Application No:	Consultees Name:	Received:	Comment:	Printed on: 05/04/2023 12:0  Response:	04:05
2022/5057/P	Terence Stone	24/03/2023 14:28:59	OBJ	We object to the proposed extension of the terrace on the grounds of noise and loss of privacy. We live next door at No:89. We have had issues with noise,late at night and into the early hours of the morning. The extension of the terrace could exacerbate this and with young adults in the household it could encourage gatherings onto the terrace. We have not complained about the noise because we try to be good neighbours.  There is a perfectly adequate size garden which would easily accommodate a family dining table and chairs. We do have a balcony on a higher level but it is only 2mwide x 2m deep. It has just about enough room for a bistro table and 2 chairs and certainly not a family dining table and chairs.  Loss of privacy would also be an issue as the terrace would look out onto neighbouring gardens.	
2022/5057/P	Thomas Epstein	27/03/2023 12:38:06	OBJ	re; statement by Lathams 11 November 2022.  "Reassurance re: noise/disturbance"  There have been no incidences of nuisance` This is largely true, but is due to considerate behaviour by the present occupants, and so is no guide to the future. The intention is said to be all fresco dining, but a 4.1 sq.m. area would make a nice space for a dance /party. The terrace is so near that even talk is heard inside the house and at night sleep is broken.  "The applicant has consulted neighbours". This was before the proposed dimensions were published, and the scale of the change has come as a shock. Lathams` statement that they have no objection to the increase is thus in effect false.  "Privacy/Overlooking"  Al resco dining right to the edge of the terrace is the intention, so the statement 'no adverse impact on privacy' is false. It looms over my garden.  The only higher roof terrace intaditional materials, at No.89, which has never worried me, is much further off, whereas the No.91 terrace is right outside my kitchen window, up at head height, and could affect me every time I eat or do the washing up.  "Summary"  It was Lathams who originated the Garden Room and terrace jutting out into the garrden in place of the old conservatory nestling in the corner. They may offer expertise in "Ubanism" but their implied claim to judge 'character' and 'historic interest' is absurd.  I therefore disagree with the proposed increase in terrace size.	