
From: Mike Hartley-Brewer <[REDACTED]>
Sent: 27 March 2023 19:15
To: Geri Gohin
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: 14 Greenaway Gardens 2022/5583/P

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

[EXTERNAL EMAIL] Beware – This email originated outside Camden Council and may be malicious Please take extra care with any links, attachments, requests to take action or for you to verify your password etc. Please note there have been reports of emails purporting to be about Covid 19 being used as cover for scams so extra vigilance is required.

I am writing to object to this permitted development application.

1. It strikes me as extraordinary that such a radical change to an existing Planning Permission can be requested without local residents being informed or consulted. I and a neighbour discovered the new proposals by accident. No other neighbours we have spoken to were aware of the new plans, including the neighbours on either side of no 14. I am forced to wonder whether this plan to erect 5 buildings in the rear garden was envisaged from the beginning by the owner.

2. The erection of the three proposed large footprint buildings is entirely inappropriate in the garden of a house in a conservation area.

3. The proposed buildings are clearly incompatible with Section 6. of the Redington/Froggnal Conservation Area Character Appraisal and Management Plan, dated December 2022.

Section 6. Extract:

6. Development Principles

6.1 Landscape Character

a) Development should complement its surroundings in terms of landscape character, in particular the pattern and size of front and rear gardens, hedges and trees.

b) Development, including garden buildings, should not encroach significantly onto existing rear garden areas or harm the landscape character created by the amalgam of rear gardens. This is especially important for gardens adjacent to street frontages.

c) Loss of garden trees and hedges should be avoided, and all trees which contribute to the character of the Area should be retained and protected. Where removal of a tree is unavoidable, there should be a replacement tree of similar species in close proximity.

4. The construction of the proposed buildings will lengthen the duration of noisy and ugly works on the site.

5. The proposed buildings will drastically impair the visual amenity of the site, and will very probably create a noise nuisance.

I have today written to the two councillors for this ward and to Tulip Siddiq MP about this extraordinarily inappropriate proposal and trust that they will make representations accordingly.

I have also just seen the objection lodged by Alan Payne KC and would like to express my agreement with his comments.

Best regards

Michael Hartley-Brewer
4 Chesterford Gardens
NW3 7DE

