

| Application No: | Consultees Name: | Received: | Comment: | Response: |
|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|---|
| 2023/0260/P | Pauline A Lewis | 04/04/2023 11:23:39 | OBJ | <p>I am the managing agent for the neighbouring property 48 Cricklewood Broadway. When this structure first appeared we immediately objected to Camden. The building backs onto the gable end wall of our property. No party wall act served etc. When Angela Ryan visited she fell for their lies that it was for storage, why had they put a wc in? It was obvious to the whole world what was happening but no, not to Camden. If this building is allowed planning for residential use I will go to the media, without doubt, it will appear as favouritism. I await your reply.</p> <p>Pauline A Lewis</p> |
| 2023/0260/P | John Seigler | 04/04/2023 11:15:13 | OBJ | <p>Should not be allowed. This building was erected with the pretence of being for storage and for the builders to eat their lunch in. It was obvious from the very beginning that it was being built for future residential use and Camden should have know that when they inspected it. Angela Ryan from Camden was told that this outbuilding was to be removed once the works had been carried out on the building but of course now they sneekily applying for planning permission having not removed anything. It should be taken down as was originally agreed with the Council!</p> |