Joe Lewis London Borough of Camden Town Hall Judd Street LONDON NIC 4AG



I 6th March 2023 Our ref. EH/23/LP2248

Re: LP2248 Antrim Grove Allotments Additional Verification

Dear Joe,

I Introduction

Leap Environmental Ltd (hereafter referred to as LEAP) was appointed by the London Borough of Camden (LB Camden) to provide independent verification of the additional remediation works undertaken at Plot 19b at Antrim Grove Allotments, Antrim Grove, Belsize Park, London, NW3 4XR.

This additional remediation was required following the previous verification works carried out by LEAP, subsequent to remediation carried out across the entirety of the Antrim Grove Allotment site, which identified a level of arsenic in plot 19b that exceeded the specified criteria (44.1mg/kg against an import criteria of 37mg/kg). Additional sampling and testing of soils in plot 19b and adjacent plots 18 and 19a then identified one further exceedance against the import criteria for arsenic. The Verification Report recommended that the topsoil in an area of 7m x 3m in Plot 19b was excavated, removed from site and replaced with fresh, clean material. The location of this recommended additional remediation area is shown in Figure 1.

The objective of this letter is to present the verification data for this additional remediation to demonstrate that the soils containing the elevated arsenic concentrations have been removed from site and replaced with clean Topsoil. This data was obtained onsite on 27th February 2023. The report serves to enable the discharge of Condition 3 of LB Camden planning permission ref: 2021/4075/P.

Previous reports relating to this site are:

- Phase I Desk Study, Site Reconnaissance & Phase II Site Investigation Report: LEAP Environmental Ltd. Ref: LP2248, dated 28th July 2020;
- Remediation Options Appraisal. LEAP Environmental Ltd. Ref: LP2248/ROA/Final, dated 3rd November 2020;
- Remediation Method Statement, LEAP Environmental Ltd. Ref: LP2048/RMS dated 11th November 2021;
- Remediation Verification Report. Leap Environmental, Ref LP2048/Verification dated 8th July 2022.

Leap Environmental Ltd Head Office, Book House, Glebelands Centre, Vincent Lane, Dorking, Surrey, RH4 3HW tel +44 (0) 01306 866160 www.leapenvironmental.com

2 Verification Works

The additional remediation works for plot 19b, as recommended in the LEAP Verification report, were completed by Ginkgo Landscape Contractors on 19th October 2022. This involved the excavation and removal of the arsenic impacted topsoil within a defined area and replacing this with clean, imported topsoil. A letter from Ginkgo Landscape Contractors, confirming this work was carried out is included in Appendix 2. A photograph provided by the contractor showing the excavated area is included within Appendix B demonstrating that the excavation was taken down to the subsoil and all topsoil was removed.

2.1 Site visit – soil sampling

LEAP carried out a site visit on 27th February 2023 to inspect and sample the area where additional remediation had been completed. Photographs were taken, which show the remediated area within the plot with darker coloured soil. Sampling inspection pit locations are shown in Figure 2 (Appendix B). A soil sample TS1 was taken from the centre of the remediated area, indicating >0.25m of new topsoil. Four further soil samples were taken from the soil surrounding the remediated zone. This was to check the concentrations of contaminants in the adjacent material left in the plot to confirm all soils containing elevated arsenic had been removed. A sample was not taken to the west of the zone in plot 19a due to access difficulties on this site (as shown in Plates 3 and 4, Appendix B). Figure 2 shows the location of the sampling points.

Sample descriptions were as follows:

TSI @0.25m Dark brown, slightly gravely, slightly sandy silty CLAY. Gravel is fine to coarse, sub angular to rounded flint and chalk.

TS2 - TS5 Orange-brown, silty, fine to coarse SAND with occasional to rare fine to medium gravel of flint and wood fragments.

The five soil samples were placed in laboratory supplied containers and couriered in cool boxes to Envirolab and tested for heavy metals.

