will be supplemented by a project-specific monitoring regime and Action Plan, which will delineate lines of responsibility, trigger levels in accordance with those presented in this GMA and appropriate mitigation measures.

Table 5.2 Maximum Cumulative Ground Movement from XDisp

Stage –	Maximum Cumulative Ground Movement (mm)	
	Vertical	Horizontal
A	4	0
B1	5	0
B2	14	13