

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/5197/P	shane rooney	26/03/2023 11:45:29	OBJNOT	<p>I have previously. made comments in objection which I would like to add to. this application proposes the retention of a paltry 29ms area for the use of retail space- this is an untenable solution particularly when one sees that the plans make no provision for sanitation toilets or kitchen facilities nor storage. Planners will no doubt have studies to refer to of how easy such untenable space is to let or indeed whether its legal to provide space without such provisions and facilities</p> <p>To me as a Construction and Development professional this would appear intentional so that this commercial space would be doomed to failure. this is a vibrant high street and the destruction of it should not be allowed I object</p>
2022/5197/P	Fortune Green and West Hampstead NDF	27/03/2023 14:36:51	OBJ	<p>Fortune Green and West Hampstead NDF have already made a comment but would like to upgrade our comment to an objection. We will be happy to withdraw our objection if the developer can certify that the commercial space remaining after the development will be a viable commercial space.</p> <p>As the application stands, there is no toilet or hand washing facility within the commercial space, and at 300 sq feet so it is questionable that it is a viable space.</p> <p>Also, the application currently states that the light-well matches others in the parade of shops. This is incorrect as the other light-wells are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ∩ Miska 2500x 900 mm = 2.25 m2 ∩ Passionate About Vintage 2000x 1100 mm= 2.2 m2 ∩ Cassandra 1600x 1100mm=1.76 m2 ∩ Accountant 1800x 900 mm = 1.62ms ∩ In the Garden 1600x 1200 mm= 1.93m2 <p>The proposal is for a light-well of 3200x 1800mm =5.76M2 which is 2 or 3 times the size of the existing ones which we consider to be excessive and should be reduced.</p>

Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Response:
2022/5197/P	Karin Pappenheim	26/03/2023 12:08:12	OBJNOT	<p>I wish to object very strongly as a longstanding local resident who has lived, worked and brought up family in the Mill Lane neighbourhood, with its vibrant mix of independent retail, business and residential and community services. The proposed development erodes the character of the neighbourhood and is contrary to the NDP which stresses the importance of retaining our high streets.</p> <p>The proposed design is poorly conceived: it reduces the quality significantly of the retail or office space in the property which is so inadequate that is unlikely to attract new occupants. Specifically the proposed commercial space - unlike the current design - lacks WC, kitchen or storage. This makes it effectively unusable: any new occupant, whether a retailer or office style business would expect suitable WC and kitchen facilities as a minimum.</p> <p>We note that another property at 104 Mill Lane which was previously used for commercial/retail purposes has been converted to residential use, although still featuring a glass shop front as if to mask this change. This is not a precedent that should be followed.</p> <p>The proposed design features a basement conversion to residential use. Again the design is poorly conceived: no external amenity space, no space for storage of bins or bicycles. This underground flat may be prone to damp; the detrimental effects of damp and associated mould on the health and well-being of residents is well known. Further, the proposed light well on the front facade retail space will distance potential customers from the shop window and so may have a negative effect on business.</p> <p>This residential development as proposed offers no benefit to the local community. As a family living in this neighbourhood for over 30 years we have enjoyed the high street facilities of Mill Lane, with its vibrant mix of independent shops and businesses. The proposed development is a missed opportunity to modernise this historic building to provide good quality commercial space and attract new independent business to our high street. I very strongly oppose it on all the grounds stated above.</p>