2.2 Geo-chemical test results

The laboratory results for soil testing are shown in Appendix C. All samples tested were found to meet the criteria set out in Table BI of the original RMS for the site with low measured concentrations for arsenic.

3 Conclusions

The verification site visit and subsequent laboratory testing confirms that the area of Plot 19b where slightly elevated levels of arsenic had been identified has been successfully remediated.

The impacted topsoil has been replaced with soil which has a low concentration of arsenic. The soils surrounding the excavated area have been found to have low levels of arsenic in all samples, indicating that the hotspot has been successfully removed.

Please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned if you require any further information.

Yours faithfully,

EE Herawell

Dr Emma Hellawell

Enc.

Appendix A - Figures

Appendix B – Photos

Appendix C – Geochemical Test Results

This letter report has been prepared by Leap Environmental Ltd on the basis of information received from a variety of sources which Leap Environmental Ltd believes to be accurate. Nevertheless, Leap Environmental Ltd cannot and does not guarantee the authenticity or reliability of the information it has obtained from others.

Leap Environmental Ltd has used all reasonable skill, care and diligence in the design and execution of this report, taking into account the manpower and resources devoted to it in agreement with the Client. Although every reasonable effort has been made to obtain all relevant information, all potential contamination, environmental constraints or liabilities associated with the site may not necessarily have been revealed.

The conclusions reached in this report are necessarily restricted to those which can be determined from the information consulted, and may be subject to amendment in the light of additional information becoming available. These conclusions may not be appropriate for alternative schemes.

This report is confidential to the Client, and Leap Environmental Ltd accepts no responsibility whatsoever to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known, unless formally agreed by Leap Environmental Ltd beforehand. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk.

APPENDIX A - FIGURES

Figures







environmental	Client:	London Borough of Camden	Date:	20/06/2022	Project ID:	LP2248
	Project:	Antrim Grove Allotments	Title:	Area recommended for topsoil excavation and replacement	Fig. No.	1







Y



Joe Lewis Green Space Project Officer London Borough of Camden

Works completed at Antrim Grove 19th October 2022.

All works were completed in accordance with the recommendations set out in the verification report for Antrim Grove allotments. All works were completed by Ginkgo Landscape Contractors on 19th October 2022.

Kind regards,

Shane Kenneally

Operations Director



VAT Registration Number 561879305 | Company Registration Number 6335734 | Registered in England and Wales Registered Office: 13 Princeton Court, 53-55 Felsham Road, London SW15 1AZ

A. J. D. Challis National Diploma Landscape Construction D. J. D. Curran DIP HORT Edinburgh Botanic Gardens MEM. INST. HORT

Site Photographs





Plate 1: VISIT 27/02/23 – View of plot 19b looking north. Darker soil indicates area that has been further remediated.



Plate 2: VISIT 27/02/23 View of plot 19b looking south focusing on area that has been further remediated .





Plate 4: VISIT 27/02/2023 Further view of adjacent plot 19a





Plate 6: VISIT 27/02/2023 Sampling locations shown within plot. . (Replaced topsoil shown as dark brown material).





Plate 7: VISIT 27/02/2023 – Replaced topsoil – Dark brown, slightly gravelly, slightly sandy silty CLAY.



Plate 8: VISIT 27/02/2023 – Remaining soil surrounding remediation zone at TS3 - Orange-brown, silty, fine to coarse SAND with occasional to rare fine to medium gravel of flint and wood fragments and a single metal screw





Plate 10: Photograph provided by Contractor showing excavated area.



