From: CAAC Comments Form <noreply@camden.gov.uk>

 Sent:
 16 March 2023 12:03

 To:
 Planning Planning;

Subject: CAAC Consultation Comments Received

## **Camden Council**

## Hi,

**Someone** submitted an entry for the CAAC Comments form form in the Camden Council site. View all the form's entries by clicking here.

Click here to access the form

Here's what Someone entered into the form:

Enter Pin

Application ref.

2023/0142/P

Site Address

126 Albert Street London Camden NW1 7NE

Development Description

Change of use of basement and ground floor levels from Office Use (Class E) to either Education Use (Class F.1 Learning & Non-residential institution or Office Use (Class E), minor extensions at second and third floor levels, addition of lift overrun at fourth floor level, extension to existing basement level, alterations to fenestration, installation of plant at basement level and second floor level, provision of cycle

Planning officer  Jaspreet Chana  Advisory committee  Advisory committee  Please send your comments by: 2023-03-20T00:00:00:00.000  Please choose one  Objection  Do you have any comments or consider that the proposal is harmful to or does not preserve the character and appearance of the conservation area?  We object to the paving/concreting over of more than half of the rear garden and the consequent loss of soft landscaping and vegetation in this backland site which is carved out of the former gardens of houses on Arlington Road. Whilst we are supportive of the use of active transport, and therefore provision for it, this should not be to the detriment of loss of biodiversity and extent of soil cover in a constrained site, especially
Advisory committee  Advisory committee  Please send your comments by:  2023-03-20T00:00:00:00.000  Please choose one  Objection  Do you have any comments or consider that the proposal is harmful to or does not preserve the character and appearance of the conservation area?  We object to the paving/concreting over of more than half of the rear garden and the consequent loss of soft landscaping and vegetation in this backland site which is carved out of the former gardens of houses on Arlington Road. Whilst we are supportive of the use of active transport, and therefore provision for it, this
Advisory committee  Please send your comments by:  2023-03-20T00:00:00:00  Please choose one  Objection  Do you have any comments or consider that the proposal is harmful to or does not preserve the character and appearance of the conservation area?  We object to the paving/concreting over of more than half of the rear garden and the consequent loss of soft landscaping and vegetation in this backland site which is carved out of the former gardens of houses on Arlington Road. Whilst we are supportive of the use of active transport, and therefore provision for it, this
Please send your comments by:  2023-03-20T00:00:00.000  Please choose one  Objection  Do you have any comments or consider that the proposal is harmful to or does not preserve the character and appearance of the conservation area?  We object to the paving/concreting over of more than half of the rear garden and the consequent loss of soft landscaping and vegetation in this backland site which is carved out of the former gardens of houses on Arlington Road. Whilst we are supportive of the use of active transport, and therefore provision for it, this
2023-03-20T00:00:00.000  Please choose one  Objection  Do you have any comments or consider that the proposal is harmful to or does not preserve the character and appearance of the conservation area?  We object to the paving/concreting over of more than half of the rear garden and the consequent loss of soft landscaping and vegetation in this backland site which is carved out of the former gardens of houses on Arlington Road. Whilst we are supportive of the use of active transport, and therefore provision for it, this
Please choose one  Objection  Do you have any comments or consider that the proposal is harmful to or does not preserve the character and appearance of the conservation area?  We object to the paving/concreting over of more than half of the rear garden and the consequent loss of soft landscaping and vegetation in this backland site which is carved out of the former gardens of houses on Arlington Road. Whilst we are supportive of the use of active transport, and therefore provision for it, this
Objection  Do you have any comments or consider that the proposal is harmful to or does not preserve the character and appearance of the conservation area?  We object to the paving/concreting over of more than half of the rear garden and the consequent loss of soft landscaping and vegetation in this backland site which is carved out of the former gardens of houses on Arlington Road. Whilst we are supportive of the use of active transport, and therefore provision for it, this
Do you have any comments or consider that the proposal is harmful to or does not preserve the character and appearance of the conservation area?  We object to the paving/concreting over of more than half of the rear garden and the consequent loss of soft landscaping and vegetation in this backland site which is carved out of the former gardens of houses on Arlington Road. Whilst we are supportive of the use of active transport, and therefore provision for it, this
character and appearance of the conservation area?  We object to the paving/concreting over of more than half of the rear garden and the consequent loss of soft landscaping and vegetation in this backland site which is carved out of the former gardens of houses on Arlington Road. Whilst we are supportive of the use of active transport, and therefore provision for it, this
landscaping and vegetation in this backland site which is carved out of the former gardens of houses on Arlington Road. Whilst we are supportive of the use of active transport, and therefore provision for it, this
if the site is to be more heavily utilised than previously, and when we have a climate emergency the effects of which are exacerbated by the urban heat island effect. A requirement must be made for alternative provision for cycle parking that does not destroy 1/3rd of the open garden space: on-street open Sheffield stand provision could be increased in Arlington Road (adjacent to the service entrance) to cater for this, in addition to the existing paved over areas in the service yard. There should also be a reduction in the amount of additional proposed paving to the rear of the building, especially as this is the only open ground that the mature beech tree's roots currently have access to and which will be critical to the future health of this tree.
Do you want to attach any files?
No
Attach files
Content is temporarily unavailable.
To receive a confirmation email, enter your address below:

ctcaacchair@gmail.com

Click here to access the form