

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/1268/HS2	Hero Granger-Taylor	13/04/2023 23:40:42	INT	<p>The drawings are not accurate and, although presented as measured drawings, are only an approximation of the various features: the retaining walls, the levels of the roads etc. In particular, all the drawings show the Park Village East retaining wall leaning back at an angle of between 4 and 5 degrees. This wall was built as a 'battered' wall in c.1900-05 but already by the 1920s had shown a strong 'overturning' tendency in the middle of the section between Mornington Street and 1 Park Village East (this part of the wall is supposedly represented by the application drawing Section 2) to the extent that it was no longer leaning back but was already vertical, or even leaning slightly into the cutting. The retaining wall was reinforced from behind at this date, and again in the 1960s, but has never been returned to its original 'battered' profile.</p> <p>It is asserted in the accompanying Written Statement, at p.13, that the current amendment to the application has been made on the basis that it has been possible, since the original application was made in 2021, to reduce 'the mass and bulk of the proposed berm structure because of a better understanding of the geo-technical attributes of the existing wall'. But without accurate drawings it cannot in fact have been possible to get a proper understanding of the 'geo-technical properties of the existing wall'.</p> <p>This amended application should be rejected on the basis of this and other inaccuracies. Camden should insist on accurate drawings and truthful statements.</p> <p>It is clear that the change to the profile of the proposed berm has been made because it has been decided to return to the berm as originally conceived, with a haul road along the top of it. But there is no mention of haul road or of lorries in the statement.</p> <p>It is also asserted on p.13 of the statement that 'Further investigation of the cutting has established that concrete walls inserted as part of the West Coat Mainline Works (circa 2000) similar to the amended scheme are already in situ'. But this 'in situ' wall is not shown in any of the drawings nor is there what might be called a 'concrete wall' of any appreciable height already within the cutting in the position indicated - the writer would be happy to provide photographs.</p> <p>In short, this is an application which is misleading in several important ways.</p>
2023/1268/HS2	Christy Rogers	12/04/2023 16:20:46	OBJ	<p>Objection to the change from pigmented to grey concrete for the berm wall.</p> <p>The only real reason HS2 has applied for this is to save cost.</p> <p>If this amendment is granted, it will be regretted long after the saved costs are wasted elsewhere.</p> <p>The non-pigmented concrete will simply look worse, and this is never desirable, but especially not in this heritage setting.</p> <p>Residents are suffering decades of living in a construction site. At the end of that, could they please have something which looks as good as it can.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2023/1268/HS2	Robert Latham	12/04/2023 17:36:40	COMMNT	<p>"Objection to the change from pigmented to grey concrete for the berm wall.</p> <p>The only real reason HS2 has applied for this is to save cost.</p> <p>HS2 has decided to bring the line into Euston through two conservation areas.</p> <p>Any works must reduce the adverse visual impact.</p> <p>If this amendment is granted, it will be regretted long after.</p> <p>It will also set a precedent for HS2 to seek to minimise cost by imposing unsympathetic designs on the area.</p> <p>The non-pigmented concrete will simply look worse, and this is never desirable, but especially not in this heritage setting.</p> <p>Residents are suffering decades of living in a construction site. At the end of that, could they please have something which looks as good as it can.¿</p>
2023/1268/HS2	Roger Lee	12/04/2023 17:00:59	OBJ	<p>Objection to the change from pigmented to grey concrete for the berm wall.</p> <p>The only real reason HS2 has applied for this is to save cost.</p> <p>If this amendment is granted, it will be regretted long after the saved costs are wasted elsewhere.</p> <p>The non-pigmented concrete will simply look worse, and this is never desirable, but especially not in this heritage setting.</p> <p>Residents are suffering decades of living in a construction site. At the end of that, could they please have something which looks as good as it can.¿الم</p>