Chemical Laboratory Test Results





FINAL ANALYTICAL TEST REPORT

Envirolab Job Number: Issue Number: 23/01933 1

Date: 08 March, 2023

Client:

Leap Environmental Ltd (Dorking) Book House Glebelands Centre, Vincent Lane, Dorking, Surrey RH4 3HW

Project Manager:	Emily Frankish
Project Name:	Antrim Grove Allotments
Project Ref:	LP2248
Order No:	LPO-7246
Date Samples Received:	02/03/23
Date Instructions Received:	02/03/23
Date Analysis Completed:	08/03/23

Approved by:

Richard Wong Client Manager





Envirolab Job Number: 23/01933

Client Project Name: Antrim Grove Allotments

Client Project Ref: LP2248

Lab Sample ID	23/01933/1	23/01933/2	23/01933/3	23/01933/4	23/01933/5				
Client Sample No	1	1	1	1	1				
Client Sample ID	TS1	TS2	TS3	TS4	TS5				
Depth to Top	0.25	0.10	0.20	0.20	0.25				
Depth To Bottom								tion	
Date Sampled	27-Feb-23	27-Feb-23	27-Feb-23	27-Feb-23	27-Feb-23			etect	ef
Sample Type	Soil - ES		w	t of D	od ro				
Sample Matrix Code	4AE	1A	4A	4AE	4AE		Unit	Limi	Meth
% Stones >10mm _A	9.0	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1	<0.1		% w/w	0.1	A-T-044
Arsenic ^{D^{M#}}	8	6	7	8	8		mg/kg	1	A-T-024s
Cadmium _D ^{M#}	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.6		mg/kg	0.5	A-T-024s
Copper _D ^{M#}	35	10	14	9	12		mg/kg	1	A-T-024s
Chromium _D ^{M#}	25	11	11	11	11		mg/kg	1	A-T-024s
Chromium (hexavalent)₀	<1	<1	<1	<1	<1		mg/kg	1	A-T-040s
Chromium (trivalent)	25	11	11	11	11		mg/kg	1	Calc
Lead _D ^{M#}	87	12	13	42	13		mg/kg	1	A-T-024s
Mercury⊳	0.55	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17	<0.17		mg/kg	0.17	A-T-024s
Nickel ^{d^{M#}}	20	10	10	9	9		mg/kg	1	A-T-024s
Selenium _D ^{M#}	<1	<1	4	<1	<1		mg/kg	1	A-T-024s
Zinc _D ^{M#}	95	30	34	27	29		mg/kg	5	A-T-024s



REPORT NOTES

General

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without written approval from Envirolab.

The results reported herein relate only to the material supplied to the laboratory. The residue of any samples contained within this report, and any received with the same delivery, will be disposed of six weeks after scheduling. initial For samples tested for Asbestos we will retain a portion of the dried sample for a minimum of six months after the Asbestos initial testina is completed.

Analytical results reflect the quality of the sample at the time of analysis only.

Opinions and interpretations expressed are outside the scope of our accreditation. If results are in italic font they are associated with an AQC failure, these are not accredited and are unreliable.

A deviating samples report is appended and will indicate if samples or tests have been found to be deviating. Any test results affected may not be an accurate record of the concentration at the time of sampling and, as a result, may be invalid. The Client Sample No, Client Sample ID, Depth to Top, Depth to Bottom and Date Sampled were all provided by the client.

Soil chemical analysis:

All results are reported as dry weight (<40°C).

For samples with Matrix Codes 1 - 6 natural stones, brick and concrete fragments >10mm and any extraneous material (visible glass, metal or twigs) are removed and excluded from the sample prior to analysis and reported results corrected to a whole sample basis. This is reported as '% stones >10mm'. For samples with Matrix Code 7 the whole sample is dried and crushed prior to analysis and this supersedes any "A" subscripts

All analysis is performed on the sample as received for soil samples which are positive for asbestos or the client has informed asbestos may be present and/or if they are from outside the European Union and this supersedes any "D" subscripts.

TPH analysis of water by method A-T-007:

Free and visible oils are excluded from the sample used for analysis so that the reported result represents the dissolved phase only.

Electrical Conductivity of water by Method A-T-037:

Results greater than 12900µS/cm @ 25°C / 11550µS/cm @ 20°C fall outside the calibration range and as such are unaccredited.

Asbestos

Asbestos in soil analysis is performed on a dried aliquot of the submitted sample and cannot guarantee to identify asbestos if only present in small numbers as discrete fibres/fragments in the original sample.

Stones etc. are not removed from the sample prior to analysis.

Quantification of asbestos is a 3 stage process including visual identification, hand picking and weighing and fibre counting by sedimentation/phase contrast optical microscopy if required. If asbestos is identified as being present but is not in a form that is suitable for analysis by hand picking and weighing (normally if the asbestos is present as free fibres) quantification by sedimentation is performed. Where ACMs are found a percentage asbestos is assigned to each with reference to 'HSG264, Asbestos: The survey guide' and the calculated asbestos content is expressed as a percentage of the dried soil sample aliquot used

Predominant Matrix Codes:

1 = SAND, 2 = LOAM, 3 = CLAY, 4 = LOAM/SAND, 5 = SAND/CLAY, 6 = CLAY/LOAM, 7 = OTHER, 8 = Asbestos bulk ID sample, 9 = INCINERATOR ASH. Samples with Matrix Code 7 & 8 are not predominantly a SAND/LOAM/CLAY mix and are not covered by our BSEN 17025 or MCERTS accreditations, with the exception of bulk asbestos which are BSEN 17025 accredited.

Secondary Matrix Codes:

A = contains stones, B = contains construction rubble, C = contains visible hydrocarbons, D = contains glass/metal,

E = contains roots/twigs.

Key:

IS indicates Insufficient Sample for analysis.

US indicates Unsuitable Sample for analysis. NDP indicates No Determination Possible.

NAD indicates No Asbestos Detected.

N/A indicates Not Applicable.

Superscript # indicates method accredited to ISO 17025.

Superscript "M" indicates method accredited to MCERTS.

Subscript "A" indicates analysis performed on the sample as received.

Subscript "D" indicates analysis performed on the dried sample, crushed to pass a 2mm sieve

Subscript "^" indicates analysis has dependent options against results. Testing dependent on results appear in the comments area of your sample receipt. EPH CWG results have humics mathematically subtracted through instrument calculation TPH results "with Cleanup" indicates results cleaned up with Silica during extraction

EPH CWG GCxGC ID from TPH CWG

Where we have identified humic substances in any ID's from TPH CWG with Clean Up please note that the concentration of these

humic substances is not included in the quantified results and are included in the ID for information.

Please contact us if you need any further information.



Envirolab Deviating Samples Report

Units 7&8 Sandpits Business Park, Mottram Road, Hyde, SK14 3AR Tel. 0161 368 4921 email. ask@envlab.co.uk

Client:	Leap Environmental Ltd (Dorking), Book House, Glebelands Centre,, Vincent	Project No:	23/01933			
	Lane, Dorking, Surrey, RH4 3HW	Date Received:	02/03/2023 (am)			
Project:	Antrim Grove Allotments	Cool Box Temperatures (°C):	7.9			
Clients Project No: LP2248						

NO DEVIATIONS IDENTIFIED

If, at any point before reaching the laboratory, the temperature of the samples has breached those set in published standards, e.g. BS-EN 5667-3, ISO 18400-102:2017, then the concentration of any affected analytes may differ from that at the time of sampling.



Envirolab Analysis Dates

Lab Sample ID	23/01933/1	23/01933/2	23/01933/3	23/01933/4	23/01933/5
Client Sample No	1	1	1	1	1
Client Sample ID/Depth	TS1 0.25m	TS2 0.10m	TS3 0.20m	TS4 0.20m	TS5 0.25m
Date Sampled	27/02/23	27/02/23	27/02/23	27/02/23	27/02/23
A-T-024s	08/03/2023	08/03/2023	08/03/2023	08/03/2023	08/03/2023
A-T-040s	08/03/2023	08/03/2023	08/03/2023	08/03/2023	08/03/2023
A-T-044	07/03/2023	07/03/2023	07/03/2023	07/03/2023	07/03/2023
Calc	08/03/2023	08/03/2023	08/03/2023	08/03/2023	08/03/2023

The above dates are the analysis completion dates, please note that these are not necessarily the date that the analysis was weighed/extracted.

End of Report